# GLADSTONIAN GHOSTS

Published @ 2017 Trieste Publishing Pty Ltd

ISBN 9780649246328

Gladstonian ghosts by Cecil Chesterton

Except for use in any review, the reproduction or utilisation of this work in whole or in part in any form by any electronic, mechanical or other means, now known or hereafter invented, including xerography, photocopying and recording, or in any information storage or retrieval system, is forbidden without the permission of the publisher, Trieste Publishing Pty Ltd, PO Box 1576 Collingwood, Victoria 3066 Australia.

All rights reserved.

Edited by Trieste Publishing Pty Ltd. Cover @ 2017

This book is sold subject to the condition that it shall not, by way of trade or otherwise, be lent, re-sold, hired out, or otherwise circulated without the publisher's prior consent in any form or binding or cover other than that in which it is published and without a similar condition including this condition being imposed on the subsequent purchaser.

www.triestepublishing.com

### **CECIL CHESTERTON**

# GLADSTONIAN GHOSTS



### GLADSTONIAN GHOSTS, by CECIL CHESTERTON.

#### GLADSTONIAN GHOSTS.

#### BY CECIL CHESTERTON.

PRINTED BY THE LANTHORN PRESS, AND PUBLISHED IN LONDON BY S. C. BROWN LANGHAM & CO., LTD.

### CONTENTS.

DEDI	CATION			***			7
I.	LIBERA	LISM	AND	THE ZI	EITGE	IST	20
11.	"WHAT						
	DAV	ID?	* ***	646	***	***	34
111.	NATION	AL I	ENRH	YNISM	•••		51
IV.	"MILIT	ARIS	M AND	AGGR	ESSIO	N"	70
V.	THE FE	TISH	OF F	REE T	RADE	***	92
VI.	TOWAR	DS A	ANARC	HISM	44.6		114
V11.	OUR B	RITIS	н мо	SLEMS	***	***	142
VIII.	"RETR	ENCH	IMENT	AND R	EFOR	м"	159
IX.	SOCIAL	REC	ONSTR	RUCTIO	N	•••	180
X.	SOME I	MATE	RIALS	AND	A POS	SSI-	
	BILI	TY	***				211



DEDICATION

TO

EDGAR JEPSON.

#### DEDICATION.

My dear Jepson,

If (with your permission) I dedicate this essay in political criticism to you, it is because I know that, though you parade it less, your interest in the science of politics is fully as keen as my own. In point of fact there is noone whose judgment in these matters I would trust more readily than yours. You are a philosopher; and the philosopher's outlook in politics is always clear, practical and realistic as contrasted with the thoroughly romantic illusions of the typical party man. That, by the way, is why Mr. Balfour, the philosopher, has in the domain of parliamentary and electoral strategy hopelessly outwitted Mr. Chamberlain, the "man of business and busy man" to quote his own characteristically poetic phrase.

As a philosopher you are able to see what no "practical statesman" on either side of the House seems likely to perceive-that social and economic politics are the only kind of politics that really matter, and that the "chickenin-the-pot" ideal of Henri Quatre is after all the primary aim of all statesmanship. Three centuries of anarchic commercialism have left us a legacy of pauperism, disease, famine, physical degeneracy and spiritual demoralization, which in another century will infallibly destroy us altogether if we cannot in the mean time destroy them. And I think you share my impatience when our Radical friends insist on discussing Irish Home Rule, Church Disestablishment and the abolition of the House of Lords, as if such frivolities could really satisfy

the human conscience faced with the appalling realities of the slums.

When therefore I speak of your interest in politics I am not thinking of that rather exciting parlour game which they play at Westminster during the spring months. In this you probably take less interest than I; for I must confess (not altogether without shame) that the sporting aspect of politics has always fascinated me. You, on the other hand, have Bridge to amuse you; and, when you are brought to the bar of the Nonconformist Conscience on this count, you may fairly plead that any man who played Bridge with the peculiar mixture of ignorance, stupidity, criminal laziness and flagrant dishonesty with which the Front Benches play the game of politics, would infallibly be turned out of his club and probably cut by all his acquaintances.

It may seem surprising that, taking this view of contemporary party warfare,