THE UNIVERSITY OF CHICAGO. THE FIRST PART OF SIR JOHN OLDCASTLE: A HISTORICAL DRAMA; A DISSERTATION SUBMITTED TO THE FACULTY OF THE GRADUATE SCHOOL OF ARTS AND LITERATURE

Published @ 2017 Trieste Publishing Pty Ltd

ISBN 9780649530403

The University of Chicago. The First Part of Sir John Oldcastle: A Historical Drama; A Dissertation Submitted to the Faculty of the Graduate School of Arts and Literature by Michael Drayton & John Robertson Macarthur

Except for use in any review, the reproduction or utilisation of this work in whole or in part in any form by any electronic, mechanical or other means, now known or hereafter invented, including xerography, photocopying and recording, or in any information storage or retrieval system, is forbidden without the permission of the publisher, Trieste Publishing Pty Ltd, PO Box 1576 Collingwood, Victoria 3066 Australia.

All rights reserved.

Edited by Trieste Publishing Pty Ltd. Cover @ 2017

This book is sold subject to the condition that it shall not, by way of trade or otherwise, be lent, re-sold, hired out, or otherwise circulated without the publisher's prior consent in any form or binding or cover other than that in which it is published and without a similar condition including this condition being imposed on the subsequent purchaser.

www.triestepublishing.com

THE UNIVERSITY OF CHICAGO. THE FIRST PART OF SIR JOHN OLDCASTLE: A HISTORICAL DRAMA; A DISSERTATION SUBMITTED TO THE FACULTY OF THE GRADUATE SCHOOL OF ARTS AND LITERATURE



The University of Chicago FOUNDED BY JOHN D. BOCKEFFELLER

THE FIRST PART OF

SIR JOHN OLDCASTLE

A HISTORICAL DRAMA

BY

MICHAEL DRAYTON, ANTHONY MUNDAY, RICHARD HATHWAY, AND ROBERT WILSON

> EDITED WITH AN INTRODUCTION, CRITICAL TEXT. AND NOTES

ŧ

A DISSERTATION SUBMITTED TO THE FACULTY OF THE GRADUATE SCHOOL OF ARTS AND LITERATURE OF THE UNIVERSITY OF CHICAGO IN CAN-DIDACY FOR THE DEGREE OF DOCTOR OF PHILOSOPHY

(DEPARTMENT OF ENGLISH)

BY

:-

÷

JOHN ROBERTSON MACARTHUR

CHICAGO

SCOTT, FORESMAN AND COMPANY

1907

E.K.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

I must first express my deepest obligation to Professor John Matthews Manly, the head of the department of English in the University of Chicago, not only for suggesting this study, but for numberless manifestations of sympathetic interest in my work. He is responsible for having awakened in me, as well as in many others of the students who have been privileged to work under him, a love and admiration for the scholarly work of which he himself has given most illustrious examples.

Unfortunately, when I had but half completed the work, the serious illness of Professor Manly deprived me of his direction and his advice. His place was filled by Professor Frederick Ives Carpenter, whose patience and unceasing kindness, especially in allowing me access to his library of rare books, I take the greatest pleasure in acknowledging.

To Miss Jessie L. Gordon, of New York City, my best thanks are due for a painstaking transcript of the original edition of "Sir John Oldcastle" in the Lenox Library; and also to Miss Edith Rickert, of London, England, who made a scholarly collation for me of the transcription with the rare edition in the British Museum.

I have to thank Professor A. H. Tolman, of the University, for many helpful criticisms, especially on the notes. Mr. Lemuel Whitaker, of the Northeast Manual Training School, Philadelphia, furnished me with several interesting items concerning Michael Drayton; and to Mr. G. F. Reynolds I owe several valuable suggestions.

.

