

THE LAW AND MEDICAL MEN

Published @ 2017 Trieste Publishing Pty Ltd

ISBN 9780649187188

The law and medical men by R. Vashon Rogers

Except for use in any review, the reproduction or utilisation of this work in whole or in part in any form by any electronic, mechanical or other means, now known or hereafter invented, including xerography, photocopying and recording, or in any information storage or retrieval system, is forbidden without the permission of the publisher, Trieste Publishing Pty Ltd, PO Box 1576 Collingwood, Victoria 3066 Australia.

All rights reserved.

Edited by Trieste Publishing Pty Ltd.
Cover @ 2017

This book is sold subject to the condition that it shall not, by way of trade or otherwise, be lent, re-sold, hired out, or otherwise circulated without the publisher's prior consent in any form or binding or cover other than that in which it is published and without a similar condition including this condition being imposed on the subsequent purchaser.

www.triestepublishing.com

R. VASHON ROGERS

**THE LAW AND
MEDICAL MEN**

Eng
R729K

1817

THE
L A W
AND
MEDICAL MEN

BY
R. VASHON ROGERS, JR.,
Of Osgoode Hall, Barrister-at-Law.

TORONTO, CANADA,
AND
EDINBURGH, SCOTLAND:
CARSWELL & CO., LAW BOOK PUBLISHERS.
1884.

PREFACE.

THE idea that in the library of nearly every practitioner in the professions of both Physic and Law there has been for some time a small gap among the books, which could be filled by a little work like this now submitted, has induced the author to prepare and publish the following pages.

While it is hoped that this little work will prove of use to the members of the Legal and Medical Professions, it is intended to be suggestive rather than exhaustive—a primer not an encyclopædia; and it is not expected that it will obviate the necessity for frequent conferences between physicians and lawyers whenever, in the practice of either, questions arise requiring the experience of the other.

In most cases the very words of the judges and reporters have been used, and if any expressions are noticed that may be deemed over strong it will be found that they are the words of others: the author's aim has been rather to act as an humble compiler and citer of cases, than to obtrude opinions or theories of his own.

Brief chapters on Dentists and Druggists have been given because of the intimate connection between these gentlemen and the members of the medical profession.

With great diffidence this book is committed to the tender mercies of the critics of these two learned professions—to those who can so effectually wield the pen, the tongue and the scalpel.

R. V. R., JR.

Kingston, Ont., November, 1884.



CONTENTS.

PREFACE	iii
TABLE OF CASES CITED.....	vii-xiii

CHAPTER I.

EARLY PRACTITIONERS AND LAWS.....	1-14
-----------------------------------	------

CHAPTER II.

FEEs.....	15-31
-----------	-------

CHAPTER III.

WHO SHOULD PAY THE DOCTOR.....	32-41
--------------------------------	-------

CHAPTER IV.

WHO MAY PRACTISE.....	42-54
-----------------------	-------

CHAPTER V.

NEGLIGENCE AND MALPRACTICE.....	55-81
---------------------------------	-------

CHAPTER VI.

CRIMINAL MALPRACTICE.....	82-92
---------------------------	-------

CHAPTER VII.

PROFESSIONAL EVIDENCE.....	93-107
----------------------------	--------

CHAPTER VIII.

MEDICAL EXPERTS.....	108-120
----------------------	---------

CHAPTER IX.

EXPERTS IN INSANITY CASES	121-128
---------------------------------	---------

CHAPTER X.

DEFAMATION	129-137
------------------	---------

CHAPTER XI.

RELATIONS WITH PATIENTS.....	138-148
------------------------------	---------

CHAPTER XII.

DISSECTION AND RESURRECTION.....	149-159
----------------------------------	---------

CHAPTER XIII.

DENTISTS	160-173
----------------	---------

CHAPTER XIV.

DRUGGISTS	174-188
-----------------	---------

CHAPTER XV.

PARTNERS, GOODWILL, ASSISTANTS	189-195
--------------------------------------	---------

INDEX	197-214
-------------	---------

TABLE OF CASES CITED.

A.

Abernethy v. Hutchinson, 295
 Adams v. Stevens, 17, 18
 Adler v. Buckley, 20, 21
 Ahearn v. Hogan, 141
 Allen v. Davis, 140, 172
 " v. Eaton, 132
 Allison v. Hayden, 16
 Alpen v. Morton, 137
 Anderson v. Burrows, 146
 Andeureid's Appeal, 138
 Anon., 134, 190
 Anthony v. Smith, 106
 Apothecaries Company v. Lotings,
 12, 16
 Ashworth v. Kittridge, 102
 Askin & Charteris, *re*, 27
 Aswell v. Lomi, 142
 Austen v. Boys, 190
 Aveson v. Lord Kinaird, 96
 Ayre v. Craven, 132, 134, 135.

B.

