SPECIAL BULLETIN. HATCH EXPERIMENT STATION OF THE MASSACHUSETTS AGRICULTURAL COLLEGE. THE PTEROPHORIDAE OF NORTH AMERICA

Published @ 2017 Trieste Publishing Pty Ltd

ISBN 9780649395231

Special Bulletin. Hatch Experiment Station of the Massachusetts Agricultural College. The Pterophoridae of North America by C. H. Fernald

Except for use in any review, the reproduction or utilisation of this work in whole or in part in any form by any electronic, mechanical or other means, now known or hereafter invented, including xerography, photocopying and recording, or in any information storage or retrieval system, is forbidden without the permission of the publisher, Trieste Publishing Pty Ltd, PO Box 1576 Collingwood, Victoria 3066 Australia.

All rights reserved.

Edited by Trieste Publishing Pty Ltd. Cover @ 2017

This book is sold subject to the condition that it shall not, by way of trade or otherwise, be lent, re-sold, hired out, or otherwise circulated without the publisher's prior consent in any form or binding or cover other than that in which it is published and without a similar condition including this condition being imposed on the subsequent purchaser.

www.triestepublishing.com

C. H. FERNALD

SPECIAL BULLETIN. HATCH EXPERIMENT STATION OF THE MASSACHUSETTS AGRICULTURAL COLLEGE. THE PTEROPHORIDAE OF NORTH AMERICA

Trieste

SPECIAL BULLETIN.

.

343

20

HATCH EXPERIMENT STATION

OF THE

MASSACHUSETTS AGRICULTURAL COLLEGE.

Note: the second second

THE PTEROPHORIDÆ

OF NORTH AMERICA.

C. H. FERNALD, A.M., PH.D.

REVISED EDITION. July 30, 1898.

.

. .

BOSTON: WRIGHT & POTTER PRINTING CO., STATE PRINTERS, 18 POST OFFICE SQUARE. 1898.

THE PTEROPHORIDÆ OF NORTH AMERICA.

The species of moths taken up in this work are known by the common names of plume-moths and feather-wings. They have been studied but very little, and our knowledge of the early stages and habits of a large proportion of our native American species is very imperfect, but it is hoped that our entomologists will give more attention to them hereafter.

GEOGRAPHICAL DISTRIBUTION. .

The Pterophoridæ are distributed very widely over the globe, but appear to be most numerous in the temperate regions, particularly in Europe, North America and Australia; yet, when other parts of the globe have been as carefully explored, it is probable that many additional species will be discovered, and that they may be more evenly distributed than at present appears to be the case.

GEOLOGICAL DISTRUCTION.

I am indebted to Mr. S. H. Scudder, our highest authority on fossil insects, for the information that no Pterophoridæ have yet been recognized among the fossils, not even in amber.

ECONOMIC IMPORTANCE.

A few species of the Pterophoridæ are injurious to plants of economic importance, and the larvæ of several others feed on plants raised for ornamental purposes or for flowers.

NATURAL ENEMIES.

While it is probable that the species of this family are preyed upon not only by insect enemics but also by birds, yet I have been able to find but few recorded observations with regard to them. Ashmead has described *Pimpla pterophori* and *Limneria pterophoræ* from Pterophorids in California, and the latter species has also been taken in Texas. Prof. Kellicott bred *Ichneumon humilis* Prov. from *Platyptilia earduidactyla*.

HISTORY.

Linnæus, in the tenth edition of his "Systema Naturæ," Vol. 1, page 542, published in 1758, established the genus Alucita for the plume-moths with the following six species under it in order: monodactyla, didactyla, tridactyla, tetradactyla, pentadactyla and hexadactyla, — all placed under the heading ALUCITÆ. Some of these insects had been figured and described more or less fully by authors previous to the time of Linnæus, as Aldrovandus, 1602; Madam Merian, 1679; Petiver, 1702; Ray, 1710; Frisch, 1721; Reaumar, 1736; and Rosel, 1746; but, as Linnæus in the above work first consistently used the binomial nomenclature, it has been decided almost universally by zoölogists to adopt this edition of the "Systema Naturæ" as the starting-point in zoölogical nomenclature.

