"LES HOSPICES DE PARIS ET DE LONDRES": THE CASE OF LORD HENRY SEYMOUR'S WILL

Published @ 2017 Trieste Publishing Pty Ltd

ISBN 9780649481118

"Les Hospices de Paris Et de Londres": The Case of Lord Henry Seymour's Will by Frederick Waymouth Gibbs

Except for use in any review, the reproduction or utilisation of this work in whole or in part in any form by any electronic, mechanical or other means, now known or hereafter invented, including xerography, photocopying and recording, or in any information storage or retrieval system, is forbidden without the permission of the publisher, Trieste Publishing Pty Ltd, PO Box 1576 Collingwood, Victoria 3066 Australia.

All rights reserved.

Edited by Trieste Publishing Pty Ltd. Cover @ 2017

This book is sold subject to the condition that it shall not, by way of trade or otherwise, be lent, re-sold, hired out, or otherwise circulated without the publisher's prior consent in any form or binding or cover other than that in which it is published and without a similar condition including this condition being imposed on the subsequent purchaser.

www.triestepublishing.com

FREDERICK WAYMOUTH GIBBS

"LES HOSPICES DE PARIS ET DE LONDRES": THE CASE OF LORD HENRY SEYMOUR'S WILL



Chantin 1

690.5 W 193

LES HOSPICES

DE

PARIS ET DE LONDRES.

"Les Hospices de Paris et de Londres."

THE CASE

OI

LORD HENRY SEYMOUR'S WILL

(WALLACE v. THE ATTORNEY-GENERAL).

REPORTED BY

FREDERICK WAYMOUTH GIBBS, C.B.,

BARRISTER-AT-LAW, LATE PELLOW OF TENTTY COLLEGE, CAMERIDGE.



LONDON:
STEVENS AND HAYNES,

**Extra **Bublishers,

BELL YARD, TEMPLE BAR.

1877.

LONDON:

PRINTED BY WILLIAM GLOWER AND SONS, SYMMOOD STREET AND CHARLING CROSS.

PREFACE.

THE Judgment of the Lords Justices in the case of Wallace v. The Attorney-General, delivered December 21, 1867, upon the meaning of the words, "Hospices de Londres," in the phrase, "les Hospices de Paris et de Londres," employed in the will of the late Lord Henry Seymour, was not reported in any of the Law Reports of that time, in consequence of the special character of the point decided. But it has been thought that the case, from its literary interest and the number of the parties affected by the decision, ought not to be left unreported; and having been one of the counsel in the case, I undertook to prepare a Report of it. The Report has grown under my hands, and its publication has been delayed beyond the time originally intended. I found that, to be complete, it ought to be expanded so as to contain an account of the proceedings in France, the country of principal administration of the estate of the testator, and a notice of a claim to which the Hospices de Paris et de Londres have succeeded under his will to a share in an Italian property

called the Fagnani succession, and that for this purpose it was necessary to procure the French official reports of the administration and the Italian documents relative to the claim. This claim to a share in the Fagnani succession remains undecided. But as the litigation respecting the succession began more than thirty-five years ago, I have thought it better not to wait for a decision, but simply to explain the claim.

I have to acknowledge my obligations to the Honourable Arthur Romilly for the manuscript of the Judgment of his father, Lord Romilly, the Master of the Rolls, of November 3, 1865, set out in the Report; and also to Mr. W. A. Greatorex for the official reports of the proceedings in France, and for his four reports upon those proceedings, as well as for procuring for me the Italian documents. Mr. W. A. Greatorex was appointed by the Master of the Rolls, January 30, 1867, "the solicitor to represent in the case of Wallace v. The Attorney-General the several charities who had been admitted as entitled to share in the residuary personal estate of the testator in the cause under the denomination of Hospices de Londres," and in that capacity he drew up his reports above mentioned for the information of those charities. His duties involved personal communication in Paris with M. de la Palme, the notary appointed by the French Tribunal to represent the Hospices de Londres, as well as a careful study of the French official reports; and it is due both to the Hospices de Londres and to Mr.