

**IS THE USE OF THE VESTMENTS
UNDER THE ORNAMENTS RUBRIC
PART OF THE DISCIPLINE WHICH
THIS CHURCH HAS RECEIVED?**

Published @ 2017 Trieste Publishing Pty Ltd

ISBN 9780649212392

Is the use of the vestments under the ornaments rubric part of the Discipline which this church has received? by E. B. Wheatley Balme & Henry M. Fletcher

Except for use in any review, the reproduction or utilisation of this work in whole or in part in any form by any electronic, mechanical or other means, now known or hereafter invented, including xerography, photocopying and recording, or in any information storage or retrieval system, is forbidden without the permission of the publisher, Trieste Publishing Pty Ltd, PO Box 1576 Collingwood, Victoria 3066 Australia.

All rights reserved.

Edited by Trieste Publishing Pty Ltd.
Cover @ 2017

This book is sold subject to the condition that it shall not, by way of trade or otherwise, be lent, re-sold, hired out, or otherwise circulated without the publisher's prior consent in any form or binding or cover other than that in which it is published and without a similar condition including this condition being imposed on the subsequent purchaser.

www.triestepublishing.com

E. B. WHEATLEY BALME & HENRY M. FLETCHER

**IS THE USE OF THE VESTMENTS
UNDER THE ORNAMENTS RUBRIC
PART OF THE DISCIPLINE WHICH
THIS CHURCH HAS RECEIVED?**



IS THE USE OF THE
VESTMENTS
UNDER THE
ORNAMENTS RUBRIC
part of the Discipline which this
CHURCH
has received?

LETTERS BETWEEN THE
REV. HENRY M. FLETCHER, M.A.
RECTOR OF GRASMERE

AND

E. B. WHEATLEY BALME, M.A.



RIVINGTONS
WATERLOO PLACE, LONDON

MDCCCLXXXIII

Bx

5150

18

P R E F A C E.

THE occasion of the following letters appears on the face of them. In sending them to the press, I have but to express my thanks to my excellent friend Mr. Fletcher for kindly criticism from his point of view; and for allowing me to print his letter (though not written with a view to publication) along with my own.

E. B. WHEATLEY BALME.

COTEWALL, MURFIELD,
Ascensiontide, 1863.

TABLE OF CONTENTS.

	PAGE
FIRST LETTER TO MR. FLETCHER	1-6
MR. FLETCHER'S LETTER	7-14
SECOND LETTER TO MR. FLETCHER	15

§ I. *Absence of Evidence for Use of the Vestments.*

Mr. James Parker negatives use of vestments before 1566	16
Inventories at All Saints, Derby	17
No vestments in Cecil's list of varieties, 1564-5	18
Change of view in Cosin's "Notes"	19, 20
Present attitude of English Church Union	21
Vestments not used in Burleigh's chapel	22
Their use unknown to Convocation of 1562	23
Zurich Letters refer only to cope and surplice, with one possible exception proving the rule	24-26
Use of vestments unknown to Sandys in 1560	27
Contemporary silence as to the Rubric	28

§ II. *The Advertisements.*

Theory of a maximum and a minimum, modern	29
Sandys' gloss on the proviso as to ornaments	30
Elizabeth's Rubric from the first a dead letter till the recent metamorphosis	31, 32
The Queen scolds the Bishops for suffering diversities	33
Her own edicts contradicted each other	34
The Bishops' "Interpretations and further considerations"	35
They modified both Rubrics and Injunctions, effecting a compromise between contradictory edicts	36-39
Compromise accepted by the Queen, but not law	40

	PAGE
Uniformity to be evolved from chaos	41
To this end, the Archbishop drafts Ordinances	42
The Queen's motives for hesitation	43
Archbishop resolved to wait for her authority	44
Nothing done till disorders intolerable	45
The Archbishop called to the Queen's presence	46
The Archbishop gets her authority to "see good orders decreed"	47
"Order taken" in the Advertisements	48
Mr. Parker's theory antecedently improbable	49
Queen piloting the ship at her will	50
The Archbishop had no legislative authority of his own	51
Mr. Parker interprets Grindal's letter enclosing the Advertisements, typographically	52, 53
No authority in the MS. for Mr. Parker's typography	54
Testimony of Sampson and Humphrey	55
S. and H. attribute royal authority to the Advertisements	56
Mr. Parker's own admission	57
The Queen's policy at the time	58
Mr. Parker not a lawyer	59
§ III. <i>Authorized Destruction of Vestments.</i>	
Royal Commissioners in Lincoln Diocese class vestments with <i>delenda</i>	60, 61
Copes still to be retained	62
The Injunctions modified by the Interpretations	63
Bullingham's position	64
Character and acts of Aylmer	65
If vestments legal, Aylmer doubly a law-breaker	66
Difference between the Interpretations and the Advertisements	67
Archbishop Grindal's York Injunctions, 1571, not explicable by mere puritanism	68, 69
Grindal's Injunctions in accordance with the law, or in defiance of both Queen and law	70, 71
The thing not done in a corner	72
Grindal's timid caution to the Canons, and refusal to go upon uncertainties, prove his mind clear as to the validity of the Advertisements	73-75
The Queen's marked approval of Grindal	76
Inquiry for vestments, etc., continued by others, Bishops and Royal Commissioners in both provinces	77, 78
Last traces, and final disappearance of vestments	79
History of vestments unintelligible unless other order was taken under Elizabeth	80, 81
Destruction the most effectual negative order	82