PHILOSOPHY, VOL. II, PP. 1-272

Published @ 2017 Trieste Publishing Pty Ltd

ISBN 9780649576265

Essay on Religious Philosophy, Vol. II, pp. 1-272 by Emile Saisset

Except for use in any review, the reproduction or utilisation of this work in whole or in part in any form by any electronic, mechanical or other means, now known or hereafter invented, including xerography, photocopying and recording, or in any information storage or retrieval system, is forbidden without the permission of the publisher, Trieste Publishing Pty Ltd, PO Box 1576 Collingwood, Victoria 3066 Australia.

All rights reserved.

Edited by Trieste Publishing Pty Ltd. Cover @ 2017

This book is sold subject to the condition that it shall not, by way of trade or otherwise, be lent, re-sold, hired out, or otherwise circulated without the publisher's prior consent in any form or binding or cover other than that in which it is published and without a similar condition including this condition being imposed on the subsequent purchaser.

www.triestepublishing.com

EMILE SAISSET

PHILOSOPHY, VOL. II, PP. 1-272



BD 558 .516 E5 1863

MODERN PANTHEISM.

ESSAY

ON

RELIGIOUS PHILOSOPHY.

BY M. EMILE SAISSET,

Professor of the History of Philosophy in the Faculty of Letters of Paris.

Translated,

WITH MARGINAL ANALYSIS, NOTES,
CRITICAL ESSAY, AND PHILOSOPHICAL APPENDIX.

VOLUME II.

EDINBURGH:

T. & T. CLARK, 38 GEORGE STREET.

LONDON: HAMILTON, ADAMS, & CO.

DUBLIN: JOHN ROBERTSON & CO.; AND HODGES & SMITH.

1863.

EDINBURGH:

Turnbull & Spears, Printers, 21 George Street.

CONTENTS.

SEVENTH TREATISE -Pantheism of Hegel, Part 33 .- ABeditations. FIRST MEDITATION, SECOND MEDITATION, THERD MEDITATION. 77 FOURTH MEDITATION, . FIFTH MEDITATION, . 123 SIXTH MEDITATION, . 145 SEVENTH MEDITATION, 162 EIGHTH MEDITATION, 171 NINTH MEDITATION, 182 ESSAY: BY THE TRANSLATOR, . 193 Appendir. I. NATURAL AND REVEALED RELIGION, . 231 II. CHRISTIANITY AND PLATONISM, 242 III. PHILOSOPHY AND RELIGION, . 267 INDEX, . 169

. .

10

Sebenth Treatige.

The Pantheism of Hegel.

It is impossible to doubt that Kant's idea of God Philosois quite inconsistent with his system. But I can-phic genenot at once conclude that Kantism is false. For Kant,
if I take this system by itself, after eliminating Fichte,
every heterogeneous ingredient from it, it appears Hegel.
to form a sufficiently united whole, and that whole
is possibly the truth.

The last doubt which I wish to settle is connected with the question of the definite conclusion of the Kantian system. I hear it said that Kantism leads to the Idealism of Fichte, and that this Idealism itself conducted Fichte to a Subjective Pantheism, from which arose the Absolute Pan-

theism of Schelling and Hegel.

What is the definite meaning of the formula, Subjects of this treatise, subjective Pantheism, absolute Pantise. Theism? What is the strange genealogy which I. Idealism. What is the strange genealogy which II. Subjecteduces Fichte from Kant, Schelling from Fichte, tive Pantheism, and Hegel from Schelling? I wish to understand III. Absolute Pantheism. I wish especially to find a key to the lute Pantheism, system of Hegel, since I am assured that it conand especially to find a key to the lute Pantheism.

I. One point which is clear to me is, that the ism.

Two systems in Kant.

f. Idealism philosophical movement excited by Kant could and Fichte not stop with him. I have clearly, indeed, recognised the fact, that the Critique of the Pure Reason, and the Critique of the Practical Reason do not form one homogeneous philosophy, but, in some sort, two distinct and even contrary philosophies, which no artifice of logic or of analysis can solder together.

I do not insist upon the additional fact, that Kant has written a third criticism, the Critique of the Judgment, which, fastened on to the two others by ingenious combinations, enriches them undoubtedly, but also complicates to excess the

whole system.

But I consent to enclose myself within the Fichte considers that limits of the Critique of the Pure Reason, and to first of the forget all the rest. Has the system, thus simplitwo Kant-ian systems fied, perfect rigour and perfect unity? Such is that con- the question which Fichte put to himself. It led tained in the question which I telled put to inhisely. It led the Critique him to substitute a new doctrine for that of his of the Pure master, which at first he merely proposed to make wanting in more perfect. According to Fichte, the system unity and developed in the Critique of the Pure Reason is consisessentially wanting in that logical severity which, tency. in his estimation, is the characteristic of true science.

starts with an enormous concession, with a hypothesis.

The first assertion of Kant is, that nothing can be produced in thought, except as the sequence of experience and of the phenomena which strike our senses. But these phenomena, which the mind meets with and does not produce, pre-suppose a foreign principle. Thus we have, at the very outset, an enormous concession, which ruins by anticipation the whole system of Critical Philo-