

**THE EDUCATION  
QUESTION AND THE  
LIBERAL PARTY**

Published @ 2017 Trieste Publishing Pty Ltd

ISBN 9780649533428

The Education Question and the Liberal Party by Malcolm MacColl

Except for use in any review, the reproduction or utilisation of this work in whole or in part in any form by any electronic, mechanical or other means, now known or hereafter invented, including xerography, photocopying and recording, or in any information storage or retrieval system, is forbidden without the permission of the publisher, Trieste Publishing Pty Ltd, PO Box 1576 Collingwood, Victoria 3066 Australia.

All rights reserved.

Edited by Trieste Publishing Pty Ltd.  
Cover @ 2017

This book is sold subject to the condition that it shall not, by way of trade or otherwise, be lent, re-sold, hired out, or otherwise circulated without the publisher's prior consent in any form or binding or cover other than that in which it is published and without a similar condition including this condition being imposed on the subsequent purchaser.

[www.triestepublishing.com](http://www.triestepublishing.com)

**MALCOLM MACCOLL**

**THE EDUCATION  
QUESTION AND THE  
LIBERAL PARTY**



THE EDUCATION QUESTION

AND

THE LIBERAL PARTY

BY THE

REV. MALCOLM MACCOLL, D.D., F.R.S.L.

CANON RESIDENTIARY OF BIPON

LONGMANS, GREEN, AND CO.

39 PATERNOSTER ROW, LONDON

NEW YORK AND BOMBAY

1902

All rights reserved.



*Mary Osgood fund*

HARVARD UNIVERSITY  
GRADUATE SCHOOL OF EDUCATION  
MONROE C. GUTMAN LIBRARY

LC93  
G7M2

# CONTENTS

	PAGE
Introductory . . . . .	1
Dr. Hook's solution and Mr. Gladstone's approval . . . . .	2
Government scheme . . . . .	3
Act of 1870 received as a choice of evils . . . . .	3
Nonconformist hostility to it . . . . .	4
Attitude of Churchmen . . . . .	4
Origin and motive of the Education Bill . . . . .	5
Agitation against the Bill discriminated . . . . .	7
Churchmen differ about the Bill . . . . .	8
Author's attitude towards Nonconformists . . . . .	10
True and false proselytism . . . . .	13
Gospel ideal of Christian unity . . . . .	14
Newman on proselytism . . . . .	16
Proselytism in Church schools rare . . . . .	17
Nonconformists' claim to a monopoly of grievances examined . . . . .	18
Twofold duty of the State, and Mr. Chamberlain's view . . . . .	19
Dr. Clifford's solution and its corollary . . . . .	21
Undenominational education the negation of Christianity, and even Theism . . . . .	22
Argument from the Sermon on the Mount . . . . .	22
Undenominational religion impossible . . . . .	25
Testimony of experience . . . . .	25
Mr. Gace's catechism . . . . .	26
Cowper-Temple clause no security against sectarian teaching . . . . .	27
Religious teaching in Board schools . . . . .	29
The clergy and the schools . . . . .	30

	PAGE
Erastian attitude of Nonconformists . . . . .	81
Mr. Gladstone on Erastianism and Nonconformity . . . . .	81
Cowper-Temple clause a grievance to Churchmen . . . . .	85
Grievance of Churchmen admitted by the Opposition . . . . .	85
Increase and composition of Voluntary schools . . . . .	88
Leading Liberals recommend the substance of the Education Bill proleptically . . . . .	40
The Local Authority . . . . .	41
No taxation without representation . . . . .	43
Under the Bill Nonconformists will not pay any part of religious teaching in Voluntary schools . . . . .	44
Constitutional doctrines have their limitations . . . . .	45
Bill will diminish clerical power . . . . .	48
Appointment of teachers in Voluntary schools . . . . .	49
<i>Quid pro quo</i> of the Education Bill . . . . .	51
Fallacy of Opposition's reasoning in <i>re</i> appointment of teachers .	51
'The best man' argument . . . . .	56
The 'Atmosphere' argument . . . . .	59
Nonconformists and the Bradlaugh case . . . . .	64
Mr. Sidney Webb on the Education Bill . . . . .	65
Professor Goldwin Smith on the Education Bill . . . . .	67
Need of definition in this controversy . . . . .	69
'False' <i>versus</i> 'insufficient' Christianity . . . . .	70
What is 'insufficient Christianity' ? . . . . .	71
Mr. Birrell's dilemma . . . . .	72
Dr. Clifford's definition of secular education implies a religious test . . . . .	72
Opponents of the Bill offer no alternative . . . . .	74
Sir Henry Fowler on the Education Bill . . . . .	74
Mr. Bright quoted . . . . .	75
Present system of education a failure . . . . .	76
Sir H. Fowler's inconsistent conditions . . . . .	76
Purchase of school buildings by the State . . . . .	78
Denominational buildings not national property . . . . .	79
Meaning of 'National schools' . . . . .	80



## CONTENTS

vii

	PAGE
The real issue . . . . .	80
Bearing of Christian education on property and politics . . . . .	82
Would Christian morality survive the ruin of dogmatic Christianity? . . . . .	83
Formal and material heresy compared with formal and material Christianity . . . . .	84
The conduct of nations, not of individuals, the true test . . . . .	85
Education of the intellect alone tends to moral depravity, <i>e.g.</i> Greece and Rome . . . . .	85
Christianity alone arrested the ruin . . . . .	88
Modern civilisation the creation of Christianity . . . . .	89
Mr. Herbert Spencer's opinion . . . . .	89
Undenominational education no remedy . . . . .	90
Unstable attitude of Opposition leaders . . . . .	91
The new Liberalism and the old . . . . .	98
Examples . . . . .	94
Lord Rosebery's two Edinburgh speeches . . . . .	95
Mr. Gladstone's Liverpool speech and Lord Rosebery's consequent resignation . . . . .	97
Mr. Gladstone's influence on foreign politics . . . . .	101
Mr. Gladstone and the Corn tax . . . . .	103
A great danger of the day . . . . .	106
Kruger telegram and Fashoda incident . . . . .	107
Difficulty of Gladstonian Liberals . . . . .	108



THE  
EDUCATION QUESTION  
AND THE  
LIBERAL PARTY

DEAR DR. GUINNESS ROGERS,—

When we met last month at the opening of St. Deiniol's Library at Hawarden you reminded me of a pleasant dinner-party at which we were both guests some years ago, at the house of the late lamented Mr. Clayden. I was the only Churchman present; the rest were Nonconformists of note belonging to various denominations. 'Do you remember,' you asked me, 'that the main subject of conversation was the question of elementary education, and that you and I agreed, while the rest differed from us and from each other?' I remember it well, although it slipped my memory till you recalled it. It seemed to you then, as it has always done to me, that, in view of our unhappy divisions on matters of religion, the most logical and equitable solution of the question would be the taking over by the