

**BULLETIN OF THE UNIVERSITY OF  
WISCONSIN NO. 352, HISTORY SERIES,  
VOL. 2, NO. 2, PP. 321-477: COLONIAL  
PRECEDENTS OF OUR NATIONAL LAND  
SYSTEM AS IT EXISTED IN 1800**

Published @ 2017 Trieste Publishing Pty Ltd

ISBN 9780649552245

Bulletin of the University of Wisconsin No. 352, History Series, Vol. 2, No. 2, pp. 321-477:  
Colonial Precedents of Our National Land System as it Existed in 1800 by Amelia Clewley Ford

Except for use in any review, the reproduction or utilisation of this work in whole or in part in any form by any electronic, mechanical or other means, now known or hereafter invented, including xerography, photocopying and recording, or in any information storage or retrieval system, is forbidden without the permission of the publisher, Trieste Publishing Pty Ltd, PO Box 1576 Collingwood, Victoria 3066 Australia.

All rights reserved.

Edited by Trieste Publishing Pty Ltd.  
Cover @ 2017

This book is sold subject to the condition that it shall not, by way of trade or otherwise, be lent, re-sold, hired out, or otherwise circulated without the publisher's prior consent in any form or binding or cover other than that in which it is published and without a similar condition including this condition being imposed on the subsequent purchaser.

[www.triestepublishing.com](http://www.triestepublishing.com)

**AMELIA CLEWLEY FORD**

**BULLETIN OF THE UNIVERSITY OF  
WISCONSIN NO. 352, HISTORY SERIES,  
VOL. 2, NO. 2, PP. 321-477: COLONIAL  
PRECEDENTS OF OUR NATIONAL LAND  
SYSTEM AS IT EXISTED IN 1800**



BULLETIN OF THE UNIVERSITY OF WISCONSIN

NO. 352.

HISTORY SERIES, VOL. 2, NO. 2, PP. 221-478

---

COLONIAL PRECEDENTS OF OUR NATIONAL LAND  
SYSTEM AS IT EXISTED IN 1800

BY

AMELIA CLEWLEY FORD

*Instructor in History*

*Milwaukee-Dowener College*

A THESIS SUBMITTED FOR THE DEGREE OF DOCTOR OF PHILOSOPHY  
THE UNIVERSITY OF WISCONSIN  
1908

MADISON, WISCONSIN

JULY, 1910

PRICE, 50 CENTS

401221  
NOV 20 1933  
I W Z X  
F 5

AW  
F 75

#729032

## CONTENTS

	Page
INTRODUCTION.....	7
CHAPTER I.—The rectangular principle in colonial surveys.....	9
1. Bounds of the several colonies.....	9
2. Ground plan of cities and towns.....	11
3. Division of commons in New England towns.....	12
4. Form of colonial surveys.....	14
(a) prevalence of irregular outlines.....	14
(b) theoretical regard for regular forms.....	15
(c) method of survey along rivers.....	16
5. Proposed rectangular land systems.....	19
(a) the Carolina agrarian laws.....	20
Cause of failure.....	20
(b) William Penn's ideas.....	21
(c) plan for the margravate of Azilia.....	22
(d) British instructions of 1774.....	24
6. Development of the rectangular idea in the 18th century surveys.....	26
CHAPTER II.—Precedents of the township method of survey.....	28
1. Early townships.....	29
2. Development of the township plan in the eighteenth century.....	30
First period: 1727-1740.....	31
Surveys by Massachusetts and Connecticut.....	31
Action of British government in South Carolina.....	32
Second period: 1760-1774.....	33
New Hampshire grants.....	34
New townships in Maine.....	35
The Susquehanna Company of Wyoming Valley.....	35
Plans for settlement on the western frontier.....	36
Third period: 1783-1786.....	37
Methods of dealing with military tracts and vacant lands.....	37
Pennsylvania.....	39
New York.....	39
North Carolina.....	40
Massachusetts.....	40

	Page
CHAPTER III.—The 640 Acre Section.....	43
1. Obscure origin.....	43
2. Persistence of six hundred forty acre unit in North Carolina	44
(a) Under proprietary government.....	44
(b) Under state laws.....	44
3. Theoretical precedents.....	45
Montgomery's plan for <i>Asstia</i> , 1717.....	45
"Method of forming Frontier Settlements," in <i>Bouquet's Expedition</i> , 1764.....	45
4. Frontier "stations".....	46
5. Discussion of authorship of the military papers.....	47
6. Significance of the plan contained in " <i>Bouquet's Expedition</i> .".....	53
CHAPTER IV.—The Rectangular Principle in national legislation....	54
1. Various plans regarding the western territory.....	54
2. Establishment of the rectangular system.....	57
3. The question as to the origin of the system.....	60
4. Jefferson's part in framing the system.....	63
5. General attitude towards rectangular bounds.....	67
6. Conclusion.....	81
CHAPTER V.—The revenue policy regarding land.....	83
1. Practice of the great proprietors.....	83
2. Sales in New England before the Revolution.....	84
3. Change in British policy in 1774.....	84
4. Attitude of new American states.....	85
(a) Methods adopted.....	86
5. Provisions of the ordinances of 1784 and 1785.....	86
6. Principle of credit.....	89
(a) Introduction into national system.....	89
(b) Colonial instances of credit.....	89
(c) State legislation permitting credit.....	89
Laws of Virginia and North Carolina.....	90
Laws of the middle states and Massachusetts.....	90
7. The administration of the land system.....	91
CHAPTER VI.—Land bounties.....	95
1. Bounties for settlement.....	95
(a) Stimuli to immigration.....	95
1. Head rights.....	96
2. Concessions.....	96
(b) New England grants.....	98
(c) Miscellaneous grants for settlement.....	98
(d) Renewed use of bounties between 1730-1740.....	99
(e) Bounties provided for in colonial projects.....	99
(f) State bounty laws.....	100
(g) Conditions of settlement.....	103

CONTENTS.

