THE LORD'S SUPPER AND THE PASSOVER RITUAL

Published @ 2017 Trieste Publishing Pty Ltd

ISBN 9780649639533

The Lord's Supper and the Passover Ritual by William F. Skene

Except for use in any review, the reproduction or utilisation of this work in whole or in part in any form by any electronic, mechanical or other means, now known or hereafter invented, including xerography, photocopying and recording, or in any information storage or retrieval system, is forbidden without the permission of the publisher, Trieste Publishing Pty Ltd, PO Box 1576 Collingwood, Victoria 3066 Australia.

All rights reserved.

Edited by Trieste Publishing Pty Ltd. Cover @ 2017

This book is sold subject to the condition that it shall not, by way of trade or otherwise, be lent, re-sold, hired out, or otherwise circulated without the publisher's prior consent in any form or binding or cover other than that in which it is published and without a similar condition including this condition being imposed on the subsequent purchaser.

www.triestepublishing.com

WILLIAM F. SKENE

THE LORD'S SUPPER AND THE PASSOVER RITUAL

Trieste

THE LORD'S SUPPER

£.

AND

THE PASSOVER RITUAL

÷.

81

22

35

1

PRINTED BY MORRISON AND GIRS,

Ŀ

Ŀ

÷2.

12

٠

s ²²

TOB

T. & T. CLARK, RDINBURGH.

 $t \hat{z}$

ï

The Lord's Supper

and

The Passover Ritual;

BEING A

TRANSLATION OF THE SUBSTANCE OF PROFESSOR DECERL'S WORK TREMED "MESSE UND PASOHA."

BY WILLIAM F. SKENE, D.C.L.

WITH AN INTRODUCTION BY THE TRANSLATOR

ON THE

22

Connection of the Carly Christian Church with the Jewish Church.

C' EDINBURGH: T. & T. CLARK, 38 GEORGE STREET. 1891.



TABLE OF CONTENTS.

PAGE TRANSLATOR'S PREPACE, . . . vii 55 B. TRANSLATOR'S INTRODUCTION, . . 1 58 32453 8 AUTHOR'S PREFACE, . . 64 . 5.5 . . AUTHOR'S INTRODUCTION, . . 66 1943 8 B.

L

THE PAINITIVE CHRISTIAN LITURGY.

¥.

§ 1.	The Liturgy of the Apostolical Constitutions,					24		70
§ 2.	The other L	itargies,	5 3	2	8	6	53	78
§ 8.	Superior Ant	tiquity of the	Clemen	tine Lit	urgy,	÷ 24		86
§ 4.	Use of the	Clementine	Liturgy	during	the	first	three	
	Centuries,			14				101
	*							

II.

THE JEWISH RITUAL WRICH GAVE MISE TO THE OLD CHRISTIAN LATURGY.

§ 1,	The Ritual of the Passover Supper,			10	\sim	111
\$ 2.	Antiquity of the Jewish Passover I	Ritual,	2	Si	52	129
§ 3.	The Sabbath-Morning Prayer,	n (•	(2 .	*	141

1

.

Contents.

ш.

COMPARISON OF THE APOSTOLIC LITURGY WITH THE CORRESPONDING JEWISH RITUAL.

§ 1. The Institution of the He	oly]	Eacharist	in its	connec	tion	PAGE
with the Passover Supp	er,	i i i i i i i i i i i i i i i i i i i		•	•	159
§ 2. The Ante-Communion,		0.				174
§ 8. The Canon or Anaphora,	$\mathbf{\hat{z}}^{i}$	33	÷	104	3	195
§ 4. Dogmatic Conclusions,		37	•	22		215

3

1

vi

1

1

83î

TRANSLATOR'S PREFACE.

 C_{ij}^{α}

THE English and the German schools of liturgical writers present in some respects a marked con-Notwithstanding the various trast to each other. learned works which have been written by English liturgical scholars, it cannot be said with any truth that the ancient Liturgies have been as yet subjected by them to a sufficiently thorough and comprehensive analysis; and in this respect the German scholars show a marked superiority. Unfortunately, till recently, English liturgical writers have in the main belonged to one school of thought in the Church, and have approached the subject with prepossessions and assumptions which have coloured the medium through which they have viewed the subject. On the other hand, the German liturgical scholars are in the main free from any bias of this kind, and exhibit more of that independent, accurate, and comprehensive research, and of that power of discriminating justly between what is based upon trustworthy authority and what rests upon questionable tradition without

Translator's Preface.

1

ı.

fear of results, which so eminently characterises the German mind.

The leading authority among English writers is unquestionably the Reverend William Palmer, who prefixes to his well - known Origines Liturgice a dissertation on primitive Liturgies; and he may justly be considered as the founder of the English school of Liturgiologists, as his conclusions have been adopted as certain truths by all subsequent writers; but when we examine the grounds on which many of them rest, they do not stand the test of critical examination. The general ground on which his system is based he thus states: "The following is the course which I have pursued in endeavouring to ascertain the nature of the primitive Liturgies. Considering that the primitive Church was divided into great portions, known by the appellations of Petriarchates, Enarchates, or national Churches, and that the supreme bishops in these districts (where there were such bishops) had generally sufficient influence in later ages to cause their Liturgies to be universally received by their suffragans, I thought it advisable, in the first place, to examine the Liturgies of such supreme Churches, and inquire whether they appear to be derived from primitive antiquity." The result of this mode of viewing the subject is that he reduces the primitive Liturgies to four-the great Oriental Liturgy, the Alexandrian, the Roman, and the Gallican; and these four great Liturgies, he says, appear to have been the

viii