In 1761, Poda published his "Insecta Musei Graecencis," in which, on page 94, he adopted the generic name Alucita with pentadactyla L. the only species under it, and this species is therefore regarded as the type of the genus Alucita by Lord Walsingham and other eminent authorities. Geoffroy, in 1762, published the first edition of his "Histoire abrégée des Insectes," in two volumes. In the second volume this author, rejecting the genus Alucita of Linnaus, established the genus Pterophorus, a name which he stated was given to these insects by some naturalist in former times, and placed under it pentadactyla L. didactyla L. and hexadactyla L. From his description of didactyla, there can be no doubt that, instead of this species, he had monodactyla L. before him, and therefore we must consider didactyla Geoff. the same as monodactyla L. As Poda had already used pentadactyla as the type of Alucita, only the species monodactyla L. and hexadactyla L. could be considered as belonging under Pterophorus.

Scopoli, in his "Entomologia Carniolica," published in 1762, gives five species of plume-moths under Phalæna, which he appears to have used in a generic sense. In 1775, Fabricius, in his "Systema Entomologia," page 667, very improperly made use of the genus *Alucita* for *xylostella* L. and nineteen other Tineids, and followed Geoffroy in using *Pterophorus* for the plume-moths. This use of these generic names he continued through all his writings. The authors of the "Systematische Verzeichniss der Schmetterlinge der Wienergegend," 1776, page 144, adopted the genus *Alucita* in the strict Linnæan sense.

Latreille, in his " Precis des Caracteres generique des Insectes,"

published in 1796, page 148, separated hexadactyla from the group and established for it the genus Orneodes, but retained the rest of the plume-moths under Pterophorus. Latreille repeated this use of these generic names in his "Histoire naturelle des Crustaces et Insectes," Vol. XIV., page 255 (1805), and used the generic name Alucita in the Fabrician sense. This action of Latreille in removing hexadactylus from Pterophorus left only the species monodactylus L. under it which must now be regarded as the type, while Orneodes must be recognized with hexadactyla L. as the type.

In 1806, Hübner published his "Tentameu," in which these insects are placed in Phalanx 9; Alucitæ, in Tribus 1: indubitate. There are two divisions under this, the first of which is Pterophone with Pterophora pentadactyla, and the second is Ripidophora with Ripidophora hexadactyla. The "Tentamen" has caused a great deal of controversy as to whether it was a true publication, and whether its generic names should be recognized. No question can arise in case of the plume-moths, as Poda had long before adopted pentadactyla as the type of Alucita, and Latreille had very properly separated hexadactyla from the group and established for it the genus Orneodes. Schrank, in the second part of Vol. II. of his "Fauna Boica" (1802), page 139, adopted the Linnean genus Alucita for these insects.

In 1811, Haworth published the third part of his "Lepidoptera Britannica," in which he adopted the genus *Atucita* in the Linnman sense for the plume-moths. In 1815, Leach published his article "Entomology" in the "Edinburgh Encyclopædia," in which, under Tribe VII, Alucitides, the genus *Pterophorus* Geoff. is adopted with *pentadactylus* and *didactylus* ander it, and the genus *Alucita* with *hezadactyla* under it. In 1819, Samouelle published his "Entomologist's Useful Compendium," in which he adopted the classification of Leach.

Hübner, in his "Verzeichniss bekannter Schmetteringe," adopted the term Alacitæ for his ninth phalanx, the plumemoths. This part of the "Verzeichniss" was published between Aug. 27, 1825, and the time of Hübner's death, which occurred Sept. 13, 1826. This author divided these insects into three tribes: the first including those with unfissured wings, for which he established the genus Agdistis; the second with those having one fissure in the fore wings and two in the bind wings. This tribe was further divided into two families, each containing two genera. The first family, Obtusse, contained the genera Platyptilia and Amblyptilia, and the second family, Cuspides, contained the 2.

genera Stenoptilia and Aciptilia. The third tribe included those species in which each wing is divided into six parts, and these were all placed under the genus *Euchiradia*, which is of course synonymous with Orneodes.

