

**AN INQUIRY RESPECTING
THE SELF-DETERMINING
POWER OF THE WILL; OR
CONTINGENT VOLITION**

Published @ 2017 Trieste Publishing Pty Ltd

ISBN 9780649054138

An Inquiry Respecting the Self-Determining Power of the Will; Or Contingent Volition by
Jeremiah Day

Except for use in any review, the reproduction or utilisation of this work in whole or in part in any form by any electronic, mechanical or other means, now known or hereafter invented, including xerography, photocopying and recording, or in any information storage or retrieval system, is forbidden without the permission of the publisher, Trieste Publishing Pty Ltd, PO Box 1576 Collingwood, Victoria 3066 Australia.

All rights reserved.

Edited by Trieste Publishing Pty Ltd.
Cover @ 2017

This book is sold subject to the condition that it shall not, by way of trade or otherwise, be lent, re-sold, hired out, or otherwise circulated without the publisher's prior consent in any form or binding or cover other than that in which it is published and without a similar condition including this condition being imposed on the subsequent purchaser.

www.triestepublishing.com

JEREMIAH DAY

**AN INQUIRY RESPECTING
THE SELF-DETERMINING
POWER OF THE WILL; OR
CONTINGENT VOLITION**

AN
INQUIRY
RESPECTING THE
SELF-DETERMINING
POWER OF THE WILL;
OR
CONTINGENT VOLITION.

BY JEREMIAH DAY,
PRESIDENT OF YALE COLLEGE.

"I think that the notion of liberty, consisting in a *contingent self-determination of the will*, as necessary to the morality of men's dispositions and actions, is almost inconceivably pernicious; and that the contrary truth is one of the most important truths of moral philosophy that ever was discussed, and most necessary to be known." *President Edwards.*

NEW HAVEN:
HERRICK & NOYES.

1838.

360.

Entered according to Act of Congress, in the year 1838,
By JEREMIAH DAY,
in the Clerk's office of the District Court of Connecticut.



Printed by B. L. Hamlen

•••••

CONTENTS.



INTRODUCTORY OBSERVATIONS.

	Page.
Review of Cousin—President Edwards on self-determination—Moral government of God—Testimony of scripture—Ambiguous phraseology—Figurative language—Acrimonious controversy,	9

SECTION I.

POWERS OF THE MIND.

Cause and effect—Dependence— <i>Efficacy</i> of a cause—Complex cause— <i>Efficient</i> causes—Physical and Moral causes—Negative causes— <i>Every</i> change has a cause—Contingence—Dependent contingence—Absolute contingence—Power—Mental powers—Classification of Mental powers—The will—Volition—Emotions,	21
--	----

SECTION II.

SELF-DETERMINATION.

Point of inquiry—It is the mind itself that wills—One act of the will determining another—Are volitions determined solely by the <i>nature</i> or <i>state</i> of the mind?—or by the <i>power</i> of willing—Contingent determination— <i>Originating</i> volition—Is the mind the <i>efficient</i> cause of its volitions?	Page. 41
--	-------------

SECTION III.

INFLUENCE OF MOTIVES.

Nature of motives— <i>Internal</i> and <i>external</i> motives—Are motives mere <i>objects</i> of choice?—The <i>strongest</i> motive—Are motives the <i>cause</i> of volition?—Conditions and occasions of volition—Are motives the <i>efficient</i> cause of volition?—Are they the <i>certain</i> cause?—Is the efficacy of motives from the mind itself?—Willing <i>against</i> motives—Are volitions determined by the understanding?—Do they obey the strongest motive?	55
---	----

SECTION IV.

LIBERTY AND NECESSITY.

Common notion of liberty— <i>Internal</i> freedom—Liberty of <i>contingence</i> — <i>External</i> liberty—Liberty <i>to either side</i> —Power to the contrary—Cousin's view of this—Dr. Edwards on <i>natural</i> power to the contrary, and on natural and moral inability—Power to contrary <i>emotions</i> —Liberty a privilege—Necessity is the opposite of liberty—Philosophical necessity—Natural and moral necessity—Is certainty necessity?—Edwards on moral necessity,	69
--	----

CONTENTS.

v

SECTION V.

ABILITY AND INABILITY.

	Page.
Inability in relation to external conduct—Natural and moral inability— <i>Opposition</i> to the will belongs to natural inability—Inability in relation to acts of the will—President Edwards' definition of moral inability—Different meanings of the terms ability, inability, &c.—In what sense, is ability commensurate with obligation?—Controversies respecting inability— <i>Practical</i> application of the doctrine of ability and inability—Natural and moral inability, . . .	95

SECTION VI.

CONSCIOUSNESS AND ACCOUNTABILITY.

Consciousness of power—In what sense are we conscious of self-determination?—Liberty of indifference—Consciousness of liberty—Accountability in relation to external conduct—to acts of the will—to emotions—and to purposes—Is contingence essential to accountability?—Originating volition,	109
--	-----

SECTION VII.

COMMON SENSE.

Customary use of the phrase—Philosophical use—Intuitive truths—Application of common sense to philosophical speculations—Remarks of President Edwards—Decisions of common sense respecting volition, the influence of motives, and accountable agency,	121
--	-----

SECTION VIII.

MECHANICAL AND PHYSICAL AGENCY.

	Page.
Is the will a mere machine?—Does it <i>resemble</i> a machine?	
—Several significations of the term physical—Is the will subject to physical <i>laws</i> ?—or to the laws of cause and effect?—Motives are not the <i>sole</i> cause of volition—Is the <i>certain</i> connection between cause and effect to be considered as physical causation?—What is meant by <i>moral</i> certainty?—Certainty of <i>knowledge</i> —President Edwards' opinion—Dr. Edwards on moral certainty—Physical necessity,	129

SECTION IX.

MORAL GOVERNMENT OF GOD.

Has the government of God any <i>influence</i> upon the human will?—Has his <i>providence</i> any concern in giving direction to volition?—Influence of commands and threatenings, rewards and punishments—Does God merely accommodate his administration to what he <i>foresees</i> will be the conduct of his creatures?—Have the word and Spirit of God any efficacious influence upon the will?—Is God the author of sin?—Why has he not prevented all sin?—Could he not do this, without destroying moral agency?—Is sin the necessary means of the greatest good?—Can God promote the highest good of the universe <i>without</i> means?—Are there any limits to the power of God?—Is it certain that the highest <i>supposable</i> good of the universe is actually attainable?—Happiness of God—Three different theories to account for the origin of evil,	141
---	-----

CONTENTS.

vii

SECTION X.

ACTIVITY AND DEPENDENCE.

	Page.
Ambiguity of the terms active and passive—Can any thing be active and passive, at the same time?— <i>Mental activity</i> —Can <i>volition</i> be passive?—Can an <i>agent</i> be, in any sense, passive?—Can any being act, if he is acted upon?	159

SECTION XI.

FATALISM AND PANTHEISM.

Different forms of Fatalism—Many of the ancient Fatalists believed the acts of the will <i>not</i> to be determined by the Fates—Is there no middle ground, between Fatalism and the doctrine of contingent volition?—Pantheism of Spinoza,	167
---	-----

SECTION XII.

TESTIMONY OF SCRIPTURE.

Difficulty of settling the question before us by philosophical discussion—Appeal to scripture testimony—Upon what principle, are the scriptures to be interpreted?—How far are we to make their meaning conform to our previous opinions?—Does scripture ever contradict reason?—Has God any agency in determining the acts of the will?—He *causes* his people to do his will—He *inclines* their hearts to obey him—His *purposes* extend to the heart—He