THE FRAGMENTS OF THE HIERATIC PAPYRUS AT TURIN: CONTAINING THE NAMES OF EGYPTION KINGS

Published @ 2017 Trieste Publishing Pty Ltd

ISBN 9780649018529

The Fragments of the Hieratic Papyrus at Turin: Containing the Names of Egyption Kings by Sir J. Gardner Wilkinson

Except for use in any review, the reproduction or utilisation of this work in whole or in part in any form by any electronic, mechanical or other means, now known or hereafter invented, including xerography, photocopying and recording, or in any information storage or retrieval system, is forbidden without the permission of the publisher, Trieste Publishing Pty Ltd, PO Box 1576 Collingwood, Victoria 3066 Australia.

All rights reserved.

Edited by Trieste Publishing Pty Ltd. Cover @ 2017

This book is sold subject to the condition that it shall not, by way of trade or otherwise, be lent, re-sold, hired out, or otherwise circulated without the publisher's prior consent in any form or binding or cover other than that in which it is published and without a similar condition including this condition being imposed on the subsequent purchaser.

www.triestepublishing.com

SIR J. GARDNER WILKINSON

THE FRAGMENTS OF THE HIERATIC PAPYRUS AT TURIN: CONTAINING THE NAMES OF EGYPTION KINGS

THE.

FRAGMENTS

OF THE

HIERATIC PAPYRUS

TURIN:

SHIT ONIBIATEGO

NAMES OF EGYPTIAN KINGS,

WITH THE

Bieratic Enscription at the Back.

BT

SIR J. GARDNER WILKINSON,

P.B.S., M.B.S.L., F.R.G.S., M.B.LUAA.; COBB. NEM INP AGAD. OF SC. OF TIENNA; AND OF R. AGAD. OF SC. OF TURN, ETC.

LONDON:

PRINTED BY T. RICHARDS, 37, GREAT QUEEN STREET.

M.DCCC.LL.

PREFACE.

I have explained in the text how attention was first called to the Turin Papyrus of Kings by the invaluable researches of Champollion, and how it was afterwards arranged by Dr. Seyffarth in the manner in which it now stands in the Turin Museum. But as some misconception has arisen respecting the condition of the fragments before and after they were seen by Champollion, I think it right to give the information which I have been enabled to gather upon this point through the kindness of Signor Baracco, the sub-director of that museum.

He states that "Champollion, having visited Turin in 1824, saw the fragments for the first time; when taking them from the box, where they were mixed with those of other papyri, he selected the largest pieces that contained kings' names, to the number of about twenty, and carefully transcribed them. This examination he repeated twice during his residence at Turin, and succeeded

at length in collecting upwards of forty fragments. Two years afterwards, in 1826, Dr. Seyffarth went to Turin, and having undertaken to examine the contents of the box, occupied three months in arranging the fragments: taking every small piece, one by one, and examining carefully its colour, thickness, fibres, and writing. He was also fortunate enough, while doing that, to find several little pieces, which were wanting to complete the large fragments before selected by Champollion, and which had escaped his notice; and having then pasted them all down in the way they now stand, he made a kind of register of the whole papyrus, in twelve columns, of which he allowed Champollion to take the copy afterwards found among the papers of Salvolini."

This, it seems, has led to an accusation against the late directors of the Turin Museum, of having subtracted or withheld some pieces of the papyrus, which Champollion was not allowed to see; but it is only right to give the reply of Signor Baracco to this statement, who has evidence to show that "Champollion had free access to everything in the Turin Museum; that he may be considered the principal inspector of the Egyptian part of that collection; and that no monument was unpacked

except in his presence, nor put aside without his examining it." What object they could have had, in concealing some minute particle of the papyrus, does not certainly appear; and common sense, as well as justice, would lead us to conclude that, having from the first put the whole of the unexamined fragments into the hands of Champollion, they could have no motive or opportunity of withholding any one from him.

That some pieces have been so well united by Dr. Seyffarth that they almost appear as if never broken, cannot certainly be an argument against the proper junction of the fragments, but should rather be a proof of his care in their restoration; and the omission of the marks of some of those fissures, will not dispose many persons to doubt the accuracy of Dr. Lepsius' copy, to which I am happy to afford my testimony. How much I have been indebted to that accuracy, I have mentioned in the text; where I have also explained my reason for publishing the present copy of the papyrus-the want of the inscription at the back, so necessary for verifying the juxtaposition of the fragments. The only corrections I have made in the front, are, the wings of a wasp in No. 88, which give another king of the same dynasty; and also in No. 86,

shewing that the top of the reed is not part of that in No. 87; part of another unit at the end of the numbers in No. 98; and some others of little importance.

A Committee of Subscribers has united with me to carry out this object; but the immediate publication of the Papyrus is owing to the liberality of the Duke of Northumberland, and I have much pleasure in acknowledging the very obliging manner in which he urged its publication, and prevented my incurring any expense beyond that of being one of the subscribers.

MEMBERS OF THE COMMITTEE.

HIS GRACE THE DUKE OF NORTHUMBERLAND
(2 copies).

THE MARQUIS OF NORTHAMPTON (2 copies).

SIR J. GARDNER WILKINSON (2 copies).

THE REV. JOHN KENRICK.

DR. E. HINCKS.

E. LANE, Esq.

S. BIECH, Esq.

SIR JOHN HABPUR CREWE, BART.

R. HAY, Esq.

D. HIGFORD BURR, Esq.

THOMAS JOSEPH PETTIGREW, Esq.

C. RATCLIFF, Esq.

THE

TURIN PAPYRUS OF KINGS.

THE importance of any document likely to throw light on the history of Egypt, and the succession of the Pharaohs, makes it desirable that every facility should be afforded for ascertaining the proper arrangement of the fragments of the Turin papyrus.

This papyrus, when brought to Turin, was in numerous small pieces; it formed part of the Drovetti collection purchased by the Piedmontese government; and when first seen by Champollion in 1824, was mixed with various fragments belonging to other papyri. Having all been turned out from the box that contained them upon a table, Champollion selected those with kings' names, and had already transcribed rather more than forty fragments before any other persons turned their at-Mr. Seyffarth, however, havtention to them. ing gone to Turin in 1826, applied himself diligently to the contents of the box, and by a careful examination of the fibres, and by the fortunate discovery of some other pieces, was en-

abled to put all the fragments together in the way they now stand in the Turin museum. which were found to correspond, were at once joined together, and the rest were arranged temporarily, in such a manner that, if at any future period their real position became known, they might be transferred to their proper places. The care with which Mr. Seyffarth executed his task cannot be too highly praised; and so accurately have many of the fragments been found to fit, that the fractures are often scarcely perceptible without careful examination, which accounts for some of them not having been marked by Dr. Lepsius in the copy he has published; -an omission which has led M. Champollion-Figeac* to doubt the accuracy of that copy: -and as the fragments were broken into more pieces, when seen by his brother in 1824, than are indicated there, he is even inclined to question the authority of the papyrus in its present restored con-But sufficient may be ascertained, by the examination of the fibres, to show when the junction is really correct; and no more doubt need be entertained, respecting the union of many of the pieces in this, than in any other restored papyrus. And as some misconception has arisen respecting the arrangement in Dr. Lepsius' copy, it is only right to state that no part of it is his own; he has merely given the fragments in the exact order in

^{*} Révue Archéologique, p. 464, et alib.