A FOURTH DIALOGUE CONCERNING LIBERTY: CONTAINING AN EXPOSITION OF THE FALSITY OF THE FIRST AND LEADING PRINCIPLES OF THE PRESENT REVOLUTIONISTS IN EUROPE, PP. 1-66

Published @ 2017 Trieste Publishing Pty Ltd

ISBN 9780649018260

A Fourth Dialogue Concerning Liberty: Containing an Exposition of the Falsity of the First and leading principles of the present revolutionists in Europe, pp. 1-66 by Jackson Barwis

Except for use in any review, the reproduction or utilisation of this work in whole or in part in any form by any electronic, mechanical or other means, now known or hereafter invented, including xerography, photocopying and recording, or in any information storage or retrieval system, is forbidden without the permission of the publisher, Trieste Publishing Pty Ltd, PO Box 1576 Collingwood, Victoria 3066 Australia.

All rights reserved.

Edited by Trieste Publishing Pty Ltd. Cover @ 2017

This book is sold subject to the condition that it shall not, by way of trade or otherwise, be lent, re-sold, hired out, or otherwise circulated without the publisher's prior consent in any form or binding or cover other than that in which it is published and without a similar condition including this condition being imposed on the subsequent purchaser.

www.triestepublishing.com

JACKSON BARWIS

A FOURTH DIALOGUE CONCERNING LIBERTY: CONTAINING AN EXPOSITION OF THE FALSITY OF THE FIRST AND LEADING PRINCIPLES OF THE PRESENT REVOLUTIONISTS IN EUROPE, PP. 1-66

Trieste

A FOURTH DIALOGUE

CONCERNING

LIBERTY;

CONTAINING AN

E X P O S I T I O N OF THE FALSITY OF THE

FIRST and Leading PRINCIPLES

OF THE FRESENT

REVOLUTIONISTS IN EUROPE.

By JACKSON BARWIS, Efq.

Il est vrai que, dans les Démocraties, le Feuple pareit faire ce qu'il veui ; mais la Liberté Politique ne consiste point à faire ce que l'on veut.-Dans un Etat, c'est-à-dire, dans une Société où il y a des Loix, la Liberté ne peut confister qu'à pouvoir faire ce que l'on doit wouloir, & à n'être point contraint de faire ce que l'on me doit pas wouloir.

MONTESQUIEV, Tome I. p. 255.

÷

LONDON:

Printed by T. SPILIBURY and SON, No. 57, SMAD-Hill, • For J. DEBRETT, Piccadilly ; J. SEWELL, Cornbill; and SCATCHERD and WHITAKER, Ave-Maria Lane.



i lina

have an 40 ú

POLITICAL Liberty, for the last twenty years, has been the fubject of continual difcuffion; and there has, doubtless, been much light thrown on it by its numerous advocates and friends, and even by its enemies.

But as it frequently and unfortunately happens, that the beft things are fpoiled, and the beft intentions defeated, through intemperate zeal; which determines the mind before it underftands its object, and which hurries it to conclusions before it has examined principles; it is hoped that the following Dialogue may have a tendency to abate fuch zeal, and to reduce the mind to that temper which is B indif-

ワ・シン のみしえしみ

indifpenfably neceffary in the inveftigation and comprehension of truth. Certain it is that fo many voluminous works could never have been written on morals and politics, had the fame ideas been affixed to the fame words, by the numerous competitors for literary fame.

It is now, feventeen years ago, fince a friend of mine attempted a correct definition of the moral and political meaning of the word Liberty, in feveral conversations which were conveyed to the public in three Dialogues. A fourth is now produced, rather reluctantly, as my friend feemed to think enough had been faid in the three former.

Being on a vifit at his agreeable retirement in the country; according to our ufual cuftom, we took our walks, and held our conversation, of which he always has

2

has an inexhauftible fund. We long amused ourselves with general observations on what had been said, written, and done, in these days of revolutions: when, perceiving that he was not at all fatisfied either with the doctrines or practices of the leading Champions of the day, in the cause of *Liberty*, I drew him at length to explain himself, to nearly the following purport.

Far be it from my thoughts, faid he, to impute blame to any of the *true friends* of Liberty; having, you know, always fhewn myfelf ambitious of being enrolled among them. But it muft be confidered as of the greateft importance to *the caufe*, that we clearly and truly underftand each other; and that, by the word *Liberty*, we fignify the *fame ideas*, *the fame thoughts*, and the fame intentions; and that we do B 2 not

not entertain very various, but even very opposite ideas; and which may extend to licentiousness .--- I affented .--- For moral and political words, continued he, are fo indefinitely used, that men do not eafily understand each other. Hence that eternal writing and reafoning on the fame fubjests: and hence too falle eloquence derives her authority, and rules with magic force the illiterate and gaping multitude. But in the fearch of truth, her falfities must be detected and exposed, and her florid and delufive vanities muft be thrown afide; not merely as worthlefs, but as deftructive to the native fimplicity of truth. 1912 - 191

Amidft the fanciful and endles imaginations of the mind, unfubdued and uncorrected by a pure affection for nature, and for truth; every moral and political word

1.

word has a thousand shader of meaning, and admits of innumerable combinations; which, under the direction of a vain and difingenuous wit, throws a perpetual and almost impenetrable obscurity around the plainest and most undeniable truths.— Certainly, faid I; but proceed.

There can be no just reasoning, continued he, where this variegated verbosity prevails. If we defire to obtain any real knowledge, our ideas must be founded on fome real explances in nature; our words must be accurately defined, and all epithets, fimiles, allufions, and figurative expressions of every kind, must be read with a very jealous eye: if it were not that most readers like amufement, much better than knowledge, words could not pass fo currently, and with so little attention to their relative fignification.

A few

A few words, which have been employed by the feveral competitors in the prefent great controverfy concerning Liberty, from the want of being accurately defined, or from being totally incapable of definition, on account of their reprefenting no ideas derived from any existence in nature; have been the causes of much error and falsehood, and most certainly tend to much practical mischief.—I am all attention, faid I.

The word Sovereignty, continued he, as used by a late French writer*, to fignify the fupreme power, or will of a whole nation collectively; and as perceived, felt, and understood by a whole nation, as if it had but one mind; has doubtlefs not the least foundation in nature; and is a most ridiculous and fanatical imagination.

To

* J. J. Rouffeau.