A CRITICAL COMMENTARY ON THE BOOK OF DANIEL

Published @ 2017 Trieste Publishing Pty Ltd

ISBN 9780649111121

A critical commentary on the book of Daniel by J. Dyneley Prince

Except for use in any review, the reproduction or utilisation of this work in whole or in part in any form by any electronic, mechanical or other means, now known or hereafter invented, including xerography, photocopying and recording, or in any information storage or retrieval system, is forbidden without the permission of the publisher, Trieste Publishing Pty Ltd, PO Box 1576 Collingwood, Victoria 3066 Australia.

All rights reserved.

Edited by Trieste Publishing Pty Ltd. Cover @ 2017

This book is sold subject to the condition that it shall not, by way of trade or otherwise, be lent, re-sold, hired out, or otherwise circulated without the publisher's prior consent in any form or binding or cover other than that in which it is published and without a similar condition including this condition being imposed on the subsequent purchaser.

www.triestepublishing.com

J. DYNELEY PRINCE

A CRITICAL COMMENTARY ON THE BOOK OF DANIEL



A CRITICAL COMMENTARY

ON

THE BOOK OF DANIEL

DESIGNED ESPECIALLY

FOR

STUDENTS OF THE ENGLISH BIBLE

BY

J. DYNELEY PRINCE, PH. D.

PROFESSOR OF SEMITIC LAXGUAGES IN THE NEW YORK UNIVERSITY



LEIPZIG J. C. HINRICHS SCHE BUCHHANDLUNG

LONDON WILLIAMS & NORGATE 1899

NEW YORK LEMCKE & BUECHNER



LIST OF ABBREVIATIONS.

A. Aquila.

Abh. Lagarde, Gesammelte Abhandlungen,

AJP. American Journal of Philology,

AL. Delitzsch, Assyrische Lesestücke, 3 edition. Leipzig, 1885.

Arm. Stud. Lagarde, Armenische Studien.

ASKT. Haupt, Akkadische und Sumerische Keilschrifttexte. Leipzig. 1881-2.

Ašurb, The Annals of Ašurbânipal, KB, ii, pp. 152-237.

Ašurb. Sm. George Smith, The History of Asurbanipal, London, 1871.

Ašurn. The Annals of Ašurnacirpal. KB, i. pp. 48-128.

A. V. The Authorized Version.

AW. Fried, Delitzsch, Assyrisches Handwörterbuch. Leipzig. 1896.

BA. Beiträge zur Assyriologie,

Behrmann, Behrmann, Das Buch Daniel, Göttingen, 1894.

Bevan, Bevan, The Book of Daniel, Cambridge, 1892.

Bezold, Lit. Bezold, Babylonisch-Assyrische Literatur. Leipzig. 1886.

CJS. Corpus Inscriptionum Semiticarum.

Del. Prol. Fried, Delitzsch, Prolegomena e, neuen bebräisch aramäischen Wörterbuchs zum A. T. Leipzig, 1886.

EIH. The East India House Inscription of Nebuchadnezzar. KB, iii. 2, pp. 10-31,

Flemming, Nbk. Flemming, Die grosse Steinplatteninschrift Nebuchadnezzars d. H. Göttingen, 1883.

GGA. Göttingische gelehrte Anzeigen,

Ges. Abh. Sec Abh.

Gr. Ven. Graecus Venetus,

HNE. Haupt, Das Babylonische Nimrodepos. Leipzig. 1884—1891.

JA. Journal Asiatique.

JBL. Journal of Biblical Literature.

J. H. U. Circ. The Johns Hopkins University Circulars.

Jhvh. Jehovah.

JRAS. Journal of the Royal Asiatic Society.

Kamphausen, Kamphausen, The Book of Daniel. Critical edition of the Heb, and Aram. Text. Leipzig, 1896. KAT. Schrader, Die Keilinschriften und das Alte Testament, Giessen, 1883, English translation: The Cunciform Inscriptions and the Old Testament, London, 1885–8.

KB. Schrader, Keifinschriftliche Bibliothek. Berlin, 1889–1892.

