# THE BOOK OF MASKS, PP. 1-265

Published @ 2017 Trieste Publishing Pty Ltd

#### ISBN 9780649081523

The Book of Masks, pp. 1-265 by Remy de Gourmont

Except for use in any review, the reproduction or utilisation of this work in whole or in part in any form by any electronic, mechanical or other means, now known or hereafter invented, including xerography, photocopying and recording, or in any information storage or retrieval system, is forbidden without the permission of the publisher, Trieste Publishing Pty Ltd, PO Box 1576 Collingwood, Victoria 3066 Australia.

All rights reserved.

Edited by Trieste Publishing Pty Ltd. Cover @ 2017

This book is sold subject to the condition that it shall not, by way of trade or otherwise, be lent, re-sold, hired out, or otherwise circulated without the publisher's prior consent in any form or binding or cover other than that in which it is published and without a similar condition including this condition being imposed on the subsequent purchaser.

www.triestepublishing.com

# **REMY DE GOURMONT**

# THE BOOK OF MASKS, PP. 1-265



# THE BOOK OF MASKS BY RÉMY DE GOURMONT

Translated by JACK LEWIS

Introduction by Luowig Lewisonn

JOHN W. LUCE AND COMPANY BOSTON MCMXXI Copyright 1921, by

1 94

840.9 G72 L EL6

9/1

## CONTENTS

INTRODUCTION				43	2				1
AUTHORS PREI		E						٠	(0)
MAETERLINCK		190	3.00	**		٠	•	•	21
VERHAEREN				•	•	•			35
-DE RÉGNIER		•		*			•		43
Vielé-Griffi				¥.	90			32	51
-MALLARMÉ		13.00		•		:. <b>.</b>	1850		(59
SAMAIN .						•			69
QUILLARD .	٠		•				•	•	73
HEROLD .		٠		•		1960			79
Retté .			174		200				85
DE L'ISLE-AD	AM		00.00	* 31			0.00		91
TAILHADÉ			•	•		39			101
RENARD .	•					100	•		109
DUMUR .		•	0000	¥6		 ⊝•			117
EEKHOUD .	•	.7					(1)		125
ADAM .			•	•::	30. 30.	3.0		•	135
LAUTRÉAMONT		·	880	¥8		34	800	•	141
CORBIÈRE		•	•	•	•		•	•	153
RIMBAUD .			•					•	161
Poterrum									160

### CONTENTS

										PAGE
GIDE	•	30		•		8		•	٠	175
LOUYS				•		•	٠	•		183
RACHILDE	:			•			100	•		189
HUYSMAN	S	٠	٠	•		3				195
LAFORGUI	E			•	•		1.00			205
Moréas		3		•				¥3		213
MERRILL		•	•							221
SAINT-PO	L-R	ουx	•		*:					229
DE MONT	ESC	UIOU		•		•	٠	•	•	235
KAHN		•								243
VERLAINE	0	12		*			( a)	1.20		251
TRANSLAT	TON	S FR	DM I	HE ]	EXT	-			٠	254

Librarian. hat. 5th. BR. 10-13-38 37162

### INTRODUCTION

TO take critical questions seriously, even passionately, is one of the marks of a genuinely civilized society. It points to both personal disinterestedness and to an imaginative absorption in fundamentals. The American who watches eagerly some tilt in that great critical battle which has gone on for ages and has now reached our shores, is released from his slavery to the immediate and the parochial; he has ceased to flinch at the free exercise of thought; he has begun to examine his mind as his fathers examined only their conscience: he is a little less concerned for speed and a little more for direction; he is almost a philosopher and has risen from mere heated gregariousness to voluntary co-operation in a spiritual order. His equipment is, as a rule, still meagre, and so his partisanship is not always an instructed one. He may be overwhelmed by the formidable philosophical apparatus of one critic or merely irritated by the political whims of another. Hence nothing could well be more helpful to him than an introduction to a foreign critic who is at once a stringent thinker and a charming writer, who permitted his insight to be obscured by neither moral nor polit-

#### INTRODUCTION

ical prejudices, who is both urbane and incisive, catholic and discriminating.

Remy de Gourmont, like all the very great critics - Goethe, Ste. Beuve, Hazlitt, Jules Lemaitre - knew the creative instinct and exercised the creative faculty. Hence he understood. what the mere academician, the mere scholar, can never grasp, that literature is life grown flamelike and articulate; that, therefore, like life itself, it varies in aim and character, in form and color and sayor and is the memorable record of and commentary upon each stage in that great process of change that we call the world. To write like the Greeks or the Elizabethans or the French classics is precisely what we must not do. would be both presumptuous and futile. All that we have to contribute to mankind, what is it but just - our selves? If we were duplicates of our greatgrandfathers we would be littering the narrow earth to no enriching purpose; all we have to contribute to literature is, again, our selves. moment, this sensation, this pang, this thought this little that is intimately our own is all we have of the unique and precious and incomparable. Let us express it beautifully, individually, memorably and it is all we can do; it is all that the classics did in their day. To imitate the classics - be one! That is to say, live widely, intensely, unsparingly and record your experience in some

#### INTRODUCTION

timeless form. This, in brief, is the critical theory of Gourmont, this is the background of that startling and yet, upon reflection, so clear and necessary saying of his "The only excuse a man has for writing is that he express himself, that he reveal to others the kind of world reflected in the mirror of his soul; his only excuse is that he be original."

Gourmont, like the Symbolists whom he describes in this volume, founded his theory of the arts upon a metaphysical speculation. He learned from the German idealists, primarily the Post-Kantians and Schopenhauer, that the world is only our representation, only our individual vision and that, since there is no criterion of the existence or the character of an external reality, that vision is, of course, all we actually have to express in art. But to accept his critical theory it is not necessary to accept his metaphysical views. The variety of human experience remains equally infinite and equally fascinating on account of its very infiniteness, whatever its objective content may or may not be. We can dismiss that antecedent and insoluble question and still agree that the best thing a man can give in art as in life is his own self. What kind of a self? One hears at once the hot and angry question of the conservative critic. A disciplined one, by all means, an infinitely and subtly cultivated one. But not one shaped after some given pattern, not a replica, not