In 1827, Curtis published Vol. IV. of his "British Entomology," in which he adopted the genus Pterophorus and names pentadactyla L. as the type. In Vol. X. of the same work (1833), he established the genus Adactylus with adactyla Hüb. for the type. In Vol. XV., published in 1838, he adopted the genus Alucita and named hexadactyla as the type. Curtis, in 1829, in his "Guide to an arrangement of the British insects," had taken the genus Adactylus for the species with undivided wings, Alucita for "hexadactyla and its allies" and Pterophorus for the remainder. In the same year Stephens published his "Catalogue of British insects," in which he adopted the genus Agaistis Hüb. for the species with undivided wings, and Pterophorus and Alucita in the same sense as Curtis had used them. This same classification was used by Stephens in 1884, in his "Illustrations of British Entomology."

Treitschke, in Vol. IX., Part 2, of his "Schmetterlinge von Europa," published in 1838, adopted the generic name Alucita for the species placed by Stephens under Agdistis and Pterophorus, while he used Orneodes for hexadactylus and its allies. In 1836, Duponchel, in his "Histoire naturelle des Lepidopteres," Vol. IX., adopted the classification of Latreille, but in his "Catalogue Methodique," published in 1844, he used the genus Adactyla Zell. for hübneri Curt., Orneodes, for hexadactyla and its allies, and Pterophorus for the remaining species. Westwood, in Vol. 1. of his "Classification of insects," page 115, published in 1839, adopted the classification of Stephens.

Zeller, in 1841, published his monograph of the plume-moths in "Isis," Vol. X. This author adopted the name *Pterophoridæ* for the group, and divided them into the *Pterophoridæ* proprii, and *Alucitina*. Under the first division he established the genus *Adactyla*, apparently unconscious of the fact that Cartis had already used the same name. Under this same division Zeller adopted the genus *Pterophorus* Geoff., which he divided into groups or subgenera as follows: *Platyptilus* (*Platyptilia* Hüb.), *Oxyptilus* (*Amblyptilia* Hüb.), *Pterophorus* (*Stenoptilia* Hüb.), *Aciptilus* (*Aciptilia* Hüb.). The division *Alucitina* contained the genus *Alucita* with *hexadactyla* and allies under it. In 1852, Zeller published his "Revision of the Pterophoridæ" in "Linnæa Entomologia," Vol. VI., page 319, in which he sinks his genus Adactyla and adopts Höbner's Agaistis, and establishes the genus Deuterocopus for the species tengstræmi of Java.

In 1840, Zetterstedt, in his "Insecta Laponica," placed all his plume-moths under the genus Alucita, but in a note refers to Orneodes hexadactyla indicating his adoption of this generic name. Herrich-Schæffer, in his "Schmetterlinge von Europa," Vol. V., published in 1853-55, follows the classification of Zeller. Stainton, in his "Manual of British Butterflies and Moths" (1859), adopted the generic name Adactyla for bennetii, Pterophorus for rhododactylus and its allies and Alucita for polydactyla.

In 1859, Wallengren published his work on the Scandinavian plume-moths, which, like Zeller's works, marked an era in the classification of these insects. Wallengren followed Zeller in dividing them into the *Pterophoridæ* and *Alucitina*, ander the first of which he established four new genera, and used, in addition to these, five genera established by earlier authors. Under *Alucitina* he adopted the genus *Alucita* for *hexadactyla*.

In 1864, Walker published Part 30 of his "List of the Lepidopterous Insects in the British Museum," in which he refers to all the described species of the plume-moths, and added thirtyfive new species and two new genera founded on new species from Ega, South America. In this work Walker followed the classification of Zeller.

In 1869, Dr. Jordan, in the "Entomologist's Monthly Magazine," Vol. VI., pages 119 and 149, gave a review of Wallengren's work, referred to above, which contains valuable information. Mr. South has given a most interesting and valuable series of illustrated papers on the early stages, habits and food plants of the British plume-moths in the "Entomologist," Vol. XIV. and following volumes. Tutt's "Monograph of the Pterophorina of Britain" is also a valuable paper on the British plume-moths. In 1877, Dr. Woeke, in "Die Schmetterlinge Deutschlands und der Schweiz," Vol. II., Part 2, followed very closely the classification of Wallengren. In 1886, Leech, in his British Pyralides," including the Pterophoridæ published in 1886, uses the super-family Pterophori with the families *Pterophoridæ* and *Alucitidæ* under it.

Meyrick, in his paper "On the Classification of the Pyralidina of the European Fauna," published in 1890, in the "Transactions of the Entomological Society of London," placed these insects as families under the super-family Pyralidina. Mr. Meyrick had already made critical studies on these insects in his researches on