RICHARD SEYMOUR, HARTFORD, 1640; A PAPER READ BEFORE THE CONNECTICUT CHAPTER, DAUGHTERS OF FOUNDERS AND PATRIOTS OF AMERICA, AT NORWALK, CONN., FEBRUARY 13TH, 1903

Published @ 2017 Trieste Publishing Pty Ltd

ISBN 9780649740147

Richard Seymour, Hartford, 1640; A Paper Read Before the Connecticut Chapter, Daughters of Founders and Patriots of America, at Norwalk, Conn., February 13th, 1903 by Maria Watson Pinney

Except for use in any review, the reproduction or utilisation of this work in whole or in part in any form by any electronic, mechanical or other means, now known or hereafter invented, including xerography, photocopying and recording, or in any information storage or retrieval system, is forbidden without the permission of the publisher, Trieste Publishing Pty Ltd, PO Box 1576 Collingwood, Victoria 3066 Australia.

All rights reserved.

Edited by Trieste Publishing Pty Ltd. Cover @ 2017

This book is sold subject to the condition that it shall not, by way of trade or otherwise, be lent, re-sold, hired out, or otherwise circulated without the publisher's prior consent in any form or binding or cover other than that in which it is published and without a similar condition including this condition being imposed on the subsequent purchaser.

www.triestepublishing.com

MARIA WATSON PINNEY

RICHARD SEYMOUR, HARTFORD, 1640; A PAPER READ BEFORE THE CONNECTICUT CHAPTER, DAUGHTERS OF FOUNDERS AND PATRIOTS OF AMERICA, AT NORWALK, CONN., FEBRUARY 13TH, 1903

Trieste

RICHARD SEYMOR

HARTFORD, 1640

A PAPER

READ BEFORE

The Connecticut Chapter Daughters of Founders and Patriots of America

At Norwalk, Conn., February 13th, 1903

n and a second a

By MRS. MARIA WATSON PINNEY Derby, Conn.





THE TWITTLE, MORTHOUSE & TAILON PRESS, NEW HAVEN

RICHARD SEYMOUR.

MADAM PRESIDENT :—When I pledged to write what I had gathered from tradition, from investigation and from the research of others, relative to Richard Seymour, I did not understand that a very competent party had nearly completed the genealogy of his five succeeding generations, although I knew it had been discussed for twenty-five years, but I supposed its publication as far in the future as ever.

It stands without question that a genealogy can not be published without funds, and while the time has passed since the work has been in preparation, several parties who were interested, and would probably have subscribed for more than one copy, have passed "the dark river, which has never been shadowed by a homeward sail," and they who have spent time and money collecting and arranging material for the book, have waited for funds to publish.

If my article can induce any person who inherits the blood of Richard Seymour to assist in this publication, by subscribing for the same, I shall have helped forward a meritorious work.

There being in the near future a probability that two or three volumes of genealogical statistics will be published, it is useless for me in a paper of thirty minutes to refer to his descendants. Who was Richard Seymour? who came to Hartford in 1639/40, and was one of the signers of the agreement for planting Norwalk June 19th, 1650, and among the first settlers soon after. He died between the dates July 29, 1655, when his will was made, and October 25, 1655, when the court approved the inventory.

He mentions in his will his wife Mercy, son Thomas, and three other sons, namely: John, Zachary and Richard, who being under age, he left to their mother's guardianship.

Mr. Henry W. Seymour, of Washington, D. C., writes, "It is singular that the wills of two Richard Seymours should be so similar."

One, the nuncupative will of Richard Seymour of August 16th, 1637, and buried at Berry-Pomeroy, County of Devon, England, which says: "That lyttell that I have, I will leave ytt to the mother's disposinge, the Children shall be under the mother, not the mother them."

Col. Vivian, in his History or "Visitation of Devon," states : I think it reasonably clear that Mr. Richard Seymour, son of William, third son of Sir Edward Seymour, and who married Mary Stretchley at Plimpton St. Mary, May 26th 1626, Liceuse granted May 5th 1626, was buried Aug. 1637, as Elizabeth (Seymour) Cary wife of Sir George Cary of Cockington declares January 19th 1638, that Mr. Richard Seymour's inventory was taken by Henry Champernoune of Dartington Esq. Nicholas Ball of Tormohan, merchant, and another, Mr. Richard Seymour leaving one son Richard who was never married, and was buried at Cockington August 26th 1684, also there were three daughters, Amy, Bridget and Anne.

Hart. So. "Visitation of Devon," 1620, vol. 6, page 53, says:

Elizabeth daughter of Gawain Champernoune, Aunt of Henry Champernoune of Dartington Esq., married for her first husband a Stretchley which may indicate a reason for Henry Champernonuc looking after the interests of Mary Stretchley the widow of Richard Seymour, son of William Seymonr, and grandson of Elizabeth daughter of Sir Arthur Campernoune of Dartington Esq.

And again the Elizabeth (Scymour) Cary, whose declaration is here quoted, was sister to William Seymour, father of this Richard Seymour, and her second son Robert Cary, married for his first wife Christin daughter and heir of William Stretchly esq.—Westcote's "Devonshire" page 511.

The other will was made July 29, 1655, eighteen years after. Richard Seymour of Norwalk, Connecticut saying:

"I doe will and bequeath unto my loving wife, Mercy Seymour, my whole estate, viz. House and lands, cattle and movables, except small personal value of property to Thomas &c."

He gives, on reaching twenty-one, the sum of forty pounds to John, to Zachary and Richard, but the control was in the mother.

From my earliest childhood tales of ancestral

greatness were inculcated, which in the unquestioning credulity of youth, were accepted without asking for proof of such traditions.

We were taught to believe that the wife of Richard Seymour was Mary or Mercy Rashleigh, and that the marriage was recorded at Barnstaple in the north of County Devon, but the date we knew not. I have photographs, reversed in printing, of two rings, which have been handed down in the family of Richard Seymour's son, John of Hartford. Some years ago another descendant had duplicates made for his own keeping. The silversmith who did the making said, that the Naval Officer's ring was not less than two hundred years old.

The Rashleighs were anciently naval men, two of the family circumnavigating the globe with Sir Francis Drake.

There were also Naval Officers in the Seymour family.

John—the second son of Sir Edward Seymour, and who married Elizabeth Slamnynge of Tammerton Folliet, Oct. 25, 1629 (possibly as second wife as he must have been about forty years old)—was in 1626 in the fleet as Captain of the ship "Camelion." Also "in 1627 April 1st–3^d 'Plymonth.' Sir Edward Seymour desires that his brother Capt. John Seymour, may have a *Good* Ship in the present expedition." And several years later records show his connection with the navy.



SEYMOUR ARMS AND RASHLEIGH ARMS COMBINED.



RING ACCORDS WITH RASHLEIGH ARMS.



THE NAVAL RING