WORDS AND PHRASES: INTERPRETED,
DEFINED AND COMMENTED UPON BY
THE SUPREME COURT OF
THE STATE OF MONTANA; IN ITS
DECISIONS AS FOUND IN VOLUMES 1-34
OF THE MONTANA REPORTS

Published @ 2017 Trieste Publishing Pty Ltd

#### ISBN 9780649448227

Words and Phrases: Interpreted, Defined and Commented Upon by the Supreme Court of the State of Montana; In its Decisions as found in Volumes 1-34 of the Montana Reports by A. C. Schneider

Except for use in any review, the reproduction or utilisation of this work in whole or in part in any form by any electronic, mechanical or other means, now known or hereafter invented, including xerography, photocopying and recording, or in any information storage or retrieval system, is forbidden without the permission of the publisher, Trieste Publishing Pty Ltd, PO Box 1576 Collingwood, Victoria 3066 Australia.

All rights reserved.

Edited by Trieste Publishing Pty Ltd. Cover @ 2017

This book is sold subject to the condition that it shall not, by way of trade or otherwise, be lent, re-sold, hired out, or otherwise circulated without the publisher's prior consent in any form or binding or cover other than that in which it is published and without a similar condition including this condition being imposed on the subsequent purchaser.

www.triestepublishing.com

# A. C. SCHNEIDER

WORDS AND PHRASES: INTERPRETED,
DEFINED AND COMMENTED UPON BY
THE SUPREME COURT OF
THE STATE OF MONTANA; IN ITS
DECISIONS AS FOUND IN VOLUMES 1-34
OF THE MONTANA REPORTS



# Words and Phrases

Interpreted, Defined and Commented Upon

BY THE

# SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF MONTANA

In its Decisions as found in Volumes 1-34 of the Montana Reports

A. C. SCHNEIDER
A Member of the Bar of Montana

Copyright 1906. By A. C. Schneider.



Anagonda, Mont. Standard Publishing Company, Printers and Binders.

#### PREFACE

Believing that a compilation of the words and phrases (both legal and non-legal) which have been the subject of interpretation, definition or comment in the decisions of the Supreme Court of the State of Montana, published in Volumes 1 to 34, inclusive, of the Montana Reports-which latter volume will embrace all opinions filed up to January, 1907,-would be of assistance to the busy practitioner, the compiler commenced this work about two years ago. In order to minimize the possibility of error, the matter herewith submitted has been carefully verified. Wherever the particular word or sentence is part of a section of the Organic or Enabling Act, the Constitution, Codes or Statutes, the section is given. The references to the Montana Reports are to the page or pages on which the word or phrase treated may be found, while the citations to the Pacific Reporter are made to the first pages of the particular opinions.

The hope for approbation entertained by the compiler is based upon the knowledge that his work has been conscientious, most laborious and, in a great measure, one of love. He entered into its preparation well knowing that the sale of the book would practically be limited to the active attorney within this commonwealth, and that in all probability no more than the cost of publication would be realized. He is content, however, if the attorney whose labors are lightened by a reference to the within pages will be appreciative of the efforts put forth in its preparation and overlook any errors which may have crept into it, although it is confidently believed none will be found.

A. C. SCHNEIDER.

Helena, Montana, January 1, 1907.

\*: 1 ≅ ∰ 1

#### WORDS AND PHRASES

A compilation of the words and phrases defined, interpreted or commented upon by the Supreme Court of the State of Montana in its decisions, as published in Volumes 1 to 34, inclusive, of the Montana Reports.

#### A.

"A" judge—writ or order may be granted by—Equivalent to "Any." (Comp. Stat. First Div. Sec. 172.)
Wallace v. Helena E. Ry. Co., 10 Mont. 47 et seq.

"A"-"The" for-

Wastl v. Montana U. Ry. Co., 24 Mont. 177; 61 Pac. 9.

#### "Abandonment"

Ducie v. Ford, 8 Mont. 241; 19 Pac. 414. (Of mining claim.) McKay v. McDougall, 25 Mont. 262; 64 Pac. 669. (Same.) McDermott M. Co. v. McDermott, 27 Mont. 150 et seq.; 69 Pac. 715. (Same.)

Wolf v. Great Falls W. P. & T. Co., 15 Mont, 71; 38 Pac. 115. (By failure to pay taxes.)

Tucker v. Jones, 8 Mont. 229 et seq.; 19 Pac. 571. (Of water right.) Meagher v. Hardenbrook, 11 Mont. 389; 28 Pac. 451. (Same.) Middle Creek Ditch Co. v. Henry, 15 Mont. 577; 89 Pac. 1054. (Same.)

Norman v. Corbley, 32 Mont. 203; 79 Pac. 1059. (Same.)

"Abetting" crime-(Penal Code, Sec. 41.)

State v. Allen, 34 Mont, -; 87 Pac. 177.

## "Ab Inconvenienti" argument-

Heinbockle v. Zugbaum, 5 Mont. 348; 5 Pac. 897. United States v. Williams, 6 Mont. 388; 12 Pac. 851. Wallace v. Helena El. Ry. Co., 10 Mont. 45; 25 Pac. 278. State ex rel. New York Sheep Co. v. Dist. Court, 14 Mont. 602; 37 Pac. 969.