Khors. The Khorsabad inscription of Sargon. KB. ii. pp. 52-81.

Lib. Dan. Bär and Delitzsch, Libri Danielis. Ezrac et Nehemiae. Leipzig. 1882.

LXX. The Septnagint.

Nabop. Inscription of Nabopolassar, KB. iii. pp. 2-9.

NHWB. Levy, Neuhebräisches und Chaldäisches Wärterbuch. Leipzig. 1876–1889.

N. T. The New Testament.

Ob. The Shalmaneser Obelisk. KB, i. pp. 128-150,

Opp. Doc. Jur. Oppert. Documents Juridiques de l'Assyrie et de la Chaldée, Paris. 1876.

O. T. The Old Testament.

P. The Peshipta.

Paradies, Fried, Delitzsch, Wo lag das Paradies? Leipzig, 1881.

RE. Herzog's Realeucyclopedic.

Rm. Rammannirari III. KB, i. pp. 188-193.

S. Symmachus.

Sarg. Cyl. The Sargon Cylinder. KB. ii. pp. 34-51.

Schrader, Cun. Inser. The English translation to KAT.

Senn. The Taylor Cylinder of Semacherib, KB, ii, pp. 80-113,

Sfg. Haupt. Die Sumerischen Familiengesetze. Leipzig. 1879.

Shalm, Mon. The Shalmaneser Monolith, KB, i. pp. 150-175.

St. O. Theologische Studien und Skizzen aus Ostpreussen.

Str. Strassmaier.

er Theodotion.

Tig. The prism inscription of Tiglathpileser I, KB, i, pp. 14-47,

UAG. Hugo Winckler, Untersuchungen zur altorientalischen Geschichte Leipzig, 1889.

V. The Vulgate.

Vers. Mass. The Marseilles Version.

Vog. De Vogüć, La Syrie Centrale. Paris, 1868-1877.

ZA. Zeitschrift für Assyriologie.

ZATW. Stade's Zeitschrift für alttestamentliche Wissenschaft.

ZB. Zimmern, Babylonische Busspsalmen. Leipzig. 1885.

ZDMG. Zeitschrift der deutschen morgenländischen Gesellschaft.

ZK. Zeitschrift für Keilschriftforschung.

4 Psalm.

CONTENTS.

PREFACE					2						*		1		٠	+	*	pp. v-vl.
LIST OF A	BBI	E)	VIA	Tl	ON	S	*		÷		ē		٠	*	*	٠	8	рр. УП-УПі,
GENERAL	INT	RC	DU	CI	10	N					ě		٠	÷				рр. 1-56.
CRITICAL	cor	IM	EN	TA	RY			9	×	*		٠			83		· ·	рр. 57—193.
PHILOLOG	ICA	L	00	MA.	EN	T.	M	Y	٠	85		0.7	25	00	•		7	рр. 195-259,
ADDITION.	ΛL	CR	ITI	CA	L.	AN	D	ľ	ш	LO	LO	GI	CA	L	NO	TE	s	рр. 260-265.
INDEX OF																		
AND A	881	RI	AN	11	OE	D	s						1					pp. 266-269,
CORRIGEN	DA	ET	A	DE	EN	D	4	06	*	40				40	40	4		p. 270.



PREFACE.

Since the final closing of the Old Testament Canon, which probably took place about 100 B. C., perhaps no work included therein has excited more interest than the much disputed Book of Daniel. Indeed, a mere list of all that has been written both in defence of and against the authenticity of this production would fill a fair sized volume. It is obviously impossible, therefore, for a critical treatment of Daniel to be exhaustive in the sense of embodying all the opinions ever advanced regarding the interpretation, authorship and origin of the work, nor, in view of the immeuse mass of valueless literature dating from almost every Christian century which exists on the subject, would it be desirable to attempt such a task.

The object of the following commentary is to present as concisely as possible, especially to the student of the English Bible, the consensus of critical opinion regarding the many problems arising from the study of the Book of Daniel and to add such new matter as has been suggested by a careful examination of the text and exegesis. With this aim in view,