CONTENTS

e:

2	AGE
ACKNOWLEDGMENTS	8
HISTORY OF THE TEXT AND ITS EDITIONS Records in Henslowe. Records in the Stationers' Register. Editions: A, B, C, D, etc. Relations of A, B, C, D. Other Editions. The Second Part of Sir John Oldcastle.	7
SOURCES AND GROWTH OF THE PLAY The Real Sir John Oldcastle. Immediate Sources —Holinshed. Causes which led to the Writing of Sir John Oldcastle: Oldcastle and Falstaff; Influence of Foxe; Growth of the Oldcastle Story in two forms, Catholic and Protestant; Writing of Sir John Old- castle; Weever's Poem; Influence of Henry IV and V on Oldcastle; Influence of Peele's Edward I—Rela- tions in detail; Relation to Greene's Pinner of Wake- field; Relation to the Merry Devil of Edmonton.	18
DRAMATIC TECHNIQUE	52
AUTHORSHIP OF THE PLAY Drayton. Hathway. Munday. Wilson. List of Plays written by these Men. Speculation as to the Parts taken by the four Authors in the Play—Fleay's View—Negative Results.	58
TEXT OF A, WITH VARIANTS FROM B, C, AND D	65
Notes	42
BIBLIOGRAPHY	

.

е ж

- 39

۵. 8 • 8 . . 1.1

INTRODUCTION

The earliest notice of the play of Sir John Oldcastle is found in the diary of Philip Henslowe and reads as follows:

This 16 of october 99

li

Received by me, Thomas Downton, of phillip Henslow, to pay Mr Monday, Mr Drayton, and Mr Wilson and Hathway, for the first pte of the lyfe of Sr Jhon Ouldeasstell, and in 10 earnest of the second pte, for the use of the compayny, ten pownd, I say received......

This record leaves no doubt as to the authorship of our play. The custom of joint authorship was very common, and there are records of as many as six persons collaborating upon a single piece. This custom probably owed its origin to the necessity of preparing plays for the stage with the greatest possible despatch in order to satisfy the demands of the Elizabethan theatre-going public.

The entry given above is followed almost immediately by this one:

> Received of Mr Hinchloe, for Mr Munday and the Reste of the poets, at the playnge of Sr John s Oldcastell, the ferste tyme. As a gefte......¹ x

The record is undated, but occurs between entries of the first and eighth of November, 1599; hence we may assume with Collier that the initial performance of the play took place between those dates. Moreover, it seems that the drama scored an instant success, a circumstance which so pleased the manager, Henslowe, that he bestowed upon the playwrights the present herein mentioned.

There are other records in Henslowe's diary, some relating to the second part of "Sir John Oldcastle"; and some which may refer to the first part, but more probably to the second part. These we shall consider a little later, when we take up the question of the second play, which, as far as we know, has not come down to us.

¹ Henslowe's Diary, p. 158, ed. J. P. Collier, Shaksp. Soc., Vol. VII, L., 1854.

THE FIRST PART OF SIR JOHN OLD-CASTLE

8

Both parts are mentioned in the following entry from the Stationers' Register under the date of the eleventh of August, 1600:¹

Thomas pavier Entred for his copies under the handes of master VICARS and the

wardens. These iij copies viz-The first parte of the history of the life of Sir JOHN OLDCASTELL lord COBHAM. Item the second and last parte of the history of

There were two editions of "The First Part of Sir John Oldcastle" printed in 1600. These, for the sake of convenience, we shall designate A and B.

A, presumably the first, bears the following title:

The first part | of the true & hono | rable history of the Life of | Sir Iohn Old-castle, the good | Lord Cobham. | As it hath bene lately acted by the Right | honorable the Earle of Notingham, | Lord High Admirall of England, | his Seruants. | Written by William Shakespeare. | London printed for T. P. | 1600.

We have seen that the records in Henslowe's diary prevent our considering Shakspere the author of the play, despite the fact that his name appears upon the title-page. These records are supported by later entries in the same book, and also by the internal evidence afforded by the play itself. Certain eminent German critics, including Schlegel and Tieck, have confidently claimed the play as Shakspere's: but the reasons which they advance cannot be considered sufficient. The appearance of the name on the title-page is easy of explanation. Because of the success obtained by the great dramatist, unscrupulous publishers placed his name upon inferior works of other writers in order thereby to increase

¹ Arber, E.: A Transcript of the Registers of the Company of Stationers of London, 1554-1540, L., 1876, Vol. III, p. 63.