

**THE "DAMNATORY CLAUSES" OF
THE ATHANASIAN CREED
RATIONALLY EXPLAINED IN A
LETTER TO THE RIGHT HON. W.E.
GLADSTONE, M.P**

Published @ 2017 Trieste Publishing Pty Ltd

ISBN 9780649559176

The "Damnatory Clauses" of the Athanasian Creed Rationally Explained in a Letter to the Right Hon. W.E. Gladstone, M.P by Malcolm MacColl

Except for use in any review, the reproduction or utilisation of this work in whole or in part in any form by any electronic, mechanical or other means, now known or hereafter invented, including xerography, photocopying and recording, or in any information storage or retrieval system, is forbidden without the permission of the publisher, Trieste Publishing Pty Ltd, PO Box 1576 Collingwood, Victoria 3066 Australia.

All rights reserved.

Edited by Trieste Publishing Pty Ltd.
Cover @ 2017

This book is sold subject to the condition that it shall not, by way of trade or otherwise, be lent, re-sold, hired out, or otherwise circulated without the publisher's prior consent in any form or binding or cover other than that in which it is published and without a similar condition including this condition being imposed on the subsequent purchaser.

www.triestepublishing.com

MALCOLM MACCOLL

**THE "DAMNATORY CLAUSES" OF
THE ATHANASIAN CREED
RATIONALLY EXPLAINED IN A
LETTER TO THE RIGHT HON. W.E.
GLADSTONE, M.P**

THE
"DAMNATORY CLAUSES"
OF THE
ATHANASIAN CREED.

RIVINGTONS

London	<i>Waterloo Place</i>
Oxford	<i>High Street</i>
Cambridge	<i>Trinity Street</i>

THE
"DAMNATORY CLAUSES"
OF THE
ATHANASIAN CREED

RATIONALLY EXPLAINED

IN A LETTER
TO
THE RIGHT HON. W. E. GLADSTONE, M.P.

25

BY THE
REV. MALCOLM MACCOLL, M.A.
RECTOR OF ST. GEORGE, BOTOLPH LANE, WITH ST. BOTOLPH BY BILLINGSGATE

RIVINGTONS
London, Oxford, and Cambridge
1872

180. f. 87.



DEAR

the
very
large
No
is
her
my
see
an
to
of
t
i
i

DEAR MR. GLADSTONE,

The real points at issue in the controversy on the Athanasian Creed have been so overlaid with irrelevant matter that it is not easy for the public at large to understand the exact position of the question. No doubt the debate in the Lower House of Convocation is well calculated to clear away a cloud of misapprehensions and errors on the subject. But the meagre reports of the debate that have been published in the secular press are worse than useless for that purpose, and the one or two Church papers which have given full reports are read by a comparatively select portion of the community. Something is still needed to place the question in its true bearings before the public mind, and the lull that is likely to follow the recent somewhat stormy discussion seems to offer a favourable opportunity to anyone who will venture to make the attempt. A great deal has been done already in this way by Mr. Brewer; but his two able Essays are confined chiefly, though not entirely, to the historical aspect of the question, and are addressed rather to the learned than to the popular mind. There is still room for a popular exposition of the true import of the Creed—popular at least so far as this, that nothing more

than a very moderate education is required to follow the argument.

I trust that some one more competent than myself will apply his mind to the subject. In the meantime I offer the following pages as a humble contribution to so desirable an undertaking, and I have asked your kind permission to address them to you for two reasons: first, because the retention of the Athanasian Creed in the public service of the Church is alleged to be especially a layman's grievance; and secondly, because the question has an important political side to it in which statesmen can hardly fail to be interested. For it is now clear beyond all possibility of doubt, that a successful attempt either to mutilate the Creed or to degrade it from the position which it now occupies in the Prayer Book would have the effect of causing such a rent in the Church of England as would make the triumph of the Liberation Society a question of time, and of a very short time too. About thirty years ago Dr. Newman, in a letter to the late lamented Mr. Archer Butler, expressed his conviction that "our Church *could do anything*,"* humanly speaking, if it knew its own strength, and if its members were at peace with each other." These words are true to-day. It is not the schemes of the Liberation Society which we have to fear, but the intestine strife which

* The italics are not mine.

reigns within our own borders; and no small part of this strife arises, I believe, from our mutual misunderstanding of each other. "Half the controversies in the world," says the same writer,* "are verbal ones, and could they be brought to a plain issue they would be brought to a prompt termination. Parties engaged in them would then perceive either that in substance they agreed together, or that their difference was one of first principles. This is the great object to be aimed at in the present age, though confessedly a very arduous one. We need not dispute, we need not prove,—we need but to define. At all events let us, if we can, do this first of all, and then see who are left for us to dispute with, what is left for us to prove. Controversy, at least in this age, does not lie between the hosts of heaven, Michael and his angels on the one side, and the powers of evil on the other; but it is a sort of night battle, where each fights for himself, and friend and foe stand together. When men understand what each other means, they see, for the most part, that controversy is either superfluous or hopeless."

It is impossible to read the Athanasian Creed debate in Convocation without recognizing the truth so felicitously expressed by Dr. Newman in this passage. It is, indeed, "a sort of night battle" where friends and foes are often mingled, and in which the combatants are

* 'University Sermons,' p. 192.