THE LAW OF LIMITED PARTNERSHIP

Published @ 2017 Trieste Publishing Pty Ltd

ISBN 9780649626281

The Law of Limited Partnership by Clement Bates

Except for use in any review, the reproduction or utilisation of this work in whole or in part in any form by any electronic, mechanical or other means, now known or hereafter invented, including xerography, photocopying and recording, or in any information storage or retrieval system, is forbidden without the permission of the publisher, Trieste Publishing Pty Ltd, PO Box 1576 Collingwood, Victoria 3066 Australia.

All rights reserved.

Edited by Trieste Publishing Pty Ltd. Cover @ 2017

This book is sold subject to the condition that it shall not, by way of trade or otherwise, be lent, re-sold, hired out, or otherwise circulated without the publisher's prior consent in any form or binding or cover other than that in which it is published and without a similar condition including this condition being imposed on the subsequent purchaser.

www.triestepublishing.com

CLEMENT BATES

THE LAW OF LIMITED PARTNERSHIP



OF

LIMITED PARTNERSHIP.

BY

1

1

CLEMENT BATES

AUTHOR OF "OHIO DIGEST," "PLEADINGS, PARTIES, AND FORMS UNDER THE CODE."



BOSTON: LITTLE, BROWN, AND COMPANY. 1886.

L37192

APR 26 1951

Copyright, 1886, BY CLEMENT BATES.

STANFORD LIBRARY

University Press:

JORN WILSON AND SON, CAMBRIDGE.

PREFACE.

THE American system of LIMITED PARTNERSHIPS, adopted in Upper Canada and in all, the organized subdivisions of the United States except the three Territories of Arizona, Idaho, and New Mexico, is unknown in the rest of the world.

The idea of a limited partnership arose several centuries ago (see §§ 3, 4) in Italy, and thence spread to the Netherlands, Spain, and France, where, viewed with eyes of lenient construction by courts and commentators, it grew to luxuriant proportions, only partially trimmed by the repeal of § 38 of the Code de Commerce in 1856. The French statute without the French construction was grafted upon our jurisprudence in 1824; but the process of integrating it with the common law choked the exotic outgrowth in the germ and produced a native system bearing no resemblance to the parent, yet which, though arising under the statutes of forty-five different States, forms a consistent and homogeneous system, as does the system of Code Pleading, with almost none of the chromatic aberrations that might have been expected from the media of so many atmospheres. For the European law I recommend any one to read Troubat on "Limited Partnership," published in 1853, rather than to go to

his foreign originals, most of which are out of print; and the latest French law (§ 8), adopted after over ten years of legislative discussion, is analogous to our law of corporations. Hence, except as a study in comparative jurisprudence, the foreign law is of no interest to us, and to restate it here would be merely to act the part of Old Mortality,— renewing forgotten graves.

Many of the principles of general partnership law apply to limited partnership. These I have avoided stating, except where necessary to construe doctrines of limited partnership or explain or limit decisions; and where thus compelled to resort to the general law, I have neither followed nor cited any existing text-book.

I have read and made notes of about five thousand American cases on partnership, which comprise nearly, but not quite, all there are. My object was twofold, -First, a belief that in this, as in many departments, the cases contained much law which had never been brought to light, and that new and useful examples of reasoning and precedent awaited whoever would go to the trouble of finding them. In this I have not been disappointed. Second, a hope - still distant - of producing an ideal treatise, constructed, by the use of different sizes of type, so as to combine at once a small and general work of ultimate and fundamental principles in large type; a medium-sized work, by placing in smaller type under the general principles their corollaries, deductions, qualifications, and exceptions; and lastly, an exhaustive statement of all decisions, with the minutest possible analysis. My belief is that a treatise thus framed would not only render the learning of law, as well as the search for it, more rapid and exact, but would also be acceptable and

profitable to the extent of encouraging similar efforts by specialists in other departments, and in time furnish the practicable material for a code that would preserve the wealth of the common law and assimilate all but the crude treasures of its storehouse, and successfully bear the test of answering inquiries and intercepting actual disputes.¹

In this undertaking, the material of the present book was incidentally collected; and being statutory and undeveloped, and hence incapable of the designed treatment, is allowed to see daylight.

¹ These views were criticised, though in a very kindly spirit, in the Albany Law Journal of Feb. 21, 1885, vol. xxxi. p. 141.

.

ŧ

CONTENTS.

PART I.

NATURE AND FORMATION.

CHAPTER I.

	1	DEI	IN	ITI	on	. н	II8	FOE	ey.	AN	D	EX	TE	NT.				
									33									PAGE
Definitions																		17
History .	90	¥13		*				000	77.		138	3.	2.0		٠			18
Extent and	uni	for	mi	tie	s of	E A	m	eric	an	sta.	tut	89						21
Foreign aut													•					22
	2000				43											3		5053
					C	H	P	т	æ	II	Ē.,							
					~	•	•		-		55.00							
			OB.	JEC	TS	A	ND	C	ONE	TR	UC.	rio	N.					
Leading idea	88 0	of t	he	sta	tu	tes				90	*	•	(6)	•	•	•	8	24
Foreign law	no	t a	ppl	ica	ble		100	220					100	*	•0	*0		26
Common lav	V																	27
Foreign law Common law Unnecessary	r at	rict	ne	88 1	vo	ide	d										- 0	28
Verbal mista	ake	8									×	w						29
							100	100	100	30			200	200	7	211	-	((- 12)
					CI	IA	P	ГE	R	II	I.							
						P	R	(A)	IO	ĸ.								
Statutes — fe	or s	wh	t I	1118	ine	AA.	2		2	2	æ	33	23	20	200	-	3.0	81
Members .																		
audinotia .	•								•			*		•	•		•	00