MECHANICS' LIENS IN PENNSYLVANIA: UNDER THE ACT OF JUNE 4, 1901, P. L. 431, AND SUPPLEMENTS THERETO. WITH FORMS, AND DECISIONS TO DATE Published @ 2017 Trieste Publishing Pty Ltd

ISBN 9780649507467

Mechanics' Liens in Pennsylvania: under the Act of June 4, 1901, P. L. 431, and Supplements Thereto. With Forms, and Decisions to Date by Francis Chapman

Except for use in any review, the reproduction or utilisation of this work in whole or in part in any form by any electronic, mechanical or other means, now known or hereafter invented, including xerography, photocopying and recording, or in any information storage or retrieval system, is forbidden without the permission of the publisher, Trieste Publishing Pty Ltd, PO Box 1576 Collingwood, Victoria 3066 Australia.

All rights reserved.

Edited by Trieste Publishing Pty Ltd. Cover @ 2017

This book is sold subject to the condition that it shall not, by way of trade or otherwise, be lent, re-sold, hired out, or otherwise circulated without the publisher's prior consent in any form or binding or cover other than that in which it is published and without a similar condition including this condition being imposed on the subsequent purchaser.

www.triestepublishing.com

FRANCIS CHAPMAN

MECHANICS' LIENS IN PENNSYLVANIA: UNDER THE ACT OF JUNE 4, 1901, P. L. 431, AND SUPPLEMENTS THERETO. WITH FORMS, AND DECISIONS TO DATE



MECHANICS' LIENS

In Pennsylvania

Under The Act of June 4, 1901, P. L. 431, and Supplements thereto.

With Forms, and Decisions to Date.

BY

FRANCIS CHAPMAN Of the Philadelphia Bar.

OF TEMPLE UNIVERSITY.

PROFESSOR OF PRACTICE IN, AND DRAW OF THE LAW DEPARTMENT

PHILADELPHIA:

GEORGE T. BISEL COMPANY

Law Publishers, Booksellers and Importers

1909

5 1-5/P 332C CA66M

COPYRIGHT, 1909,

BY

GEORGE T. BISEL COMPANY.

NOV 2 1936

PREFACE.

The first Mechanics' Lien law in Pennsylvania was the Act of April 1, 1803, P. L. 591, which applied only to portions of the City of Philadelphia. Other Acts were from time to time enacted without regard to "regular order or proportion" and the resulting confusion was so great that in 1898, the Pennsylvania Bar Association instructed the Committee on Law Reform to consider the state of the Mechanics' Lien law and "in the event of their deeming it proper, recommending the enactment of such laws as may in the opinion of the Committee meet the necessities of the case." In 1899 the Committee reported to the Bar Association, the draft of the Act, now known as the Mechanics' Lien Act of 1901. In drafting the Act, the Committee examined all the lien laws enacted in Pennsylvania as well as other States. The principles governing the Committee in the preparation of the Act are thus stated in the report to the Bar Association:

"In so far as it was deemed possible so to do, we have adhered to the existing law and practice of this State, rather than make immaterial changes in accordance with the laws and practice of other States. This has been done partly because of the well established principle that he who asks a change has the burden of proof to show the necessity for it; partly because a method well understood should not be changed except for grave cause; partly because our methods are alone consonant with our general system of laws; and partly because an attentive study of the statutes of other States has satisfied us that the basic principles of ours are to be preferred. We have not hesitated, however, in extending the rights as well of the owner as of the lien claimant, where existing laws, and the decisions under them have shown the necessity therefor. While we cannot claim that the Act we have drafted is either perfect or strictly logical, we trust it will be found that care has been exercised in conserving, as far as may be, the rights of all concerned."

The Act thus drafted was enacted practically without change. Subsequent legislation has been intended to correct minor defects which time has revealed.

Opinions as to the clearness of the Act vary, but a careful

study of and adherence to its text and of the decisions under it will suffice to keep the practitioner from going wrong. This little book is not intended as a philosophical study of the law of Mechanics' Liens but as an aid to the busy lawyer and as information to the contractor, material-man and mechanic who wishes to know the law as it now is. In preparing the book I have examined every reported case decided under the Act prior to May 1, 1909, and have cited each under its appropriate section.

That my work is perfect I do not believe, for perfection is not human, but as I have tried to make my book serviceable both to Bar and layman, I bespeak their kindly judgment of it.

My grateful acknowledgments are due to S. Spencer Chapman and Robert Mair, Esquires, for their aid in preparing the table of contents and index and for valuable suggestions as to the forms used and in reading and correcting the proofs and thus avoiding many errors which I perhaps would have overlooked.

FRANCIS CHAPMAN.

Philadelphia, May 24, 1909.

TABLE OF CONTENTS.

