CASE FORMS WITH AND WITHOUT PREPOSITIONS USED BY PLAUTUS AND TERENCE TO EXPRESS TIME; THESIS

Published @ 2017 Trieste Publishing Pty Ltd

ISBN 9780649438334

Case Forms with and Without Prepositions Used by Plautus and Terence to Express Time; Thesis by Thomas Franklin Kane

Except for use in any review, the reproduction or utilisation of this work in whole or in part in any form by any electronic, mechanical or other means, now known or hereafter invented, including xerography, photocopying and recording, or in any information storage or retrieval system, is forbidden without the permission of the publisher, Trieste Publishing Pty Ltd, PO Box 1576 Collingwood, Victoria 3066 Australia.

All rights reserved.

Edited by Trieste Publishing Pty Ltd. Cover @ 2017

This book is sold subject to the condition that it shall not, by way of trade or otherwise, be lent, re-sold, hired out, or otherwise circulated without the publisher's prior consent in any form or binding or cover other than that in which it is published and without a similar condition including this condition being imposed on the subsequent purchaser.

www.triestepublishing.com

THOMAS FRANKLIN KANE

CASE FORMS WITH AND WITHOUT PREPOSITIONS USED BY PLAUTUS AND TERENCE TO EXPRESS TIME; THESIS



1/26.781

CASE FORMS

WITH AND WITHOUT PREPOSITIONS

USED BY

PLAUTUS AND TERENCE

TO EXPRESS TIME.

THESIS

PRESENTED TO THE HOARD OF UNIVERSITY STUDIES
OF THE JOHNS HOPKINS UNIVERSITY FOR THE
DEGREE OF BOCTOR OF PHILOSOPHY

-

THOMAS FRANKLIN KANE

BALTIMORE 1825.

Sp 26.781

SEP 22 1899

PRESS OF

FRANK N. GREEN, OLIVET, MICH.

CONTENTS.

INTRODUCTORY STATEMENT AND BIBLI	es e	4 10 5	IV.					120				AGE 5
EXTENT OF TIME							90	-	35	-		-
Simple Accusative							8	9				8
Ordinal Numerals						8	÷		7	•		20
Comparatives with the Accusative							600	33	355			75.
Abhine with the Accusative and A					: :-	196	35	8	i	•	2	22
Est (quom) with the Accusative			5 80			85	150 02	:00 :00	20	600 W.	50	23
Ablative of Extent				•		2.0 114	207	101	40	20	-	23
Prepositions		20				97	œ	(E)	50	20		25
/s with the Accusative	32		22			35	32		2	27	130	25
Per with the Accusative							12	88	20	28		30
TIME WHEN	\$3	10		i i	35	87		20	48			33
Simple Ablative and Locative		100	200			82	3	٠	7/8	33	- 33	33
Genitive Forms	8		100		93	٠.	22	<u></u>	00	88	. 33	- 3/36
Ablative Absolute	330		010		100 100	itiz Att	200 200	.05	100	-		- 530
Prepositions		**	XIII	0101 040	34	200		90	***			
In with the Ablative	*	*		*0	 	200	90	363	70			62
Inter with the Accusative		V0		19		100	36	W.	20			74
Intra with the Accusative		100				36	92	4	4		4	74
Cis with the Accusative		88	433	G.	-		196	100	40		130	75
Cum with the Ablative	38			33		3	(4)	(00 (40)	12	8		75
Ad with the Accusative	125	90		%	5	7	0	23	177		00	76
Apad with the Accusative .	7	Ŷ		5					8	41		78
Ab with the Ablative	100		201 • 11				121	300	7.0			79
Ex with the Ablative	200	*	30	100		224	190	*	200			2330
De with the Ablative		25	•33		00		38		*	400		82
Secundum with the Accusative	300	98	300			136	26	76	¥			83
Fost with the Accusative and Ab	lati	re					*		•	•		84
Ante with the Accusative and Ab	lati	ve	÷		99		100	36	93		٠	88
Accusative-Neuter Pronouns-w	ith	the	G	eni	tiv			<u>.</u>	23		74	90
Prepositional Compounds with Le	ci a	nd	Lo	or	N7/L					28		91
SUMMARY	97		719	3	10	33		÷	•		92	94

INTRODUCTORY STATEMENT.

