HISTORY OF ANCIENT MANUSCRIPTS: A LECTURE DELIVERED IN THE HALL OF THE INNER TEMPLE; PP. 1-109

Published @ 2017 Trieste Publishing Pty Ltd

ISBN 9780649443123

History of Ancient Manuscripts: A Lecture Delivered in the Hall of the Inner Temple; pp. 1-109 by William Forsyth

Except for use in any review, the reproduction or utilisation of this work in whole or in part in any form by any electronic, mechanical or other means, now known or hereafter invented, including xerography, photocopying and recording, or in any information storage or retrieval system, is forbidden without the permission of the publisher, Trieste Publishing Pty Ltd, PO Box 1576 Collingwood, Victoria 3066 Australia.

All rights reserved.

Edited by Trieste Publishing Pty Ltd. Cover @ 2017

This book is sold subject to the condition that it shall not, by way of trade or otherwise, be lent, re-sold, hired out, or otherwise circulated without the publisher's prior consent in any form or binding or cover other than that in which it is published and without a similar condition including this condition being imposed on the subsequent purchaser.

www.triestepublishing.com

WILLIAM FORSYTH

HISTORY OF ANCIENT MANUSCRIPTS: A LECTURE DELIVERED IN THE HALL OF THE INNER TEMPLE; PP. 1-109

Trieste

HISTORY

- 23

-

OF

ANCIENT MANUSCRIPTS.

A LECTURE

53

DELIVERED IN

THE HALL OF THE INNER TEMPLE.

BY

WILLIAM FORSYTH, Esq., Q.C., LL.D., Treasurer of the Inn.

AND FRINTED AT THE REQUEST OF THE MASTERS OF THE BENCH.

LONDON: JOHN MURRAY, ALBEMARLE ST. 1872.



LONDON : BRADBURY, EVANS, AND CO., PRINTERS, WHITEPRIARS.

10

.



38

20

33

- 25

. .

Aurding Harding 77205

,

2-3-51 mFP

ł

7

60

1

1

HISTORY

ANCIENT MANUSCRIPTS.

E fee on our fhelves, in handfome Volumes, the Works of old Authors who lived and wrote before the invention of printing; but how few of us afk ourfelves the queftions, Where are the originals of which thefe books are the copies? And what authority have we for the genuinenefs of the text? Take, for inftance, the Classic Authors of Greece and Rome. As might be expected, from the perifhable nature of fuch materials as parchment and paper, not more than a few fragments of manufcripts which are older than the Chriftian era now furvive. If, then, the originals of thefe works are loft, what

I

B

guarantee have we for the genuineness of the printed copies in our libraries? So impreffed was the Abbé Hardouin, born in 1646, with this difficulty, that he gravely propounded the theory that the fo-called works of the claffic writers of Greece and Rome were nothing but forgeries of the monks-just as Chatterton tried to pais off his own writings as the poems of Rowley, which he pretended to have found in fome old manufcripts in a cheft which I have feen in the tower of the Church of St. Mary's Redcliffe, at Briftol. The Abbé affected to believe that the fo-called ancient claffics had been composed in the thirteenth century, by the help of the remains of Cicero and Pliny, the Georgics of Virgil, and the Satires and Epiftles of Horace, which he declared were the only relics of antiquity that had come down to that period.* He attributed the Æneid to a

[•] In an epitaph written upon the Abbé, by Vernet, of Geneva, he is called :

.

. .

B 2

37 - 33

Benedictine Monk, who wifhed to defcribe in an allegory, the journey of St. Peter to Rome. It is, indeed, difficult to believe that this was not a literary joke; but the Abbé feems to have been thoroughly in earnest, and if fo, it appears not to have ftruck him that there is fuch a thing as internal evidence and moral impoffibility. The idea of mediæval monks being able to compose the works of Homer and of Plato; of Cicero and of Virgil ; does not deferve repetition. We fhall, by-and-by, fee how in reality it was that long after the revival of letters many of the ancient manufcripts, containing the writings of the claffics, were recovered or reftored ; but it must be borne in mind that even those ancient documents are not the originals, but only copies of fome one or more manufcripts

> Venerandæ antiquitatis cultor et depredator. Scepticum pið egit, Credulitate puer, Audaciá juvenis, Deliriis senex, Verbo dicam, hic jacet Harduinus.

now utterly and for ever loft to mankind. I fay "fome one or more manufcripts," for confider what must have happened when a man fet down to write a book before the invention of printing. Let us fuppofe him to have lived in the time of Augustus, and to have been a Poet or an Hiftorian. He would commit his thoughts either to a waxen tablet or to a papyrus or parchment roll, and this would strictly and properly be the only original. But clever flaves were kept as fcribes, whole fole bufinefs it was to multiply copies of their mafter's works, and fo far as this was done, under his fupervision, fuch copies may fairly be confidered entitled to rank as originals. But none of thefe exift now, they have all difappeared, fwallowed up in the gulf of time-and fpeaking loofely, but with fufficient accuracy for our prefent purpole, we may affume that the oldeft MS., containing the fuppofed poems or hiftory, is not earlier than the fixth century,-that is to fay, there is a gap

of 500 years between their first appearance in the world and their appearance to us in the shape of a MS., which, however clean and fresh and bright when originally written twelve centuries ago, is now dirty and dusty and worm-caten, and very probably mutilated and torn.

But next comes the queffion : As we are not likely to adopt the Abbé Hardouin's theory, and affume that the work in queftion was the forgery of a clever monk, we afk, What was the original which the writer had before him when he made the copy, and what has become of it? As to the latter part of the queffion I fear we muft anfwer it by faying that it has become duft and afhes either wilfully or accidentally deftroyed or crumbled into nothingnefs by the flow procefs of decay. Nor can we in moft cafes even guefs what the identical MS. was of which our *exifting* MS. is a copy. By this I mean that unlefs the writer has happened to furnifh the information, which is, I believe, very feldom, we cannot tell