

**A TREATISE ON RIGHTS OF
WATER, INCLUDING PUBLIC
AND PRIVATE RIGHTS TO
THE SEA AND SEA-SHORE**

Published @ 2017 Trieste Publishing Pty Ltd

ISBN 9780649068104

A Treatise on Rights of Water, Including Public and Private Rights to the Sea and Sea-Shore by
J. B. Phear

Except for use in any review, the reproduction or utilisation of this work in whole or in part in any form by any electronic, mechanical or other means, now known or hereafter invented, including xerography, photocopying and recording, or in any information storage or retrieval system, is forbidden without the permission of the publisher, Trieste Publishing Pty Ltd, PO Box 1576 Collingwood, Victoria 3066 Australia.

All rights reserved.

Edited by Trieste Publishing Pty Ltd.
Cover @ 2017

This book is sold subject to the condition that it shall not, by way of trade or otherwise, be lent, re-sold, hired out, or otherwise circulated without the publisher's prior consent in any form or binding or cover other than that in which it is published and without a similar condition including this condition being imposed on the subsequent purchaser.

www.triestepublishing.com

J. B. PHEAR

**A TREATISE ON RIGHTS OF
WATER, INCLUDING PUBLIC
AND PRIVATE RIGHTS TO
THE SEA AND SEA-SHORE**

A
TREATISE
ON
RIGHTS OF WATER,

INCLUDING

PUBLIC AND PRIVATE RIGHTS TO THE SEA
AND SEA-SHORE.

By J. B. PHEAR, Esq.,

OF THE INNER TEMPLE, BARRISTER-AT-LAW, SENIOR FELLOW OF CLARE
COLLEGE, CAMBRIDGE.



LONDON:
V. & R. STEVENS AND G. S. NORTON,
Law Booksellers and Publishers,
26, BELL YARD, LINCOLN'S INN.
1859.

LONDON:
BRADBURY AND EVANS, PRINTERS, WHITECHURCH.

CONTENTS.



INTRODUCTION.

	PAGE
Elements in the enjoyment of property	1
Relations between adjoining proprietors	2
Maxim regulating these	3
Consequent relative rights of proprietors	ib.
instanced by right to lateral support for land	ib.
vertical	5
do	5
Reflective action of the maxim	6
Definition of <i>Natural Rights</i>	7
These accrue by virtue of possession	ib.
Other restraints upon user than those imposed by the maxim	ib.
incidents of <i>Estates in Real Property</i>	9
<i>Easements</i>	ib.

NATURAL RIGHTS OF WATER.

<i>Prima facie</i> boundary of properties which meet in the bed of a stream or lake	11
when there is an island there	ib.
changes with insensible variations of stream	12
but not with sudden shiftings	ib.
Presumption does not apply to the sea or navigable rivers	ib.
Boundary between water-bed and adjoining land is defined by the average water-level	13
Natural rights in waters and watercourses to be investigated under four heads	ib.
1. <i>Natural Watercourses</i>	14
priority of appropriation unimportant	ib.

	PAGE
1. <i>Natural Watercourses (continued).</i>	
water itself not the subject of property . . .	20
although the stream is so, and in some sense is common to all riparian proprietors . . .	22
to use it reasonably . . .	24
but none may sensibly diminish its <i>quantity</i> by abstracting in any way the water from its current . . .	27
or increase it . . .	28
or disturb its natural <i>flow</i> . . .	<i>ib.</i>
or change its <i>quality</i> . . .	<i>ib.</i>
or interfere with its <i>channel</i> . . .	<i>ib.</i>
or affect its <i>produce</i> , as, for instance, its supply of fish . . .	29
or do anything to materially alter the natural condition of the stream in respect of his neighbour . . .	30
2. <i>Water with no defined course</i> . . .	31
distinction between <i>stream</i> and other water . . .	<i>ib.</i>
the latter is subject of property . . .	<i>ib.</i>
whether squandered over surface . . .	32
or severed in a tank, &c. . .	<i>ib.</i>
and may not be taken from proprietor . . .	33
whether above ground or below . . .	<i>ib.</i>
effect of judgment in <i>Acton v. Blundell</i> . . .	36
3. <i>Artificial Streams</i> . . .	38
subject of <i>contract</i> between proprietors and bene- ficiaries . . .	<i>ib.</i>
riparian proprietors have no relative rights in respect of it . . .	39
unless by express contract . . .	40
4. <i>Sea and Tidal Waters</i> . . .	41
soil in the Crown or its grantees . . .	<i>ib.</i>
its boundary is given by average level . . .	<i>ib.</i>
goes with insensible alterations of this line . . .	43
but not with sudden changes . . .	<i>ib.</i>
the possession of Crown or grantees is in behalf of the public . . .	44 and 47, note (m)
and therefore restricted by <i>jus publicum</i> . . .	45

CONTENTS.

