

**THE AUTHORSHIP OF  
THE KINGIS QUAIR: A  
NEW CRITICISM PP.1-97**

Published @ 2017 Trieste Publishing Pty Ltd

ISBN 9780649440276

The Authorship of the Kings Quair: A New Criticism pp.1-97 by J. T. T. Brown

Except for use in any review, the reproduction or utilisation of this work in whole or in part in any form by any electronic, mechanical or other means, now known or hereafter invented, including xerography, photocopying and recording, or in any information storage or retrieval system, is forbidden without the permission of the publisher, Trieste Publishing Pty Ltd, PO Box 1576 Collingwood, Victoria 3066 Australia.

All rights reserved.

Edited by Trieste Publishing Pty Ltd.  
Cover @ 2017

This book is sold subject to the condition that it shall not, by way of trade or otherwise, be lent, re-sold, hired out, or otherwise circulated without the publisher's prior consent in any form or binding or cover other than that in which it is published and without a similar condition including this condition being imposed on the subsequent purchaser.

[www.triestepublishing.com](http://www.triestepublishing.com)

**J. T. T. BROWN**

**THE AUTHORSHIP OF  
THE KINGIS QUAIR: A  
NEW CRITICISM PP.1-97**



THE AUTHORSHIP OF  
THE KINGIS QUAIR:  
A NEW CRITICISM.

THE AUTHORSHIP OF

THE KINGIS QUAIR

A NEW CRITICISM

60603

J. T. T. BROWN.

*OMNIA EXPLORATE.*

Glasgow :  
James MacLehose & Sons,  
Publishers to the University.  
1896.

INSCRIBED  
TO MY FRIEND  
GEORGE NEILSON

Your lytil quaier summitteth euery where  
To coreccion and beneuolence.

*The Book of Curtesy.*

Go litill tretise, nakit of eloquence,  
Causing simplese and pouertee to wit ;  
And pray the redeer to haue pacience  
Of thy defaute, and to supporten it  
Of his gudnese, thy brukilnese to knytt ;  
And his tong for to reule and to stere  
That thy defaultis helit may ben here.

*The Kingis Quair.*



## THE TABLE.

### THE AUTHORSHIP OF THE KINGIS QUAIR—

The Bodleian MS, Arch. Seld. B, 24 : The unique exemplar of the Kingis Quair : Its discovery in the eighteenth century : Notice of it in Bishop Tanner's Catalogue : Mr. William Tytler's edition of the poem, published in 1783 : Tytler's attitude as a critic : His ascription of the poem to King James the First : Doubts about the authorship : Reconsideration of the case : Scot. Text Society Edition the basis of the criticism : Stand-point of critic that of one coming now to edit poem for first time : Division of argument, . . . . . Pages 1-4

### THE EXTERNAL EVIDENCE—

(a) THE BODLEIAN MS, Arch. Seld. B, 24 : A poetical miscellany : Memorandum relating to birth of James the Fourth : Professor Skeat's statement regarding date of MS. : Version of Kingis Quair in the MS. written not earlier than 1488 : Title of poem in MS. and colophon both designate James the First as author : An ascription in a MS. merely *prima facie* evidence, and liable to challenge : Value in present inquiry turns on reliability of scribes : Manuscript tested by its ascriptions to Chaucer : Its false ascriptions : Result of test : Scribes of this MS. reckless and unreliable, . . . . . Pages 5-9

- (b) **THE TESTIMONY OF HISTORIANS:** Statements of historians regarding the princely virtues of James the First: *Scotichronicon*: *Memoir of James* by Walter Bower: John Major: Hector Boethius: John Belinden: John Leslie: Father James Dalrymple: George Buchanan: *Scotichronicon* the original: Buchanan's criticism: John Major the authority for vernacular poems by James: Silence of Dunbar and Lindsay about vernacular poems: The Lament for the Makars: Testament and Complaynt: Passage in Major examined: Poems referred to by Major: Modern Criticism of Christ's Kirk, and Peebles to the Play: Worthlessness of Major's testimony: Claim for James dependent on internal evidence, . . . Pages 9-20

#### THE INTERNAL EVIDENCE—

- (a) **THE DIALECT OF THE POEM:** Salient biographical facts about the supposed author: Northern dialect and Chaucerian inflexions: Professor Skeat's observations regarding grammar: His conclusions: Ground-work of *Kingis Quair*, Scottish: James's knowledge of Lowland Scottish: The Croydon Charter: Its worthlessness as a voucher for James's knowledge of Scottish: The employment by James of Northern dialect considered in relation to his English education: Mistakes in accident: Other Scottish poems of fifteenth century exhibiting Chaucerian grammar: The *Romaunt of the Rose*: The *Court of Love*: *Lancelot of the Lak*: Northern Rimes: Diction of *Kingis Quair*, . . . Pages 21-31
- (b) **THE COURT OF LOVE:** Tytler notes resemblances between *Kingis Quair* and *Court of Love*: His observation thereon: Professor Skeat's method of editing: Reason for different method of editing the poem by Tytler and Professor Skeat: *Kingis Quair* tells the story of King James's and Joan Beaufort's courting: Author of poem an extensive imitator of Chaucer: Imitations, how to be considered in present question: The relation of the *Court of Love* and *Kingis Quair* to each other: Imitations of *Court of Love* by author of *Kingis Quair*: Descriptions of Rosial and Joan compared: Description of *Criseyde* compared: Other passages in *Court*

- of Love and Kingis Quair compared: *Smaragdus* = blue, in Court of Love; *Smaragdine* = blue, in Kingis Quair: Error in use of *smaragdus* non-Chaucerian: *Lufis danse*, the phrase in Court of Love and Kingis Quair: *Balas* in Court of Love and Kingis Quair, but in no other fifteenth century poem, . . . . . Pages 31-48
- (c) AUTOBIOGRAPHY ON ITS TRIAL: Wyntoun and Bower's statements as to James's age and date of capture: Professor Skeat's narrative: Errors in fact in same: Autobiographical references in Kingis Quair: Exchequer Rolls: Sir W. Hardy and Mr. George Burnett's investigations: Sir William Hardy's communication quoted: Result of investigation of these archivists as to year of capture: Amended Foedera: Truce in 1405: Earl of Northumberland in Scotland: Percy and James educated together: Poem tested by ascertained historical facts: Errors in fact in Wyntoun and also in Kingis Quair: Wyntoun's Cronykil compared with Kingis Quair: The poem follows the diction of the chronicle, . . . . . Pages 48-60

## A REVIEW AND CONCLUSION—

The Verdict: Not Proven undesirable: Predilection for James: Encomiastic criticisms: John Major's belated bequest: *Peebles to the Play* and *Christ's Kirk on the Green* deposed by internal evidence: *Yas sen* still unidentified: The case for James: The Bodleian MS.: Professor Skeat's *Introduction*: His attitude as a critic: Montaigne on glosses: Professor Skeat's criticism of the text: Chaucerian imitations: Tytler and Skeat as editors: The claim for James rests on romantic as distinguished from historical criticism: Standpoint of one editing now: Bodleian MS. tested: John Major's testimony considered: Bower, Dunbar, and Lindsay: Kingis Quair and Court of Love: The Dialect and Biography: Group of Scottish poems exhibiting artificiality: Tests of philology: Wyntoun's Cronykil, its relation to the Kingis Quair: Autobiographical value of the poem: King James as a poet: Question at issue, the date of the poem: Deflection of the literary compass: Adjustment of needle will give hope: Emancipation from error, . . . . . Pages 61-69