5

	Page
<b>CHAPTER VI.—Land bounties—continued.</b>	
2. Military bounties.....	103
(a) Colonial policy.....	103
(b) Practice and plans of the British government.....	105
(c) Bounties to soldiers of the Revolution.....	107
3. Bounties for services.....	109
(a) Public service.....	109
(b) Industrial enterprises.....	109
(c) Miscellaneous bounties.....	110
<b>CHAPTER VII.—Squatters and preëmption rights.....</b>	<b>112</b>
1. First appearance of the squatter.....	112
2. Widespread character of the movement in the 18th century..	113
(a) Squatters in Pennsylvania and on the "western waters"	114
(b) Squatters in the north.....	116
(c) Conditions in the Northwest after the Revolution.....	117
3. Characteristics of the squatter.....	118
4. Acute troubles in Maine.....	120
(a) The great proprietors and the settlers.....	120
(b) Action by the general court.....	121
(c) Terms of settlement.....	122
5. Origin of Preëmption rights.....	123
(a) In custom of giving preference to buyers.....	124
6. Development in Pennsylvania.....	125
7. Legislation by Virginia and North Carolina.....	127
8. Attitude of Massachusetts.....	134
9. Terms made by private proprietors.....	137
10. Some conclusions.....	140
11. Adoption into national practice.....	142
<b>CHAPTER VIII.—Reservations of national resources.....</b>	<b>143</b>



1. The first part of the document discusses the importance of maintaining accurate records of all transactions and activities. It emphasizes that proper record-keeping is essential for transparency and accountability, particularly in financial matters. The text notes that without clear records, it becomes difficult to track expenses, revenues, and other critical data points.

2. The second section focuses on the role of technology in modern record-keeping. It highlights how digital tools and software solutions can significantly improve the efficiency and accuracy of data collection and storage. The document suggests that organizations should invest in reliable technology to ensure their records are secure, accessible, and easy to manage.

3. The third part of the document addresses the challenges associated with data management. It points out that as the volume of data grows, organizations must implement robust security measures to protect sensitive information from unauthorized access or loss. Additionally, it stresses the need for regular data audits to ensure the integrity and consistency of the records.

4. The final section discusses the importance of training and education for staff involved in record-keeping. It argues that even the most advanced technology is only as good as the people using it. Therefore, providing ongoing training and support is crucial to ensure that all team members understand the correct procedures and best practices for maintaining accurate records.

## INTRODUCTORY NOTE

---

The object of this paper is to exhibit the continuity that exists between the colonial land systems and that system framed by the national legislators from 1785 to 1800. As has been said, seemingly new legislation was founded on the best of colonial precedents. The men in Congress drew chiefly on their own knowledge and experience of colonial land practices for the ideas embodied in the land laws; and "keen discrimination was used in recommending the best features of the existing systems." No complete account of the colonial land systems is intended. Only those customs and laws will be mentioned which throw light upon the relation between the colonial methods of dealing with public lands, and the federal system as it was in 1800. Whatever indicates an evolution of the federal plan from colonial beginnings will be emphasized.

By the close of 1800, the chief elements of the national system had been incorporated by the three laws of May 20, 1785, May 18, 1796, and May 10, 1800. These elements were previous survey, rectangular outlines, the township unit, the section, public and private sale, reservations, and administration; and it is precedents of these which will be considered. The principles of land bounties and preemption rights were not a part of the national system till long after 1800, but so large a place do they occupy in colonial land affairs, and so insistent was the demand for their application to the public domain from the time the national government was organized onward, that no discussion of land matters would be complete that did not deal with them. The matter of reservations for education has been omitted as that has already been dealt with by Joseph Schafer in *The Origin of the System of Land Grants for Education*,

Bulletin of the University of Wisconsin, No. 63, Madison, 1902, and by George Wells Knight in his *History and Management of Land Grants for Education in the Northwest Territory, Ohio, Indiana, Illinois, Michigan, Wisconsin*. (New York, 185.)

The following investigation was begun at the suggestion of Dr. Frederick Jackson Turner of the University of Wisconsin, and to him grateful acknowledgments are chiefly due for inspiring comment and generous recognition. The rare opportunities offered by the Wisconsin State Historical Library have been appreciated, and cordial thanks are due the members of its staff, through whose courtesy its resources have been made available to the fullest extent. Indebtedness must be expressed to Dr. J. Franklin Jameson of the Carnegie Institution at Washington for valuable information regarding pertinent material in the MSS. of the Library of Congress.

Madison, Wisconsin, June, 1908.

AMELIA CLEWLEY FORD.