THE PEOPLE IN CHURCH, THEIR RIGHTS AND DUTIES IN CONNECTION WITH THE POETRY AND MUSIC OF THE BOOK OF COMMON PRAYER

Published @ 2017 Trieste Publishing Pty Ltd

ISBN 9780649669219

The People in Church, Their Rights and Duties in Connection with the Poetry and Music of the Book of Common Prayer by Iosiah Pittman

Except for use in any review, the reproduction or utilisation of this work in whole or in part in any form by any electronic, mechanical or other means, now known or hereafter invented, including xerography, photocopying and recording, or in any information storage or retrieval system, is forbidden without the permission of the publisher, Trieste Publishing Pty Ltd, PO Box 1576 Collingwood, Victoria 3066 Australia.

All rights reserved.

Edited by Trieste Publishing Pty Ltd. Cover @ 2017

This book is sold subject to the condition that it shall not, by way of trade or otherwise, be lent, re-sold, hired out, or otherwise circulated without the publisher's prior consent in any form or binding or cover other than that in which it is published and without a similar condition including this condition being imposed on the subsequent purchaser.

www.triestepublishing.com

IOSIAH PITTMAN

THE PEOPLE IN CHURCH, THEIR RIGHTS AND DUTIES IN CONNECTION WITH THE POETRY AND MUSIC OF THE BOOK OF COMMON PRAYER

Trieste

THE PEOPLE IN CHURCH.

٠.

•

•

.

....

THE PEOPLE IN CHURCH

THE LOOP LY AND

and the set of states in

THEIR RIGHTS AND DUTIES

IN CONNECTION WITH THE POETRY AND MUSIC OF

The Book of Common Prayer

BY IOSIAH PITTMAN

CHAPEL-MASTER AND ORGANIST TO THE

BONCURABLE SOCIETY OF

LINCOLN'S INN



LONDON

BELL AND DALDY FLEET STREET

1858

13F. E. IF.



THE PEOPLE IN CHURCH.



T is a diftinguishing peculiarity of the English Church that its Offices of worship are comprised in one volume, and that not a large one. Nor is it less

remarkable that amongst the many books of its kind that were originated at the period of the Reformation, the English book is the only one that has maintained a permanent hold on the national mind. There are those of the present day who advance charges and objections; but the indulgence of this feeling, a periodical epidemic, has never exercised any abiding influence. That such charges and objections should ever have arisen is not a little singular; and the cause of them is well worthy of consideration.

In the first place, the Book is commonly called "the Prayer Book,"—a term rather strangely applied to a work of which the actual prayers therein may be gathered together in a few of its pages; for the great body of its contents are Odes and Songs, Canticles and Hymns, Creeds and Doxologies, the

1:

Memoirs of our Saviour, as portrayed in the writings of the Evangelists and Apostles, and certain historical records of the Hebrew and Apostolic Churches.

In the fecond place, its contents, as in all other works of the kind, prove it to be a Book for mufic, whilft, in fact, there is not a note of mufic in it. Hence this difcrepancy gives rife to very oppofite opinions amongst those who only look at the furface of things. Some fee in it the Alleluias, the Gloria Patris, Kyrie eleifons, the Agnus Dei, Miferere nobis, and all those ancient outburfts of fong which have for these 1 500 years past foothed and gladdened the hearts of those who now reft in peace, awaiting the confummation of the great mystery in which we here live and breathe; whilft others fee in the Allelulia nothing more than the Celebrant reading the words, Praile pe the Lord, and a Clerk and fome unknown number of perfons making the reply, The Lord's name be praifed, and that in a manner which demonstrates little if any preconcerted arrangement between them. With the latter class the Doxologies, if fung, are left to the Quire, infant or otherwife, as the cafe may be; and with respect to many other of the responses, this class is of the peculiar opinion that fuch portions of the Service are of far too ferious and folemn a character to be affociated with mufic at all.

