PRISON DISCIPLINE IN AMERICA

Published @ 2017 Trieste Publishing Pty Ltd

ISBN 9780649680238

Prison Discipline in America by Francis C. Gray

Except for use in any review, the reproduction or utilisation of this work in whole or in part in any form by any electronic, mechanical or other means, now known or hereafter invented, including xerography, photocopying and recording, or in any information storage or retrieval system, is forbidden without the permission of the publisher, Trieste Publishing Pty Ltd, PO Box 1576 Collingwood, Victoria 3066 Australia.

All rights reserved.

Edited by Trieste Publishing Pty Ltd. Cover @ 2017

This book is sold subject to the condition that it shall not, by way of trade or otherwise, be lent, re-sold, hired out, or otherwise circulated without the publisher's prior consent in any form or binding or cover other than that in which it is published and without a similar condition including this condition being imposed on the subsequent purchaser.

www.triestepublishing.com

FRANCIS C. GRAY

PRISON DISCIPLINE IN AMERICA



PRISON DISCIPLINE

IN

AMERICA.

FRANCIS C. GRAY.

BY

LONDON: JOHN MURRAY, ALBEMARLE STREET. 1848.

ADVERTISEMENT.

The statements in the text, for which no other authority is cited, are founded, so far as relates to the Eastern Penitentiary in Philadelphia, on the printed reports of the officers of that institution; as to the prison at Charlestown, on the like reports, on the records of the prison, on communications from the warden and the chaplain, and on personal observation; as to prisons in Great Britain, on official reports and parliamentary documents, especially on the testimony given before a committee of the House of Lords last spring by officers of those prisons.

It would be presumptuous to assert that there are no mistakes in this pamphlet. But it is enough for my purpose, if there are none, which affect materially its arguments or its conclusions.

F. C. GRAY.

Boston, November 10, 1847.



CONTENTS.

State of th	e question,		ě					ē.,	2			
Experime	nts and pro	дгевв :	of o	pinio	n i	n A	m	eric	a,			2
The State	Prison in C	harles	stow	n,		0					69	
Discharge	d Convicts,			2018 •					ė.			
Model pris	ons of the	wo sy	eten	18,				40		•	×	
Solitude a	nd society,	(5)		•			80		• 00			(0)
Industriou	s habits and	skill,		:00		*		*:		•65	:00	
Comparat	ive earnings	,				1	(0)		•			×
Bodily and	l mental he	aith,		114		*		*		43	118	
Number o	f deaths,				4		÷			50		
Number o	f cases of in	isanity	٧,	27				÷		200	34	
Experience	e of New J	ersey.	,		+		•					
Experience	e of Rhode	Islan	d,			٠				8		
Amount o	f society pr	ovideo	l for	COL	vic	ts,						
Opinions i	in Europe,		•	92		28		•		•	12	
Poland,	* *	23.0			*		•0					*
France,	34	*	•			•		*		•	3	
England,	Millbank,			ş	*		•		•	39		
44	Pentonville	,	É.	ě		ŵ.		23		£10		
44	Parkhurst,			2			į.		•	10		÷
Scotland,	Perth,		27					្		211		
County Ja	ils, .						•		•			•
New Sche	eme of Briti	sh Go	vern	mei	ıt,			•		• 30	:27	
Results, a	s to Americ	an Pr	ison	s,			*:					•
A 17												



PRISON DISCIPLINE IN AMERICA.

The extensive and systematic inquiries and experiments, which have been made in this country during sixty years past, in relation to Prison Discipline, have given to our accumulated knowledge on this subject almost the character of a science. Many general conclusions in it are established, and many questions, once the occasions of violent controversy, are now determined in a manner which commands universal assent. That stinted food, constant confinement, total privation of social intercourse, should form no part of any system; that all systems should provide for entire separation at night, and for vigorous exercise and useful labor, instead of the fatiguing and unprofitable toil of the treadmill by day; and that no more nor greater punishments, should be inflicted than are requisite for the attainment of these objects and for the preservation of order; these and other propositions once doubted or even strenuously denied, are now admitted by all.

One of the most important questions, which remains to be decided, and one which has recently excited great zeal and interest here and in Europe, is this: Whether the daily labor of prisoners should be carried on in workshops containing several in company under constant supervision; or by each alone in entire solitude; and it is now proposed to consider this question, especially as it is illustrated by the experience of certain prisons in the United States.

This diversity forms the chief distinction between the two systems of prison discipline generally known here as those of Auburn and of Pennsylvania, as they are now administered in this country. It is not designed, however, to discuss the general merits of these systems; nor indeed would it be easy to give a definition of them, since each of these terms is used in different times and places to convey very different meanings. The Pennsylvania system for some years before 1829 prescribed the constant confinement of each convict to a solitary cell by day and by night, without permission to labor. For several years afterwards, it permitted labor, but prohibited all intercourse between the convicts and any other persons, excepting their religious teachers and other official visitors, and denied them all knowledge of anything transpiring beyond the walls of the prison, even of the situation of their families and friends. At present, visits may be received from well-diposed persons, admitted by permission of the inspectors, and are indeed represented to be an essential part of the system. The Auburn sys-