THE CONFLICT OVER JUDICIAL POWERS IN THE UNITED STATES TO 1870, VOL. XXXV, NO. 1

Published @ 2017 Trieste Publishing Pty Ltd

ISBN 9780649189410

The conflict over judicial powers in the United States to 1870, Vol. XXXV, No. 1 by Charles Grove Haines

Except for use in any review, the reproduction or utilisation of this work in whole or in part in any form by any electronic, mechanical or other means, now known or hereafter invented, including xerography, photocopying and recording, or in any information storage or retrieval system, is forbidden without the permission of the publisher, Trieste Publishing Pty Ltd, PO Box 1576 Collingwood, Victoria 3066 Australia.

All rights reserved.

Edited by Trieste Publishing Pty Ltd. Cover @ 2017

This book is sold subject to the condition that it shall not, by way of trade or otherwise, be lent, re-sold, hired out, or otherwise circulated without the publisher's prior consent in any form or binding or cover other than that in which it is published and without a similar condition including this condition being imposed on the subsequent purchaser.

www.triestepublishing.com

CHARLES GROVE HAINES

THE CONFLICT OVER JUDICIAL POWERS IN THE UNITED STATES TO 1870, VOL. XXXV, NO. 1

Trieste

THE CONFLICT OVER JUDICIAL POWERS IN THE UNITED STATES TO 1870

1

12

nus H1533C

STUDIES IN HISTORY, ECONOMICS AND PUBLIC LAW

EDITED BY THE FACULTY OF POLITICAL SCIENCE OF COLUMBIA UNIVERSITY

Volume XXXV]

[Number 1

45728

Whole Number 92

THE CONFLICT OVER JUDICIAL POWERS

In the United States to 1870

BY

CHARLES GROVE HAINES, Ph.D.

Sometime George William Curtis Fellow in Columbia University Professor of History and Political Science, Ursinus College



New Dork COLUMBIA UNIVERSITY LONGMANS, GREEN & CO., AGENTS LONDON: P. S. KING & SON

1909

COPYRIGHT, 1909

BY

CHARLES GROVE HAINES

PREFACE

THIS essay is the outgrowth of a special study of one of the problems of constitutional law begun at Ursinus College in 1903, under the direction of Dr. J. Lynn Barnard, now of the School of Pedagogy, Philadelphia. The main features of the monograph were planned and partially developed while pursuing the courses in constitutional law offered by Professor John W. Burgess, Dean of the Faculty of Political Science of Columbia University. The search has been continued in the reports of judicial decisions, executive messages, legislative debates and resolutions and newspaper comment for public sentiment bearing on the right of the judiciary to declare legislative acts void.

American constitutional history reveals an almost continuous conflict over the assertion of this right by the judiciary in the United States. The controversy originated at the time when the rising nationality in America found itself at the parting of the ways—toward a supreme power in the legislature, or toward a modified form of supremacy in courts of justice. The contest, begun when judges refused to execute legislative acts which they considered contrary to fundamental laws or constitutions, has been one of the foremost problems in the practical working of our federal government, and, according to the recent indications, is likely to assume greater importance in the future.

This study aims to trace the sentiment relative to the exercise of judicial authority prior to 1870. The purpose has been to show the gradual development of the extraordinary powers of the judiciary in the United States, and to present a brief analysis of representative opinions on the conflict involved in this development. The rapid industrial progress since 1870 and the extension of judicial authority resulting therefrom has led to a series of controversies which will require a separate treatment.

Scarcely more than a beginning has been made in the vast field of material which is included within the scope of this paper. In fact, since the sources to be examined cover such a wide range of history, government, politics and law no contribution is likely to offer much of permanent value unless it has been the outgrowth of a life-long study in constitutional history and political practice. With a keen appreciation of the limitations of this attempt, the following pages, offered as a preliminary essay, are intended to serve merely as an introduction to a more exhaustive treatise,

In the preparation of Chapter 1, I have been obliged to make constant use of the collection of cases and notes prepared and edited by the late Professor Thayer, in his *Cases* on *Constitutional Law*, and the essay of Brinton Coxe on *Judicial Power and Unconstitutional Legislation*, edited by William M. Meigs, Esq. For an account of a few of the conflicts of the states with the federal judiciary, the historical notes and papers in the collection of *State Documents* on *Federal Relations* by Professor H. V. Ames, have been relied upon. I wish, therefore, to express my appreciation for the aid received from these works.

I also wish to acknowledge my indebtedness to Professor Charles A. Beard of Columbia University for sympathetic guidance and the many helpful criticisms, which have made it possible to present the essay in its present form, to Dr. Barnard for valuable suggestions at every step in the preparation of manuscript, and to Professor Burgess for the inspiration toward a critical study of public law in the light of modern historical methods.

CHARLES G. HAINES.

Collegeville, PA., MAY 1, 1909.

CONTENTS

CHAPTER I

FAGE

JUDICIAL POWERS BEFORE THE ADOPTION OF THE FEDERAL CONSTITUTION

I.,	The legislature supreme			19		+		•			٠			÷.	÷	÷			$\hat{\mathbf{x}}$	11
	In England		•	٠	53		4	1	÷					•			543 -	4	3	11
	In America				•				•			+								 12
	Madison's opinion -																			14
2,	Restrictions on legislativ	e	aı	ill	07	il	y i	72	E	ng	,la	ın	d							16
	Transfer of sovereignt	у						× s			•							e.		16
	Denial of sovereignty	to	5 1	Pa	rli	an	101	nt	•			4								17
3.	Judicial resistance to legi																			10
	Argument of Otis																			10
	Holmes vs. Walton, 1																			21
	Commonwealth vs. C																			23
	Rutgers vs. Waddings																			24
	Trevett vs. Weeden, 1																			28
	Den vs. Singleton, N																			30
	Opinion of James Iree																			31
	Opinion of Richard S																			33
	Committee on Appeal																			34

CHAPTER II

EARLY CONFLICTS OVER JUDICIAL NULLIFICATION BY FEDERAL COURT	5
1. Judicial powers and the Constitution	6
Sentiment in the convention	б
Objections to the plan of the convention	7
Hamilton's opinion	8
2. The organization and early development of the federal judiciary. 4	3
An inauspicious beginning	4
Opinion of Madison	б
Hayburn's case	7
7] 7	