Bacon v. Charlton, 96
 Baker v. London & S. W. Rail-
 way, 96
 Ballou v. Prescott, 73
 Barber v. Merriam, 96, 97, 117.
 Barnes v. Means, 58
 Barnstable v. Thatcher, 157
 Basten v. Butler, 20
 Bassett v. Spofford, 24
 Battersby v. Lawrence, 16
 Baxter v. Gray, 18, 19
 Beekman v. Planter, 18
 Bell v. Parke, 136
 Bellinger v. Craigie, 21.
 Bells v. Clifford, 28

Bergold v. Puckta, 131
 Berier v. Galloway, 36
 Bibber v. Simpson, 52
 Billage v. Southbee, 140
 Bill v. Neal, 130
 Blackburn v. Great Western Rail-
 way, 81
 Blake v. Midland Railway, 81.
 Blackburn v. Mackey, 37, 39
 Blogg v. Parkers, 20
 Boardman v. Woodman, 113
 Bogert v. Indianapolis, 153
 Boone v. State, 188
 Bowman v. Woods, 51, 53, 64, 100
 Boyd v. Lappington, 33
 Boynton v. Somersworth, 67, 148
 Boyle v. Winslow, 166
 Bracegirdle v. Orford, 157
 Bradbury v. Bardin, 51, 120
 Bradley v. Dodge, 33
 Bradford v. People, 147
 Brewer v. Dero, 137
 Broad v. Pitt, 93
 Brown v. N. Y. C., 97
 " v. Marshall, 179
 " v. Sheppard, 99, 101
 " v. State, 143
 Buchanan v. State, 29
 Buell v. N. Y. C., 117
 Burton v. Scott, 128

C.

Cadwallader v. West, 139, 140
 Cairo, etc., Railway v. Mahoney,
 41
 Caldwell v. Murphy, 97
 Camp v. Martin, 133
 Campan v. North, 94

- Campbell v. Richards, 113
 Carpenter v. Blake, 57, 58, 63, 64,
 72, 73
 Carnes v. Nesbit, 193
 Carson v. State, 148
 Carter v. Baker, 114
 " v. State, 103
 Castner v. Sliker, 112
 Cawdry v. Highley, 130
 Chamberland v. Mergan, 70
 Chapen v. Marlborough, 96, 97
 Chicago, etc., Railway v. McKean,
 68
 Chicago, etc., Railway v. McGiven,
 108
 Chorley v. Polcot, 16
 Clark v. Gill, 26
 Clarke v. Freeman, 132
 " v. Hawke, 138, 139
 Clark v. Kerwin, 69, 163
 " v. State, 116, 124
 Clay v. Roberts, 134
 Cleveland, etc., Railway v. Ferry,
 68
 Cohen v. Continental Insurance
 Company, 95
 Collier v. Simpson, 99, 103
 Collins v. Grady, 15
 " v. Carnegie, 134, 135
 " v. Graves, 23
 Colton v. Thomas, 172
 Commonwealth v. Butterick, 187
 " v. Cooley, 155
 " v. Hackett, 91
 " v. Hallett, 187
 " v. Loring, 155
 " v. Marshall, 155
 " v. McPike, 91
 " v. Ramsdell, 187
 " v. Rich, 128
 " v. Rodgers, 109,
 124, 127
 " v. Surtevant, 100,
 117
 " v. Thompson, 84,
 89
 Connecticut Mutual Life Ins. Co.
 v. Ellis, 100
 Cooper v. Lloyd, 35
 " v. Phillips, 38, 40
 " v. N. Y. C., 41
 Corsi v. Maretzek, 53, 51, 64, 115
 Cossey v. L. B. and S. C., 66
 Cox v. Midland Counties Ry., 41
 Craig v. Chambers, 76
 Craine v. Bandoine, 33
 Crantz v. Gill, 37
 Curtis v. Rochester, etc. Ry., 79
- D.
- Davidson v. Nicholls, 183
 Davis v. Mason, 193
 " v. Ockham, 131
 " v. State, 113, 117, 124, 126
 Deane v. Annis, 38
 Delafield v. Parish, 124
 Demay v. Roberts, 145
 Dement, *Ex parte*, 30
 Demison v. Denison, 138
 Dent v. Bennett, 139, 140, 172
 Denton v. State, 97, 98
 Dickenson v. Farber, 124
 Dingnan v. Walker, 192
 Dixon v. Smith, 134
 Doggett v. Lane, 141
 Duclos' Succession, 24
 Duffit v. James, 21
 Durnell v. Corfield, 142
- E.
- Eakin v. Brown, 68, 163
 Edington v. Aetna Life Ins. Co.,
 96
 Edsall v. Russell, 131, 133
 Ellis v. Kelly, 16
 Emerson v. Lowell Gas L. Co.,
 112
- F.
- Fairchild v. Bascomb, 112, 113,
 125, 126
 Farnsworth v. Garrard, 20
 Farlar v. Lane, 141
 Farr v. Pearce, 191
 Fawcett v. Mothersell, 77
 Fenwick v. Bell, 119
 Fields v. Rutherford, 76
 Fisk v. Wait, 69
 Fletcher v. Fletcher, 146
 Flint v. Bodenhamer, 124