

**NOTES ON SOME PASSAGES
IN THE LITURGICAL HISTORY
OF THE REFORMED ENGLISH
CHURCH**

Published @ 2017 Trieste Publishing Pty Ltd

ISBN 9780649659159

Notes on Some Passages in the Liturgical History of the Reformed English Church by Roundell Palmer (Lord Selborne)

Except for use in any review, the reproduction or utilisation of this work in whole or in part in any form by any electronic, mechanical or other means, now known or hereafter invented, including xerography, photocopying and recording, or in any information storage or retrieval system, is forbidden without the permission of the publisher, Trieste Publishing Pty Ltd, PO Box 1576 Collingwood, Victoria 3066 Australia.

All rights reserved.

Edited by Trieste Publishing Pty Ltd.
Cover @ 2017

This book is sold subject to the condition that it shall not, by way of trade or otherwise, be lent, re-sold, hired out, or otherwise circulated without the publisher's prior consent in any form or binding or cover other than that in which it is published and without a similar condition including this condition being imposed on the subsequent purchaser.

www.triestepublishing.com

ROUNDELL PALMER (LORD SELBORNE)

**NOTES ON SOME PASSAGES
IN THE LITURGICAL HISTORY
OF THE REFORMED ENGLISH
CHURCH**

NOTES

ON SOME

PASSAGES IN THE LITURGICAL HISTORY

OF THE

REFORMED ENGLISH CHURCH.

By LORD SELBORNE.



LONDON:

JOHN MURRAY, ALBEMARLE STREET.

1878.

CONTENTS.

	PAGE
1. <i>Mr. Parker's 'Introduction to the History of the Successive Revisions of the Book of Common Prayer'</i>	1-5
Plan of Mr. Parker's work	2
His conclusion as to Bishop Cosin's influence on the Revision of 1661	3
His principles of interpretation; different from those of the Law of England	4
The questions to be examined, historical, not legal	5
2. <i>Queen Elizabeth's Prayer-Book</i>	5-12
Revision contemplated in 1558-9, but not effected	5
The consulted Divines rejected the suggestions of the Queen's Government	5, 6
What changes were then actually made	6
'Black Rubric' no part of the Book of 1552 or 1559	6
Want of authority for first and second Rubrics of 1559	7
Bearing on later controversies of change in the first Rubric, as to the place where Morning and Evening Prayer should be said	8
Error of Mr. Parker (following Strype), as to Ornaments Rubric	9
Sandys' letter of 30th April, 1559, to Parker, afterwards Archbishop	10, 11
Suggestion as to form of the Ornaments Rubric	11
3. <i>The Elizabethan Advertisements</i>	12-28
State of opinion, &c., as to clerical vestures from 1559 to 1664	12
The Queen's Letter of 25th January, 1564-5; and the Advertisements	13

	PAGE
The Queen's sanction to the Advertisements asserted as a fact, in all works of reputation published before the eighteenth century ..	13
Hooker; Sparke; Lestrangle; Heylyn	13, 14
Afterwards disputed, by writers professing to follow Strype ..	14
Strype's narrative, as a whole, inconsistent with their statements ..	15
Probable source of their error, in Strype's erroneous statement, that the Advertisements were published, without the Queen's authority, in 1564	15
Strype probably misled by false date (1564) on the title-page in Sparrow's Collection	16
As originally published, they bore no date	16
No publication of the Advertisements, in any form, before March 23th, 1566	16
Their correct title	17
Hearn's statement as to their scarcity in 1717	17
Copies of the original, and of two later editions with the name and in the lifetime of Wolfe, in the British Museum	17, 18
Reginald Wolfe, printer to the Queen	18
Contemporaneous acknowledgments of the Queen's authority ..	18, 19
Humfrey's letter to the Queen	19
Pamphlets of 1566	19, 20
Visit of Withers and Wiburn to Geneva and Zurich, in 1566 ..	20, 21
Wiburn's statement; and Gualter's letters of September, 1566, to Parkhurst and Beza	21, 22
Bishop Cox's reply to Gualter	23
Letters of Pilkington and Jewell	23, 24
Grindal's letter of 21st May, 1566, to the Dean and Chapter of St. Paul's	24
Original draft of Archbishop Whitgiff's Articles of 1584, presented to the Queen in October, 1583	24, 25
The Queen's assent to Articles of 1584	25
Puritan objections to form in which the Advertisements appeared ..	25, 26
'Abstract' of 1584	26
'Considerations' of 1605	26
'Survey' of 1608	27
Cosin's 'Notes' and 'Particulars'	27
The publication of Cosin's 'Notes,' &c., in 1710 became the source of a new tradition	28
Dr. Cardwell's supposition, that the Queen's sanction ought to have been given under the Great Seal	28, 29

CONTENTS.