State v. Camp Sing, 18 Mont. 137; 44 Pac. 516.
Palmer v. City of Helena, 19 Mont. 68; 47 Pac. 209.
Hilburn v. St. Paul Ry. Co., 23 Mont. 249; 58 Pac. 811.
City of Helena v. Rogan, 26 Mont. 475; 68 Pac. 798.
State ex rel. Bray v. Settles, 34 Mont. —; 87 Pac. 445.

### "Absolute Necessity"-

B., A. & P. Ry. v. M. U. Ry., 16 Mont. 539; 41 Pac. 232.

#### "Abuse of Discretion"-

Bordeaux v. Bordeaux, 30 Mont. 40; 75 Pac. 524.

#### "Acceptance"-

Brophy v. Idaho P. & P. Co., 31 Mont. 285; 78 Pac. 493.

#### "Accessory"-

State v. DeWolfe, 29 Mont, 423 et seq.; 74 Pac, 1084.

#### "Accident"-

United States v. Power, 6 Mont. 274; 12 Pac. 639.

"Accompanied" for "Accomplished" by means of force, etc. taking of personal property. (Penal Code, Sec. 390.) State v. Johnson, 26 Mont. 10; 66 Pac. 290.

#### "Accomplice"-

State v. Spotted Hawk, 22 Mont. 65; 55 Pac. 1026.

"Accomplished" by means of force, etc.—taking of personal property. (Penal Code, Sec. 390.)

State v. Johnson, 26 Mont, 10; 66 Pac. 290.

#### "Accord and Satisfaction"-

Story v. Maclay, 6 Mont. 497; 13 Pac, 198.

"Accounts"—(Compiled Statutes, Fifth Div. Sec. 762.)

Powder River C. Co. v. Commissioners, 9 Mont. 152; 22 Pac. 383.

#### "Account Stated"-

Voight v. Brooks, 19 Mont, 375; 48 Pac. 549. Bradshaw v. Morse, 20 Mont. 218; 50 Pac. 554. King v. Lincoln, 26 Mont, 159, 66 Pac. 836. Stagg & Conrad v. St. Jean, 29 Mont. 292; 74 Pac. 740. Martin v. Heinze, 31 Mont, 73; 77 Pac. 427. Noyes v. Young, 32 Mont, 235; 79 Pac. 1063.

"A Concise Abstract or Statement of the Case"—(Supreme Court Rules, Rule X.)

State ex rel. B. & M. etc. Co. v. Dist. Court, 22 Mont. 230; 56 Pac. 219.

## "Acknowledgment"-

Taylor v. Holter, 1 Mont. 710.
Middle Creek Ditch Co. v. Henry, 15 Mont. 578 et seq.; 39 Pac. 1054.

#### "Acquittal"-

State v. Keerl, 33 Mont. 501; 85 Pac. 862.

#### "Act"-

Chumasero v. Potts, 2 Mont. 284 et seq.

#### MONTANA REPORTS.

"Act"—a judge shall not "act" as such—(Comp. Stat. First Div. Sec. 547.)

First National Bank v. Roberts, 9 Mont. 339; 23 Pac. 718.

#### "Action"-

Chumasero v. Potts, 2 Mont. 269.
First National Bank v. Roberts, 9 Mont. 239; 23 Pac. 718.
In re McFarland's Estate, 10 Mont. 455; 26 Pac. 185.
State ex rel. Newell v. Newell, 13 Mont. 304; 34 Pac. 28.
Bookwalter v. Conrad, 15 Mont. 471; 39 Pac. 673.
Haupt v. Burton, 21 Mont. 573; 55 Pac. 110.
In re Wellcome, 23 Mont. 260; 58 Pac. 711.
Butte & Boston Co. v. Mont. Ore Pur. Co., 24 Mont, 131; 60 Pac. 1089.
State ex rel. Nissler v. Donlan. 32 Mont. 266; 80 Pac. 244.
State ex rel. Carleton v. Dist. Court, 23 Mont. 142 et seq.; 82 Pac. 789

#### "Actionable Negligence"-

Driscoll v. Clark, 32 Mont. 188; 80 Pac. 1.

"Action arising on Contract"—(Code Civ. Pro. Sec. 66.)

Oppenheimer v. Regan, 32 Mont. 116; 79 Pac. 695.

# "Action or Proceeding"-

First National Bank v. Roberts, 9 Mont. 340; 23 Pac. 718. (Comp. Stat. 1887, First Div. Sec. 548.) State ex rel. Carleton v. Dist. Court, 33 Mont, 142; 82 Pac. 789. (Code Civi) Pro. Sec. 180.)

"Acts and Contracts"—of a corporation—(Comp. Stat. 1887, Fifth Div. Sec. 444.)

Powder River Cattle Co. v. Commissioners, 9 Mont. 150; 22 Pac.

# "Actual and Continued Change of Possession"—

Dodge v. Jones, 7 Mont, 129 et seq.; 14 Pac. 807.

# "Actual and Necessary Expenses"—

Territory ex rel. Tanner v. Potts, 3 Mont, 368,

"Actual" change of possession—
O'Gara v. Lowry, 5 Mont. 436; 6 Pac. 583.

#### "Actual" delivery-

Morris v. McLaughlin, 25 Mont. 155; 64 Pac. 219.

#### "Actual" fraud-

Sathre v. Rolfe, 31 Mont. 88; 77 Pac. 431,

#### "Actual Occupation"-

Schnepel v. Mellen, 3 Mont. 134.