

**THE UNTOLD SEQUEL OF
THE STRANGE
CASE OF DR.
JEKYLL AND MR. HYDE**

Published @ 2017 Trieste Publishing Pty Ltd

ISBN 9780649317455

The Untold Sequel of the Strange Case of Dr. Jekyll and Mr. Hyde by Francis H. Little

Except for use in any review, the reproduction or utilisation of this work in whole or in part in any form by any electronic, mechanical or other means, now known or hereafter invented, including xerography, photocopying and recording, or in any information storage or retrieval system, is forbidden without the permission of the publisher, Trieste Publishing Pty Ltd, PO Box 1576 Collingwood, Victoria 3066 Australia.

All rights reserved.

Edited by Trieste Publishing Pty Ltd.
Cover @ 2017

This book is sold subject to the condition that it shall not, by way of trade or otherwise, be lent, re-sold, hired out, or otherwise circulated without the publisher's prior consent in any form or binding or cover other than that in which it is published and without a similar condition including this condition being imposed on the subsequent purchaser.

www.triestepublishing.com

FRANCIS H. LITTLE

**THE UNTOLD SEQUEL OF
THE STRANGE
CASE OF DR.
JEKYLL AND MR. HYDE**

THE UNTOLD SEQUEL
OF
The Strange Case
OF
DR. JEKYL AND MR. HYDE.



BOSTON:
PINCKNEY PUBLISHING CO.
PUBLISHERS.

Copyright, 1890,
BY PINCKNEY PUBLISHING CO.

University Press :
JOHN WILSON AND SON, CAMBRIDGE.

THE UNTOLD SEQUEL

OF THE

STRANGE CASE OF DR. JEKYLL AND
MR. HYDE.



CHAPTER I.

My name is Utterson,— Linus Utterson. I am a lawyer in Soho, and have practised law there for thirty years or more. I am well known to men of my profession, particularly to the Hon. Joseph Undercoat, Enoch Willard, and other equally well-known men, to whom I refer you as to my character and integrity as a professional man.

What follows below, the facts and details in the well-known case of Dr. Jekyll and Mr. Hyde, I have duly sworn to before reputable witnesses, and therefore trust that the public will receive them in the good faith in which they are offered.

In view of the fact that my name has appeared in connection with the history of the case already given to the public as *facts*, some of you may say that in now coming forward with still another statement that I am making retraction of the first account, thereby declaring the first account aforesaid to be false, and so accusing myself of perjury, since the first account was also sworn to.

I deny this; I go still further, and declare the details as given already to the public to be absolutely correct,—that is,

they are correct in one sense and wrong in another. The incidents, conversation, in fact all the tragic details, occurred exactly as you know them; the places of incident given are correct, — yet, paradoxical as it may seem, you do not know the truth. We were deceived almost from the first; the conclusions drawn from the circumstantial evidence before our eyes were utterly false, and as such, helped to augment the success of one of the cleverest, the cruellest, and most hellish plots ever conceived by mortal man.

For the benefit of those who have not followed the history of the case, I will sketch it, as briefly as possible, as already given by the Hon. Mr. Stevenson, since, as I have already stated, that history is absolutely correct on the face of it.