v

	PAGE
4. <i>King James the First's Prayer-Book</i>	29-31
Cosin and others regarded King James' alterations as illegal ..	29
Their objections; and probable answers to them	29, 30
Mr. Parker's remark on the republication, in 1604, of the Ornaments	
Rubric of 1559	30, 31
Illustration from a late Statute (35 & 36 Vict. cap. 35)	31
5. <i>The Lords' Committee of 1640-1</i>	31-42
Constitution of that Committee	31, 32
Divines summoned by Bishop Williams' letter of March 12th, 1640-1 ..	32
Names and reputation of those Divines	32, 33
The Committee itself did nothing	33
Sources of information as to proceedings of the Sub-committee of	
Divines	33, 34
Fuller's narrative	34
Original memorandum, printed in 1641	34, 35
Sylvester's imperfect reprint of that memorandum, from Baxter's	
papers; followed by Neal and Cardwell	35
Mr. Parker mistakes the memorandum for a Report of the Committee ..	35
Dr. Cardwell's account of those proceedings	35
Statements, on same subject, in 'Rejoinder' of Puritan Commis-	
sioners at Savoy Conference	36
Baxter's reference to the same memorandum	36, 37
Names appended to original print of 1641	37
First and second parts of memorandum:—a collection of alleged	
innovations in doctrine and discipline, of which complaint was	
then made	37, 38
Third part of ditto:—'Considerations upon the Book of Common-	
Prayer'	38
Bishop Hacket's narrative, in his Life of Williams	38-40
The memorandum does not support Dr. Cardwell's statement	40, 41
References to same subject in contemporaneous controversy between	
Bishop Hall and 'Smectymnus'	41, 42

	PAGE
6. <i>The Revision of 1661</i>	42-54
Mr. Parker's theory as to concessions refused at the Savoy Conference, and afterwards made	42
'Cosin's Book;' not what Mr. Parker supposes	43
Lord Clarendon's statement, as to preparation made by the Bishops during the Recess of 1661 for the work of Revision	43, 44
Reasons for believing that 'Cosin's Book,' before its correction by Sancroft, contained the scheme of changes so prepared	44
Lord Clarendon's account of subsequent proceedings in Convocation	44, 45
Despatch of the work, by the House of Bishops, and their Committee	45, 46
Means by which concurrence of Convocation of York was obtained ..	46, 47
Sancroft's service to the Bishops and Convocation	47
Relation of 'Sancroft's Book,' and Sancroft's corrections in 'Cosin's Book,' to the work of Revision in the Upper House	47, 48
Those Books afford no measure of Cosin's influence over the work ..	48
Mr. Parker's estimate of that influence; at variance with contemporary evidence	48
Tabular List of material Alterations and Additions, prefixed to 'Convocation Book'	48, 49
Omission from that List of the change made in the Ornaments Rubric	49
Opinions on that subject of Wren and Sparrow	49, 50
In 'Cosin's' and 'Sancroft's' Books, some specification of Ornaments contemplated; but Convocation decided otherwise	50, 51
Sancroft's note of reason for the present form of Rubric	51
Proof from same Books, that the 25th and 26th sections of the Act of 1559 were then expected to remain operative	51
Cosin's Visitation Articles of 1662	52
Their connexion with proceedings of Convocation, as to Visitation Articles, in 1661, 1662, and 1664-5	52, 53
Analogy between case of the Ornaments Rubric, and that of the first two Rubrics in the Marriage Service	53, 54
7. <i>Proceedings of Parliament and Convocation in 1661-2</i>	54-69
Puritan Petitions, as to 'Ornaments;' 1603 and 1660	54
'Discourse of Liturgies,' against Bishop Gauden, in 1661	54, 55

CONTENTS.

vii

	PAGE
The King's promise in 1660	55
Toleration clauses in Bill of Uniformity, suggested by the King, introduced by House of Lords, and rejected by House of Commons	55
The text of those clauses	55-57
Reasons of House of Commons for rejecting them	57
Mr. Parker's view, that changes made by Convocation in the 'Table' and 'North-side' Rubrics were rejected by the King	57, 58
Evidence to the contrary	58
The King's own Declarations	58
No reference back to Convocation	58, 59
Parliament made no change beyond correction of clerical errors	59-62
Clerical errors noted in both Houses, and corrected by delegates, specially authorised by Convocation	62-65
Internal evidence of 'Convocation Book' and 'Annexed Book'	65
Six changes made in 'Convocation Book,' transcribed into 'Annexed Book,' and afterwards cancelled in both Books	66
No. 1. (in Prayer for Fair Weather)	66
No. 2. (in 'Table Rubric;' first cancelled in 'Convocation Book')	66, 67
No. 3. (in 'North-side' Rubric)	67
No. 4. (in First Commandment: noted for cancellation in 'Convocation Book')	67
No. 5. (in Preface to Prayer for Church Militant: noted for cancellation in 'Convocation Book')	67, 68
No. 6. (in Exhortation before General Confession, in Communion Office)	68
Reasons, on face of the two Books, for believing all these changes to have been cancelled by Convocation, in the last stage of its work, before the 'Annexed Book' was signed, or sent to the King	68, 69
Bearing of some of those changes on arguments used in prior controversies; by Haylyn, Wren, and Cosin	69, 70
8. <i>Changes in Communion Office in 1661</i>	70-73
Manual Acts and Rubric before Prayer of Consecration	70, 71
Exceptions of Puritans and Concessions of Bishops, at Savoy Conference,	71

	PAGE
Suggestions in Baxter's proposed form of Liturgy	71
Cosin's 'Note,' as to 'Elevation' and 'Ostension'	71, 72
Welsh translation (1664) of words in ante-Consecration Rubric ..	72
Greek ditto (1665)	72
French ditto (1667)	72
Latin ditto (1670)	73
Quære: whether this is the Latin translation, made by order of Con- vocation (1662 and 1684)?	73

APPENDIX A.

Bishop Grindal's Letter of May 21st, 1566, to the Dean and Chapter of St. Paul's	74
---	----

APPENDIX B.

Articles presented by Archbishop Whitgift, and Bishop (Piers) of Salisbury, for themselves and five other Bishops, to Queen Elizabeth, in October, 1583	75
---	----

APPENDIX C.

Minute of proceedings on the Bill of Uniformity in Committee of the House of Lords on February 27th, 1661-2; extracted from the Lords' Committee-Book	84
---	----