Control of the Contro	
P	AGE
Mechanics' Liens in Pennsylvania, General Provisions	1
Section 1, Definitions	3
Section 2. Against what a lien may be filed	5
Section 3, What constitutes a curtilage and an erection	8
Section 4, When owner bound by acts of third parties	10
Section 5, Invalid contract	11
Section 6, Work or material furnished for public purposes	12
Section 7. Ruling claimant to file claim	17
Section 8, Notice by sub-contractor of intention to file a claim	18
Section 9, Protection to owner	22
Section 10, Prosecution and filing of claims	23
Section 11, Contents of the claim	24
Section 12, Kinds of claims	30
Section 13, Encumbrance of liens	31
Section 14, Proceedings to ascertain value of property	33
Section 15, Waiver of right to file a claim	34
Section 16, Agreement for payment in other than legal tender	37
Section 17, Agreement for payment at specified times	39
Section 18, Sub-contractors bound by agreement filed	40
Section 19. Contracts impairing rights of claimants	41
Section 20, Proceedings in bankruptcy or insolvency against owner or contractor	42
Section 21, Notice to the owner of filing of claim	43
Section 22, Use claimants	45
Section 23, Proceedings where error is made in claim filed	46
Section 24, Intervention by third parties as defendants and substitution of	40
defendants by claimants	47
Section 25, Proceedings for discharge of liens	48
Section 26, Assignment of claims	50
Section 27, Removal or detachment of structures	51
Section 28, Suits and attachments for labor and materials	52
Section 29, Form of writ of summons	53
Section 30, Alias and pluries writ of summons	54
Section 31, Proceedings to compel issuance of scire facias	55
Section 32, Form of scire facias	56
Section 33, Service of scire facias	57
Section 34, Entry of judgment and assessment of damages	200
Section 35, Effect of judgment against contractor	58
Section 36, Nature and kind of defences by contractor	59 60
	61
Section 37, Effect of judgment against owner	0.000
Section 39, Sequestration of rents, profits, etc.	64
Section or, Sequentration of rents, profits, etc	04

TABLE OF CONTENTS.

		PAGE
Section 40, Revival of claim by writ of scire facias		65
Section 41, Service of scire facins to revive		67
Section 42, Practice and proceedings to revive		68
Section 43, Entry of record on judgment index		69
Section 44, Execution by and form of levari facias		70
Section 45, Title acquired by purchaser at sheriff's sale		71
Section 46, Execution against quasi-public corporations		72
Section 47, Sale of lease-hold estate at judicial sale		73
Section 48, Stay of proceedings		
Section 49, Rights of claimants in case of fire		
Section 50, Requirements as to security		77
Section 51, Right of amendments of record papers		
Section 52, Return, service, filing, etc., of pleadings		
Section 53, Service of notice, rules, petitions		
Section 54, Entry of satisfaction by claimant		
Section 55, Distribution of proceeds		84
Section 56, Priority of distribution of funds in legal proceedings	****	85
Section 57, Receipt as condition precedent to payment		86
Section 58, Purpose of act as to rights and liabilities		
Section 59, Appeals to supreme and superior courts		88
Section 60, Application of the act		89
Section 61, Repeals		
Practical Hints as to Mechanics' Liens		
Forms		113
Index	enn mesevi	119

TABLE OF CASES.

#I	12	PAGE
Addis v. Lehr		
Am. Car Co. v. Water Co	§§ 1, 8, 11 2, 20, 28	, 29.
Ballman v. Heron		
Bank v. Construction Co		
Beam v. Geiselman	\$9 8, 51 20,	79.
Billmyer v. Brubaker		
Breitweiser v. Scott		
Brubaker's Est	11	29.
Burns v. Judge	§§ 11, 21, 51 28, 44,	80.
Collins v. R. R	\$ 8	19.
Compton v. Sankey	§\$ 21, 51 43,	80.
Cordes v. Ralston	§ 24	47.
Crider v. McCafferty	68 8-11-21 19, 27,	43.
Davis v. Church		
Day v. R. R		
Deichley's Est	56	85.
Dunbar v. Foundry Co	\$ 3	. 9.
East End Mantle Co. v. Plumbing Co.,.	\$ 2, 11, 36 7, 29,	60.
East Stroudsburg Co.'s Ap		
Emerick v. Transfer Co		
Este v. R. R.	§ § 8, 23 20,	46.
Fairlamb v. Smedley		
Felin v. Conway		
Fenner v. Trust Co		
Fisher Foundry Co. v. Iron Co		
Funck v. Iron Co	8	20.
Gerrard v. Ecker		
Getz v. Brubaker		
Glassport L. Co. v. Wolf		
Guarantee B. & L. Assn. v. Connor		
Guest v. Water Co	2	. 8,
Haas v. Hay		
Hall v. Blackburn		
Heddon v. Wainwright	11	28.
7774		