# RIVERSIDE EDUCATIONAL MONOGRAPH. LANGUAGE TEACHING IN THE GRADES

Published @ 2017 Trieste Publishing Pty Ltd

#### ISBN 9780649433216

Riverside Educational Monograph. Language Teaching in the Grades by  $\,$  Alice Woodworth Cooley

Except for use in any review, the reproduction or utilisation of this work in whole or in part in any form by any electronic, mechanical or other means, now known or hereafter invented, including xerography, photocopying and recording, or in any information storage or retrieval system, is forbidden without the permission of the publisher, Trieste Publishing Pty Ltd, PO Box 1576 Collingwood, Victoria 3066 Australia.

All rights reserved.

Edited by Trieste Publishing Pty Ltd. Cover @ 2017

This book is sold subject to the condition that it shall not, by way of trade or otherwise, be lent, re-sold, hired out, or otherwise circulated without the publisher's prior consent in any form or binding or cover other than that in which it is published and without a similar condition including this condition being imposed on the subsequent purchaser.

www.triestepublishing.com

# **ALICE WOODWORTH COOLEY**

# RIVERSIDE EDUCATIONAL MONOGRAPH. LANGUAGE TEACHING IN THE GRADES



# Riverside Educational Monographs

EDITED BY HENRY SUZZALLO

PROFESSOR OF THE PHILOSOPHY OF EDUCATION TRACHERS COLLEGE, COLUMBIA UNIVERSITY

# LANGUAGE TEACHING IN THE GRADES

BY

ALICE WOODWORTH COOLEY

LATE ASSISTANT PROFESSOR OF EDUCATION UNIVERSITY OF NORTH DAKOTA



### HOUGHTON MIFFLIN COMPANY

BOSTON, NEW YORK AND CHICAGO The Mibergibe Press Cambridge

# CONTENTS

Editor's Introduction v
I. THE PRINCIPLES OF LANGUAGE TEACHING 1
II. THE USE OF LITERATURE AS THE BASIS OF LANGUAGE TEACHING 5
III. Some Practical Suggestions in the Use of Literature for Language Training 25
IV. THE GROUP-PLAN OR COOPERATIVE LES-
V. TRAINING TO HABITUAL USE OF CORRECT
FORMS
VI. THE USE OF TEXTBOOKS 85
OUTLINE

THE common schools are becoming interested in literary expression for the first time. On first reading, such a statement seems absurdly untrue to the history of elementary instruction. Yet an analysis of our pedagogical development confirms the claim. It is true that the earliest activities of our older schools were exclusively associated with language and literature; and that for centuries the materials of education remained dominantly linguistic. Nevertheless the traditional school was not interested in literary expression, or anything closely approximating it. The study of language was formal rather than literary; it was devised to teach children to understand in an abstract way the formalities of spelling, grammar, and rhetoric, rather than to lead them into a sincere expression of their own lives through the medium of the art-forms of speech and written language.

Two and a half centuries of American schools did not rectify the narrowness and the false emphasis of our traditions in language teaching. To be sure there was, here and there, some tinkering with the course of study and methods of teach-

ing; but it was not a reform of the spirit of the schoolmaster, only a slight modification in his manners. It took a quarter-century of pedagogical rebellion to break the monopoly of formalmindedness in language instruction. Now a few teachers at least have a sane theory of the relation of language and literature to life in school or out. Even among the rank and file it is no longer fashionable to speak of the language studies as formal subjects. They are vital rather than formal, because they are based on the child's own experiences and terminate in the expression and solution of his own problems. Reading, penmanship, spelling, grammar, and rhetoric are not regarded as disciplines pursuing independent ends. Their kinship is recognized through their common contribution to oral and written expression and to literature. Reading and literature have become one study, the function of which is to appreciate life beyond immediate sense contact. And spelling, grammar, and rhetoric have been reduced to the position of occasional aids to writing. Surely these changes are symptomatic of an altered conception of schoolteaching.

It is not at all strange that the oldest of the school subjects, language study, should be the last to catch the spirit of modern teaching. It has had more centuries of fixation to undo than manual training, nature study, and the graphic arts.

Its sins were old enough to be antiques, and therefore likely to command that traditional reverence which prevents their correction through rational standards of criticism. But the time has come when the lateral influence of the newer school subjects, which emphasize self-expression in terms of use and beauty, is great enough to overcome the downward pressure of the tradition of formalism. It begins to be apparent to us that an understanding of language is given to children for the purpose of aiding expression, just as their knowledge of woods, tools, plants, and soils is intended as a guide to useful action in industry and agriculture. The expressive function of language teaching is its dominant one. To the extent that literature widens the horizons of human experience and gives it significant interpretation, it modifies the substance of the child's thought and feeling; to the extent that it suggests an effective and congenial manner of voicing the needs of life, it will give command over the forms of effective and winsome expression. Thus language study becomes, what it normally is with people out of school, a virile, broadening, and useful pursuit.

The difficulty with most teachers is that they cannot see how their newer ideals of language teaching are to be worked out in detailed methods. They are impatient enough with the scholastic

ceremonial of parsing and other exercises which distract from literary understanding. In spite of themselves they suspect that rules of grammar only impede expression. Yet they do not know what new methods of teaching they ought to substitute for those familiar to them. In want of concrete aid, they follow the line of least resistance, which is tradition. We need to reconstruct more than the philosophy of language teaching; we must rebuild its practice. This volume, with its clear statement of theory and its wealth of practical suggestions, is offered as an aid to both ends.

## LANGUAGE TEACHING IN THE GRADES

I

#### THE PRINCIPLES OF LANGUAGE TEACHING

## Language as communicated thought

Language is communicated thought. Clear, definite thought and its clear, direct expression are inseparable. To know the thoughts of another is to know his life.

The teaching of no other subject is so vitally wrapped up in the gospel of life as is the teaching of the so-called language group of studies (reading, language lessons, writing, spelling, dictation, oral and written composition, and, later, grammar and rhetoric). For this reason, cold, formal treatment of these studies is most deadening in its effect.

## Language as self-expression

The speech of one who talks much and says little is but "as sounding brass and a tinkling cymbal," though every word be correctly used and every sentence faultless in construction. Fluency and precision of speech may be gained at the ex-