It is perhaps the most satisfactory method of syntactical investigation to make a complete study of given constructions for individual writers, periods or spheres. This method of study helps to reveal the style and characteristics of individual writers, the stage of syntactical development in a given period and the peculiarities and idioms common to distinct spheres.

The purpose of this paper is to determine the usage of Plautus and Terence in expressing time by means of case forms, used with and without preposition, by following out these constructions for these two writers of the ante-classical period and in the sphere of comedy.

The plan has been first to note all the instances of these constructions in both writers and classify them, so that the principle of their use can be observed. The comparison is then made of the constructions found in the two writers and the constructions are referred, directly or by implication, to the standards of the classical period.

For purposes of further comparison these constructions have been noted in the fragments which we have from the sphere of the drama and the fragments of oratory, history and poetry, with examples from inscriptions and early writers, all representing the ante-classical period. These constructions have been observed for individual classical and later writers and comparisons have been made when helpful.

EDITIONS USED.

The numbering of the lines in Plautus has been made to conform to the smaller edition of Goetz and Schoel and in Terence, to the edition of Dziatzko. For manuscript readings and text, the Ritschl edition of Plautus, revised by Loewe, Goetz and Schoell, and the Umplenbach edition of Terence have formed the basis. The standard editions, generally, have been consulted. The Leo edition, which was not out when this work was done, was consulted before the paper was printed.

Editions of other works, from which citations are regularly made, are.

Tragicorum Romanorum Fragmenta-Ribbeck.

Comicorum Romanorum Praeter Plautum et Terentium Fragmenta-Ribbeck.

Poetarum Romanorum Fragmenta-Baehrens.

Historicorum Romanorum Fragmenta-Peter ('83).

Oratorum Romanorum Fragmenta-Meyer.

Catonis Praeter Librum De Re Rustica Quae Extant— Jordan.

Lucili Saturarum Reliquiæ-Mueller.

Varronis Saturarum Menippearum Reliquiæ-Riese.

BIBLIOGRAPHY.

Works that have been used especially and cited in this paper are:

Lindsay-The Latin Language.

Stolz und Schmalz—Lateinische Grammatik—Handbuch von Mueiler, Vol. II.

Buecheler-Grundriss der lateinischen Declination.

Draeger-Historische Syntax der lateinischen Sprache.

Holtze—Syntaxis Priscorum Scriptorum Latinorum usque ad Terentium.

Holtze—Syntaxis Fragmentorum Scaenicorum Poetarum Romanorum post Terentium.

Grammars—Roby, Gildersleeve, Allen and Greenough. Delbrueck—Ablativ Localis Instrumentalis im—Lateinischen. Zieler—Beitræge zur Geschichte des Lat. Ablativus. Ebrard—De Ablativi Locativi Instrumentalis Apud Priscos Scriptores Latinos Usu.

Bell—De Locativi In Prisca Latinitate Vi et Usu. Obricatis—De Per Praepositionis Ante-Ciceronis Aetatem

Usu.

Schoendoerffer—De Syntaxi Catonis.

Bombe—De Abl. Abs. Apud Antiq. Roman. Script. Usu. Gimm—De Adiectivis Plautinis.

Becher—Sprachgebrauch des Caelius.

Schmalz—Sprachgebrauch des Asinius Pollio.

Lyth—De Per Praepositionis Apud Livium Usu.

Preising—De Senecae Poetae Tragici Casuum Usu.

Schneider—De Ablitivi Usu Taciteo.

Plochmann—Caesars Sprachgebrauch.

Leers—De Nominum, Quibus Tempora Significantur, Usu

PLAUTINO. (This work was not known to me when the present paper was first completed.)