# CHURCH DEFENCE, BY A DISSENTING MINISTER; TWO LECTURES

Published @ 2017 Trieste Publishing Pty Ltd

### ISBN 9780649451111

Church Defence, by a Dissenting Minister; Two Lectures by Robert Christison

Except for use in any review, the reproduction or utilisation of this work in whole or in part in any form by any electronic, mechanical or other means, now known or hereafter invented, including xerography, photocopying and recording, or in any information storage or retrieval system, is forbidden without the permission of the publisher, Trieste Publishing Pty Ltd, PO Box 1576 Collingwood, Victoria 3066 Australia.

All rights reserved.

Edited by Trieste Publishing Pty Ltd. Cover @ 2017

This book is sold subject to the condition that it shall not, by way of trade or otherwise, be lent, re-sold, hired out, or otherwise circulated without the publisher's prior consent in any form or binding or cover other than that in which it is published and without a similar condition including this condition being imposed on the subsequent purchaser.

www.triestepublishing.com

## **ROBERT CHRISTISON**

## CHURCH DEFENCE, BY A DISSENTING MINISTER; TWO LECTURES



## CHURCH DEFENCE

BT

### A DISSENTING MINISTER.

### Two Tectures,

BT THE

### REV. ROBERT CHRISTISON,

Late Dissenting Minister at Orrell, near Wigan, Lancashire.



### WILLIAM HUNT AND COMPANY,

HOLLES STREET, CAVERDISH SQUARS;

AND ALDINE CHANBERS, PATREBOSTER ROW.

1874.

(All Rights Beserved.)

110 . k . 565 .



A Plea for Church Defence.

\$9



### LECTURE I.

DELIVERED IN THE CORN EXCHANGE, PRESTON, AND IN VARIOUS OTHER TOWNS.

"What have the Dissenters to offer in room of the Church which they seek to destroy?— A Plea for Church Defence."

I SUPPOSE it is not a very usual thing to find a Dissenting minister on a Church of England platform, and that, too, for the purpose of lecturing in defence of the Church. Allow me, therefore, at the outset, to offer a word or two by way of explanation.

In the first place, then, as perhaps my manner of speech may have already told you, I am not an Englishman at all, neither am I an Irishman. But though neither an Englishman nor an Irishman, I happen to be a Briton, and a lover of

British institutions. I am a plain Scotchman, from among the hills on the other side of the Tweed. But though not a born and bred Englishman, I love England. I came to it in quest of health, and it gave it me. It has been the land of my adoption for the last ten years, so that I must now have some interest in it, and am not altogether a stranger to, nor am I indifferent, to what is going on in its ecclesiastical affairs.

In the second place, although through a sort of concurrence of circumstances I came to be associated with the Dissenters, yet I cannot say that I have been a very hard and fast one, at least after the modern English type of them, and the less so as I became better acquainted with their principles, and also their practices. English Dissent turns out to be a very different thing from what in my ignorance and simplicity I was led to suppose it was. The Dissenterism of the Baxters, and Owens, and Henrys, and Howes of other days I could sympathize with, but the Dissenterism of Mr. Miall and his followers I do not and never could agree with.

Moreover, I have of late been more carefully examining the whole of this subject. I have

had my eyes open to the working of Dissent around me for the last ten years, and have learned a good deal of what it is elsewhere in this country; and while I freely grant that Dissenters do, and have done much good in their way, and that there are a great many excellent people among them,-people for which our country and our Christianity have cause to be proud,-people from whom I myself have experienced personal kindness, and whom I will regard with more than feelings of respect as long as I live,-vet I have arrived at the conviction-the honest conviction-that the principles on which their system is founded, are erroneous, and that the policy which so many of them are at present pursuing towards the Established Church is altogether wrong,-that it is unwise and rash, unfair and unjust, unprovoked and uncalled for, and that were it carried out, would ere long bring about a religious state of things in this country, so sad, that I believe many of the wisest and the best people among themselves, would be the first to deplore.

With these convictions I feel it a duty to oppose them in their attack upon the National Church, and this all the more that the system