The Prayer Book has, then, its two diffinct claffes of adherents or admirers. Those who will fing the contents of the Book, and those who will read them. There is also a third class, and that a large one, who may be faid to hold no opinion whatever

on thefe two queftions. For, when attending the Cathedral, the Service there falls fhort in conveying to the mind a true portraiture of a common worfhip in fong; and when in the Parifh Church, the reading there of directions to praife,—commands to fing,—to fing in new fongs, in joyful fongs, in loud fongs,—and hearing no fongs whatever,—thofe of this clafs naturally withhold their affent to fuch a ftate of things by reafon of its unreality and its oppofition to facts.

But no just opinion of what is the right order of celebrating the poetical fervices of our Office Book (poetical fervices, for at least two-thirds of the Morning and Evening Offices confift of poetry) can be, or ought to be, drawn from any particular mode of celebration in use here or there. And before deciding upon what is the proper and natural expofition of our public offices, it is first neceffary to afcertain if there be in worfhip any order and form of Divine appointment; and if fo, whether the contents of our Book of public worthip coincide with that order and form. Now, amid the various differences exifting in our prefent modes of celebration, there is yet difcernible a genuine and hearty endeavour to hold the truth, and realize as far as poffible certain broad points, which may be confidered as the first and original appointments and attributes of Divine worship.

The earlieft records disclose four remarkable facts in connection with the worship of the true GoD, and these facts ought never to be allowed to escape obfervation. They are these : first, with respect to the

language of worfhip, the fubject-matter, its higheft form, is that of poetry. Secondly, with respect to the vehicle of that poetry, the manner of its utterance, its higheft form, is that of mulic. Thirdly, with refpect to the perfons engaged as celebrants of that worship, none are exempt. It is a division of labour in which all are to unite-men, women, and children. And fourthly, with respect to the manner of their co-operation, they are to ANSWER each other. Now, if we look into the pages of our Book of Offices we shall find these four elements of national worship running throughout the length and breadth of the volume. There is the poetry-and no poetry in the world can approach it in fublimity, pathos, beauty, and grace. There is the conftant and unceasing reference to mufic, although the actual fong be not in fo many apparent notes comprised in the Book. There is a clear recognition of the principle that all parties prefent are to join in the Service; and to realize this refult, there are the facts of the appointment of fome one to lead, as the Celebrant, and a Chorus or Quire to govern the answer or response. In places wherein are no fuch Chorus or Quire, there is found a Precentor or Clerk to fuftain the Vox precurrens, thereby effecting, as nearly as poffible, fomething which shall stand as a living substitute for the higher fact.

The mufic of the Church is chiefly vocal mufic, and vocal mufic prefuppofes the exiftence and conftant use of poetry. The harmony of numbers in found owes its progress, in no small degree, to the

harmony of numbers in language. Song and verfe have been married together from time immemorial, and it would be ftrange to find them divorced in the temple of their Creator. To bring man into the immediate prefence of the Infinite Father of the Universe, God was pleased to make revelation of His will, and appoint certain definite acts which He required of man to do; and the earlieft of these acts was that of facrifice, the taking away of life; the holocauft, the deftruction of the whole body. This order and form is supposed to be coeval with the time of our first parents, and of all worship it is the earlieft. It must be prefumed that man could not discover this rite, and that Gon did reveal it. There was an order of facrificing which was pleafing to the ALMIGHTY, for fuch was that of Abel; and fome other way which was not, and fuch was that of Cain. It is reasonable, then, to conclude there was a diffinct revelation on the fubject. It is admitted that in the Bible, with refpect to this inftitution of facrifice, no mention is made of its affociation with hymns and fongs of praife; but we foon find poetry and mufic linked with these observances; and notonly did the Hebrews bring together facrifice and fong, but in the most ancient systems of Paganism they appear together; and we know fuch Pagan obfervances are but corruptions of a purer and higher ceremonial exifting in all probability even before the Hebrewswereanation. Sacrifice and fong are found all over the known world; and it may be reafonably concluded there has been from the earliest times