QUESTIONS OF THE DAY SERIES, NO. LXI. RAILWAY SECRECY AND TRUSTS

Published @ 2017 Trieste Publishing Pty Ltd

ISBN 9780649686193

Questions of the Day Series, No. LXI. Railway Secrecy and Trusts by John M. Bonham

Except for use in any review, the reproduction or utilisation of this work in whole or in part in any form by any electronic, mechanical or other means, now known or hereafter invented, including xerography, photocopying and recording, or in any information storage or retrieval system, is forbidden without the permission of the publisher, Trieste Publishing Pty Ltd, PO Box 1576 Collingwood, Victoria 3066 Australia.

All rights reserved.

Edited by Trieste Publishing Pty Ltd. Cover @ 2017

This book is sold subject to the condition that it shall not, by way of trade or otherwise, be lent, re-sold, hired out, or otherwise circulated without the publisher's prior consent in any form or binding or cover other than that in which it is published and without a similar condition including this condition being imposed on the subsequent purchaser.

www.triestepublishing.com

JOHN M. BONHAM

QUESTIONS OF THE DAY SERIES, NO. LXI. RAILWAY SECRECY AND TRUSTS

Trieste

By JOHN M. BONHAM

1.4

G. P. PUTNAM'S SONS

NEW YORK

٩,

LONDON

2

QUESTIONS OF THE DAY SERIES. NO. LXI.

RAILWAY SECRECY AND TRUSTS

BY

*****0

- 36

JOHN M. BONHAM AUTHOR OF "INDUSTRIAL LIBERTY"

8 词

10



NEW YORK & LONDON G. P. PUTNAM'S SONS The Fnickerbacher Press 1902

COPYRIGHT BY JOHN M. BONHAM 1890

橋 - 85

1

HE1:51 737

 $t \leq$

2

1

I. T

,

CEN: RAL

93

57

8) - C

2.5

5

Che Rnicherbocker Dress, Rew Pork Electrotyped and Printed by G. P. Putnam's Sons

PREFACE.

In dealing with the subject of railway secrecy and its relation to Interstate Commerce legislation, it has seemed to me to be necessary to make frequent applications of the fundamental rule of equal industrial liberty. Whilst some repetition is thus employed, if this conduces to a better understanding of the subject I may hope it will be overlooked.

I do not wish to be understood in any thing I say as favoring hasty remedial legislation. I am quite aware that sudden dealing with even great and real evils often involves grave disturbances in the body politic, and may seriously affect rights which are in no way responsible for the existence of these evils. Moreover, any legislation upon so comprehensive a problem, to be thoroughly remedial, must reach its adaptations through slow and tentative means. My aim is rather to contribute, as far as I can, to a better understanding of what, in my opinion, constitutes the chief evil of railway management;

PREFACE.

and it has seemed to me proper to divest the problem, as far as possible, of the consideration of mere detail, in order that thus I might the more clearly indicate the foundation upon which reform should rest, and the direction in which, by deliberate stages, it should progress.

JOHN M. BONHAM.

ţ.

NEW YORK, January 1, 1890.

6

. . . ·

CONTENTS.

CHAPTER L-THE TRANSPORTATION PROBLEM

Fundamental Principles-The Relation of Trusts to the Problem-Two Classes of Trusts-The Permanently Vicious Elements in Trusts of the First Class-Secret Alliances with the Railways-Trusts of the Second Class; Their Tendency to Destroy Themselves-Illustrations-Corporate Form and Amount of Capital not in Themselves Necessary Evils-The Tariff and the Trust-Strikes and Corners -The State and the Remedy.

CHAPTER II.-EXISTING RAILWAY MANAGEMENT . 26

Mr. Charles Francis Adams' Statement of the Case-Evasions of the Interstate Commerce Law-The Systematic Character of Railway Secrecy-Corporate Secrecy and Individual Privacy-The Opportunity in Railway Secrecy for Unlawful Discriminations - The Failure of Honest . Management under Existing Conditions-The Reasoning of the Railway Managers in Justification of Present Methods -Its Effect upon Business Morals-The Origin and Evolu-

tion of the Existing Status-The Impossibility of Reforming the Inherent Evil of Railway Management by those in Control of the Railways.

CHAPTER III.—PUBLIC RESPONSIBILITY FOR EXIST-.

÷.

ING EVILS .

59

.

PAGE

9

The Desire for Physical Convenience, and its Influence on Railway Development-The Confusion of Causes and Incidents in the Multiplication of Conveniences - The Changes in Public Opinion, and their Expression in Hostile

.

-

CONTENTS.

PAGE

State Legislation—The Interstate Commerce Law, and the Methods Employed to Evade its Provisions—Mr. Adams on the Workings of the Interstate Legislation—His Proposed Remedy through Legislative Sanction to Compacts between the Railways—The Inefficiency of Such Remedy, and its Violation of Fundamental Right—The Corporation as an Arbiter of the Right of the Citizen—The Necessity for a Supervising Power at once Efficient and Disinterested.

CHAPTER IV .- THE GOVERNMENT AND THE RAIL-

8τ

The Claim of the Corporation to Immunity from Examination—The Proper Limit of State Interference—Paternalism not Involved in Such Interference—The Inadequacy of Past Legislation—The Character of the Necessary Legislation— Public Sentiment in its Bearing upon the Stages of Railway Development—The Early Opposition to Railways—The Era of State Aid and Encouragement—The Period of Railway Oppression and Retaliatory Legislation—The Beginnings of the Period of Examination into Political and Economic Principles.

CHAPTER V.-THE EFFECTS OF STATE INACTION . 106

The Relation of Government Inaction to the Growth of Co-operative Organizations - The Activity of Railway Managers in Enlarging their Interests and Power-The Enlargement of Trunk Lines not Objectionable-Objections to the Consolidation of Trunk Lines-The Effect of Consolidation on Industrial Right-The Present Stage of Public Opinion as Derived from Past Experience of Railway Methods-The Argument from Analogy in Favor of State Supervision of Railways-The Inadequacy of Legislation Directed against the Trust as Such-The Source of the Evil in Secret Combinations of the Trust and the Railway-The Necessity of Government Interference to Prevent Such Combination-The Advantages of Initiative Federal Action, and the Probable Necessity for a Constitational Amendment-Centralization not Implied in Such Federal Legislation.

8

WAYS