# STUDIES IN PHILOLOGY, VOL. I; CHAUCER'S RELATIVE CONSTRUCTIONS; A DISSERTATION

Published @ 2017 Trieste Publishing Pty Ltd

#### ISBN 9780649336340

Studies in philology, Vol. I; Chaucer's Relative Constructions; a dissertation by Louis Round Wilson

Except for use in any review, the reproduction or utilisation of this work in whole or in part in any form by any electronic, mechanical or other means, now known or hereafter invented, including xerography, photocopying and recording, or in any information storage or retrieval system, is forbidden without the permission of the publisher, Trieste Publishing Pty Ltd, PO Box 1576 Collingwood, Victoria 3066 Australia.

All rights reserved.

Edited by Trieste Publishing Pty Ltd. Cover @ 2017

This book is sold subject to the condition that it shall not, by way of trade or otherwise, be lent, re-sold, hired out, or otherwise circulated without the publisher's prior consent in any form or binding or cover other than that in which it is published and without a similar condition including this condition being imposed on the subsequent purchaser.

www.triestepublishing.com

## LOUIS ROUND WILSON

# STUDIES IN PHILOLOGY, VOL. I; CHAUCER'S RELATIVE CONSTRUCTIONS; A DISSERTATION



# STUDIES IN PHILOLOGY

VOL. I

## CHAUCER'S RELATIVE CONSTRUCTIONS

BY

.

LOUIS ROUND WILSON
LIBRARIAN OF THE UNIVERSITY OF NORTH CAROLINA

A Dissertation presented to the Faculty of the University of North Oarolina as a partial requirement for the degree of Doctor of Philosophy

PUBLISHED UNDER THE DIRECTION OF THE PHILOLOGICAL CLUB OF THE UNIVERSITY OF NORTH CAROLINA

CRAPEL HILL
THE UNIVERSITY PRESS
1906

12422,350

Dec. 13,1945)
Perdesed from the Strang of Lower

THE UNIVERSITY PRESS
CHAPEL HILL

-

# 70 MY FATHER AND MOTHER



### PREFACE

Although this paper has to do solely with Chaucer's relative constructions, it has been prepared with the hope that, so far as it extends, it may help to fix one construction of Middle English syntax which bitherto has received but little consideration. While it embraces suggestions from a number of sources,\* it has been based upon a careful study of W. W. Skeat's five volume edition of The Complete Works of Geoffrey Chaucer, published by the Clarendon Press, 1894-1900.† It has taken for granted that the pronoun that is the predominant Chaucerian relative, and that the pleonastic that, which is constantly used after relatives, conjunctions, and adverbs, does not in any way affect the value of the relative which it follows. 1 All comparative figures found in the body of the paper, not specifically accounted for in the paragraph in which they occur, are taken from Troilus and Crisèyde. Differences in citations appearing in Schrader's Das Altenglische Relativpronomen mit besonderer berücksichtigung der sprache Chaucer's and in this paper are to be attributed to the differences in the editions used. Differences between that and which are given in Chapters II and III rather than in Chapter I.

<sup>\*</sup>See Bibliography, p. 1.

<sup>†</sup>Vol. I., ed. 2, 1899; vol. II., ed. 2, 1900; vol. III, 1894; vol. IV., ed. 2, 1900; vol. V., 1894.

<sup>‡</sup>E. A. Kock, p. 65, §149: "During the 13-15 centuries that was often attached to relative pronouns, as well as to interrogative pronouns, adverbs, and conjunctions, apparently without modifying their significations."

» \* 2 · · 

### CONTENTS

PAG
Bibliography1
Abbreviations
METHOD OF CITATION
CHAPTER I
DEMONSTRATIVE RELATIVES (§§ 1-4)
CHAPTER II
Interrogative Relatives (§§ 5-25)
CHAPTER III
Differences between That and Which (§§ 26-27) 32
CHAPTER IV
General Relatives (§\$28-32)
CHAPTER V
RELATION EXPRESSED THROUGH As (§§33-36) 36
CHAPTER VI
Relation Expressed through Relative Adverses (§ 37)
CHAPTER VII
Omission in the Relative Sentence (§ 38) 4
VII