CORNELL STUDIES IN PHILISOPHY NO. 5. MAINE DE BIRAN'S PHILOSOPHY OF WILL

Published @ 2017 Trieste Publishing Pty Ltd

ISBN 9780649424429

Cornell Studies in Philisophy No. 5. Maine de Biran's Philosophy of Will by Nathan E. Truman

Except for use in any review, the reproduction or utilisation of this work in whole or in part in any form by any electronic, mechanical or other means, now known or hereafter invented, including xerography, photocopying and recording, or in any information storage or retrieval system, is forbidden without the permission of the publisher, Trieste Publishing Pty Ltd, PO Box 1576 Collingwood, Victoria 3066 Australia.

All rights reserved.

Edited by Trieste Publishing Pty Ltd. Cover @ 2017

This book is sold subject to the condition that it shall not, by way of trade or otherwise, be lent, re-sold, hired out, or otherwise circulated without the publisher's prior consent in any form or binding or cover other than that in which it is published and without a similar condition including this condition being imposed on the subsequent purchaser.

www.triestepublishing.com

NATHAN E. TRUMAN

CORNELL STUDIES IN PHILISOPHY NO. 5. MAINE DE BIRAN'S PHILOSOPHY OF WILL





MAINE DE BIRAN.

From Lévy-Bruhl's History of Modern Philosophy in France.—Courtesy of the Open Court
Publishing Company.

CORNELL STUDIES IN PHILOSOPHY No. 5

MAINE DE BIRAN'S PHILOSOPHY OF WILL

NATHAN E. TRUMAN, A.M., Ph.D.

New York
THE MACMILLAN COMPANY
LONDON: MACMILLAN & CO., LTD.
1904

Č.

PREFACE.

No special account of Maine de Biran's philosophy has before appeared in English, and the sources are rendered somewhat difficult by the author's highly involved style. It has seemed, therefore, that a somewhat extended exposition of his work may prove useful. In the composition of this monograph my object has been two-fold: to give a statement of Biran's system, and to show his exact position in the history of speculative thought. As a result of careful investigation, I have found it necessary to call attention to the unitary character of the system, which, as a matter of fact, centers around the single idea - will. This conclusion is, of course, opposed to the view of Naville, who in his introduction to the Œuvres inédites divides Biran's work into three sharply distinguished periods. I am convinced, however, that this division rests on insufficient grounds. For in the idea of activity is to be found the keynote of the entire philosophy. clearly evident in the writings assigned by Naville to the earlier and the later periods, as well as in the more important works that were written during the intervening years.

On the whole, it may seem surprising that I have not emphasized more strongly the importance of Biran's philosophy. It is perhaps unusual in a work of this kind to minimize the significance of the subject. However that may be, I have to confess that the motive which led me to begin my study, the expectation of finding elements of permanent value in Biran's philosophy based on frequent references to him as 'the French Kant,' has scarcely been realized by my subsequent investigation. Even with the most sympathetic interpretation, Biran cannot be placed among philosophers of the first rank. Kant's great significance does not consist merely in his emphasis on the activity of mind against the empiricists, but rather in the fact that he shows that the activity in which the nature of mind is expressed is universal and objective in character. Biran, however, remains at the point of

view of empiricism; for his epistemology is developed from the subjective psychological fact of will, and continues relative to the end. The universal and necessary character of causality is left unexplained. His psychology aims at being introspective and factual, but is lost in a bewildering mass of abstractions. I have shown that he stands for a position which is neither a third view correlative with empiricism and rationalism nor a synthesis of these two recognized systems, but rather an extension of the former—a development of the Locke-Condillac school, yet a development that is still on the same epistemological plane.

Finally it should be noted that my conclusions in regard to Biran's relation to subsequent philosophical positions refer exclusively to the logical connection of his ideas, and not to his indirect influence, which was certainly very great, but which I have made no attempt to estimate. With this reservation, my results indicate that his effect on later thought, e. g., on that of Cousin or of Renouvier, was not extensive.

In working out this subject I have received most valuable advice and suggestions from Professor J. E. Creighton, under whom I had been studying during the time devoted to the composition of the monograph, and from Professor Ernest Albee, who very kindly read my manuscript at an early stage.

N. E. T.

BAINBRIDGE, N. Y.

CONTENTS.

	<u> </u>	
SECTION	0 840	PAGE.
I.	Life and Works	1
II.	OBJECTIONS TO NAVILLE'S VIEW OF BIRAN'S DE-	
III.	BIRAN'S RELATION TO EARLIER THINKERS: LOCKE,	3
	CONDILLAC, KANT, AND REID	6
IV.	PSYCHOLOGICAL BASIS OF BIRAN'S PHILOSOPHY	22
V.	DEDUCTION OF THE CATEGORIES	30
VI.	DIVISIONS OF THE PSYCHOLOGY	41
VII.	Affective System	44
VIII.	Sensitive System	47
IX.	Perceptive System	51
X.	Reflective System	59
XI.	COMPARISON OF BIRAN'S Psychologie WITH CONDIL-	
	LAC'S Traité des sensations	69
XII.	ETHICS AND ÆSTHETICS	72
XIII.	Religion	78
XIV.	BIRAN'S RELATION TO SUBSEQUENT THINKERS: COUSIN,	100000
	COMTE, RENOUVIER, AND FOUILLÉE	81
BIBLIC	GRAPHY	91
INDEX		93

)