

**LETTERS ON THE ETERNAL
SONSHIP OF CHRIST: ADDRESSED
TO THE REV. PROFESSOR
STUART, OF ANDOVER**

Published @ 2017 Trieste Publishing Pty Ltd

ISBN 9780649631476

Letters on the Eternal Sonship of Christ: Addressed to the Rev. Professor Stuart, of Andover by Samuel Miller

Except for use in any review, the reproduction or utilisation of this work in whole or in part in any form by any electronic, mechanical or other means, now known or hereafter invented, including xerography, photocopying and recording, or in any information storage or retrieval system, is forbidden without the permission of the publisher, Trieste Publishing Pty Ltd, PO Box 1576 Collingwood, Victoria 3066 Australia.

All rights reserved.

Edited by Trieste Publishing Pty Ltd.
Cover @ 2017

This book is sold subject to the condition that it shall not, by way of trade or otherwise, be lent, re-sold, hired out, or otherwise circulated without the publisher's prior consent in any form or binding or cover other than that in which it is published and without a similar condition including this condition being imposed on the subsequent purchaser.

www.triestepublishing.com

SAMUEL MILLER

**LETTERS ON THE ETERNAL
SONSHIP OF CHRIST: ADDRESSED
TO THE REV. PROFESSOR
STUART, OF ANDOVER**

Saml. Miller.

LETTERS
ON THE
ETERNAL SONSHIP
OF CHRIST.

Sam. Miller

LETTERS
ON THE
ETERNAL SONSHIP
OF CHRIST:

ADDRESSED TO
THE REV. PROFESSOR STUART,
OF ANDOVER.

BY SAMUEL MILLER, D. D.

PROFESSOR OF ECCLESIASTICAL HISTORY AND CHURCH GOVERNMENT,
IN THE THEOLOGICAL SEMINARY OF THE PRESBYTERIAN
CHURCH, AT PRINCETON.

PHILADELPHIA:
PUBLISHED BY W. W. WOODWARD,
No. 52, SOUTH-WEST CORNER OF CHEST
AND SECOND STREETS.

1823.

EASTERN DISTRICT OF PENNSYLVANIA, TO WIT:


Seal. BE IT REMEMBERED, That on the Sixth day
***** of May in the forty-seventh year of the Independence
***** of the United States of America, A. D. 1823, William
W. Woodward, of the said District, hath deposited in this office
the title of a Book, the right whereof he claims as proprietor,
in the words following, to wit:

Letters on the Eternal Sonship of Christ: addressed to the
Rev. Professor Stuart, of Andover. By Samuel Miller, D. D.
Professor of Ecclesiastical History and Church Government,
in the Theological Seminary of the Presbyterian Church, at
Princeton.

In conformity to the act of the Congress of the United States,
entitled, "An act for the encouragement of Learning, by secur-
ing the Copies of Maps, Charts, and Books, to the authors and
proprietors of such Copies during the times therein mentioned,"
—And also to the Act, entitled "An act supplementary to an act,
entitled "An act for the encouragement of Learning, by secur-
ing the copies of Maps, Charts, and Books, to the authors and
proprietors of such Copies during the times therein mentioned,"
and extending the benefits thereof to the arts of designing, en-
graving, and etching historical and other prints.

D. CALDWELL.

Clerk of the Eastern District of Pennsylvania



CONTENTS.

LETTER I.

INTRODUCTORY remarks—Thanks to Professor S. for the manner in which he has written—The author, however, not satisfied with his arguments—Circumstances which led him to speak of the eternal Sonship of Christ, in his "Letters on Unitarianism"—Regrets his unguarded language in one sentence—Explains the meaning of that sentence—Deprecates the feelings and language of controversy—No reason to apprehend an unfavourable result from this discussion—Queries as to the prevalence of the doctrine opposed, in the United States—Doubts expressed whether great discoveries in Theology are to be expected—We do not necessarily stand on the shoulders of ancient divines—Conclusion. - - - - - Page 13—30

LETTER II.

Statement of the doctrine which the author believes, and proposes to defend—He does not admit into his creed on this subject any ideas of inferiority or subordination on the

part of the Son—Objects to a part of Bishop BULL's creed—Is not willing to acknowledge even TURRETINE as his representative—This doctrine not disputed among the Orthodox till after TURRETINE's death—Doctrine stated at length—Does not admit of being explained—Quotations from AMBROSE and from BASIL to this amount. - 31—43

LETTER III.

Testimony of Scripture—The Bible the only infallible rule of faith and practice—Direct testimony of Scripture—FIRST argument, from the correlate titles of Father and Son—SECOND argument, from the manner in which the phrase "Son of God" is used in scripture—THIRD argument, from those passages which represent the Father as sending his Son, giving his Son, &c.—FOURTH argument, from the great stress laid, in the New Testament, on the Father's wonderful condescension and love, in not withholding his beloved Son, &c.—FIFTH argument, from the language in which the New Testament speaks of the incarnation of the Son of God—SIXTH argument, from the fact, that the titles given to the Persons of the Trinity express relation to each other, as well as co-eternity and co-equality—SEVENTH argument, drawn from detached passages of Scripture. 44—99

LETTER IV.

Answer to those arguments which are drawn from scripture by Professor S.—He holds to several different Filia-

tions—Strong objections to this representation—His doctrine, in some of its aspects, tends to Tri-theism—Objections urged against his position that *LOGOS* is the only appropriate title of Christ, as the Second Person of the Trinity—Objections to his manner of speaking of the opinion, that the Son of God appeared to the Patriarchs under the old dispensation—Remarks on a number of passages in detail, which Professor S. adduces in support of his creed—Close of the Letter. - - - - - 100—145

LETTER V.

Testimony of the Early Fathers—It was not originally intended to confine the appeal to the Ante-Nicene Fathers—Professor Stuart once himself entertained the opinion respecting the Fathers in relation to this subject, which he now opposes—The author never asserted that the Fathers believed in the NECESSITY of the Saviour's Sonship—Several preliminary remarks—The testimony of the Fathers examined in order—BARNABAS—HERMAS—IGNATIUS, with remarks—JUSTIN MARTYR, with remarks at large—Bishop Bull defended in relation to Justin—IRENÆUS, with comments—THEOPHILUS—ATHENAGORAS, with remarks—CLEMENS ALEXANDRINUS, with observations—HIPPOLYTUS, with remarks—Letter of Six Bishops at the Council of Antioch—Confession of Faith, by GREGORY THAUMATURGUS—Genuineness of that Confession defended—ORIGEN—Proof of his opinion on this subject—No evidence that his creed was dictated by his philosophical principles—DIONYSIUS of Alexan-