## THE PHILOSOPHY OF SPEECH

Published @ 2017 Trieste Publishing Pty Ltd

ISBN 9780649163434

The philosophy of speech by George Willis

Except for use in any review, the reproduction or utilisation of this work in whole or in part in any form by any electronic, mechanical or other means, now known or hereafter invented, including xerography, photocopying and recording, or in any information storage or retrieval system, is forbidden without the permission of the publisher, Trieste Publishing Pty Ltd, PO Box 1576 Collingwood, Victoria 3066 Australia.

All rights reserved.

Edited by Trieste Publishing Pty Ltd. Cover @ 2017

This book is sold subject to the condition that it shall not, by way of trade or otherwise, be lent, re-sold, hired out, or otherwise circulated without the publisher's prior consent in any form or binding or cover other than that in which it is published and without a similar condition including this condition being imposed on the subsequent purchaser.

www.triestepublishing.com

## **GEORGE WILLIS**

# THE PHILOSOPHY OF SPEECH

Trieste

## THE PHILOSOPHY OF SPEECH

31

### GEORGE WILLIS

AUTHOR OF "ANY SOLDIER TO HIS SON."



LONDON: GEORGE ALLEN & UNWIN LTD. RUSKIN HOUSE, 40 MUSEUM STREET, W.C. 1 First published in 1919

(All rights reserved)

16

## CONTENTS

CHAPTI	ER					PAGE
1.	THE BIRTH OF SPEECH	÷	80	•	0	7
н.	THE GROWTH OF SPEECH	•	*	343	34	30
ш.	SPEECH AND THOUGHT	30	$\sim 10^{-10}$	( <b>*</b> )	94	41
IV.	METAPHOR, OR THE TRANS	FERE	NCE OF N	IAMES	3	50
٧.	GRAMMAR, OR THE THEOR	V OF	SPEECH	(543)	84	71
VI.	THE REFORM OF SPELLING	22	¥2	(121)		801
VII.	THE ORIGIN OF COSIGNIFIC	CANT	s 🔅	121	•	137
vш,	PURISM	22	1	8	1	152
ix.	CORRECT SPEECH .	×	8	•	٠	176
x.	THOUGHT WITHOUT WORD	s	•	•	•	198
XI.	SPEECH AND EDUCATION			8	•	206
	APPENDIX ON ARISTOTLE'S	THE	ORY OF C	RAMMA	R	241
	INDEX			a		251

24

₹a

## The Philosophy of Speech

#### CHAPTER I

#### THE BIRTH OF SPEECH

HERODOTUS tells us that the Phrygians and Egyptians both claimed to be the oldest nation on the earth till Psammeticus, King of Egypt, decided to settle the question once for all, and with that end performed the following experiment. He took two new-born infants and gave them in charge of a shepherd, who had orders to place them both in a lonely hut among the hills, to allow them the maternal attentions of a nanny-goat, and on no account to let them hear the sound of human speech. These instructions the shepherd carried out for two years, when one day on opening the door of the hut, both children rushed towards him with outstretched arms exclaiming "Bekos." At first the shepherd took no notice of this demonstration, but when they repeated it at every

#### 8 THE PHILOSOPHY OF SPEECH

subsequent entrance, he reported the matter to the king. The king ordered the children to be brought into his presence, and having assured himself that what the shepherd had reported was correct, he set enquiries on foot to discover if *bekos* was a word employed in any existing language, and found that it was a Phrygian word for *bread*. This result was supposed to have established the claim of the Phrygians to be the oldest nation on earth.

It must be regretted that the King of Egypt did not carry his investigation further and in a more scientific spirit, for in that case he might have solved for all time a far more interesting question than the relative antiquity of the Phrygians and Egyptians-the question of the origin of language. In no other way could a final answer be given to this tantalising problem than by isolating two or more human beings in the manner described by Herodotus, and by observing what means of communication they evolved by the single light of nature, and whether these had any points in common with the existing languages of to-day. The question, therefore, is not likely to receive any conclusive answer in our time, for among those nations which are imbued with sufficient scientific curiosity to desire a solution, such experiments with human

### THE BIRTH OF SPEECH

beings are forbidden alike by sentiment and morality.

At the present time the theorists on this subject are divided into two schools, who we may call the evolutionists and the agnostics. The former hold that language evolved from natural cries and ejaculations and from imitations of natural sounds. Thus the noun *ache* is merely the ejaculation "*ach*!" the cry of pain; the pronoun *me* is the ejaculation "*ahem*!" by which the intending speaker calls attention to his own presence (in Sanskrit "aham" = I). The evolutionists admit that the chain of association in the case of many words consists wholly of missing links, and content themselves with exhibiting those few which still exist in a perfect state.

The word whose interjectional pedigree has been most satisfactorily established is "ugly." Chance has preserved to us several fragments of old Scotch poetry which seem designed to confirm the evolutionist theory.

The rattling drum, the trumpet's shout, Delight young swankies that are stout, What his kind frighted mother ugs (i.e. views with horror) Is music to the sodger's lugs.

In a passage of Hardynge, it is related how the Abbess of Coldinghame, having cut off her