V

4. <i>Sea and Tidal Waters (continued).</i>	PAGE
observations on <i>Blundell v. Caterall</i>	46
is not a decision concluding the question	50
"wreck, &c.," belong to the Crown by statute	52
rights of those claiming through the Crown to be treated as <i>Easements</i>	ib.
of public come here as being sub- stantially <i>natural rights</i>	ib.
Public right of <i>Navigation</i> and its incidents	53
includes no right of <i>towing</i> or <i>mooring</i> banks adjacent to the shore.	54
must be exercised with care towards others	ib.
duty of maintaining navigable con- dition of the way, port, &c., im- posed on no one by common law	55
Public right of <i>Fishing</i>	56
does not extend to <i>Royal fish</i>	ib.
may be excluded by private right	ib.
includes <i>Shrimping</i> , &c.	ib.
<i>drying nets</i> , &c., on private land adjoin- ing the shore is not incidental to it	ib.

EASEMENTS.

The word includes <i>easements</i> proper and <i>profits-à-prendre</i>	57
and amounts to an interest in the land	58
Not so a <i>licence</i> , which passes no interest	ib.
whether from intention	60
or from defect of instrument	ib.
Easement is an incorporeal hereditament, requiring a deed to create it	ib.
its creator is subject to the principles of <i>Real Covenants</i>	61
no other restriction exists upon the acquisition of ease- ments	62
Instances of easements	63
Various forms of fishing rights	ib.
<i>several fishery</i>	63
is subject of trespass	64

	PAGE
Various forms of fishing rights (<i>continued</i>).	
<i>free fishery</i>	64
<i>common of fishery</i>	65
<i>common fishery</i>	<i>ib.</i>
Nature of the easement is governed by the deed which creates it	65
Such deed, when not forthcoming, will be presumed :—	
1 when it would be inequitable to insist upon its non-existence	<i>ib.</i>
2 when it may reasonably be supposed to have been lost	<i>ib.</i>
These circumstances, for pleading purposes, admit of being alleged in the three distinct forms of <i>Lost Grant</i> , <i>Prescription</i> and <i>Custom</i>	66
of which <i>Prescription</i> and <i>Custom</i> are only applicable where it is of the essence of the presumption that the right commenced an immemorial time ago	<i>ib.</i>
The time of commencement is not generally essential to the character of the easement	<i>ib.</i>
But an <i>immemorial</i> origin is sometimes involved in it	<i>ib.</i>
instances afforded by some kinds of rights in the sea shore and <i>quære</i> , whether such of these as are possessory are within the scope of <i>prescription</i>	67
not they are supportable by the aid of the Statutes of Limitation	68
	69

PROOF OF THE GRANT.

<i>Lost Grant</i> is to be supported by circumstantial evidence pointing to the <i>inception</i> of the right, the <i>maker</i> of, and the <i>circumstances</i> surrounding the grant	70
which may be rebutted in the usual way	<i>ib.</i>
public rights even more easily established	<i>ib.</i>
Sometimes the grant required is sought by implication of law in the express grant of something else	70
because the right to be supported is either :—	
1 <i>substantially part</i> of the subject intended to be passed by the express grant	71
2 or is <i>necessary</i> to its enjoyment	<i>ib.</i>

CONTENTS.

vii

	PAGE
Sometimes grant sought by implication, &c. (<i>continued</i>).	
instance of such implied grants	71
they differ in nothing from express grants	<i>ib.</i>
implication is independent of whether or not the principle subject of grant is corporeal	73
Where no grant <i>express</i> or <i>implied</i> can be found, length of enjoy- ment is cogent evidence of a lost grant	74
twenty years alone is sufficient	<i>ib.</i>
less, conjointly with confirmatory facts	<i>ib.</i>
and very short enjoyment will support a right of way	<i>ib.</i>
either because such enjoyment must be notorious	<i>ib.</i>
or because it is the best evidence of the dedication	75
state of facts embodied in <i>prescription</i> will support the pre- sumption	<i>ib.</i>
<i>Prescription</i> can only be alleged in support of an <i>incorporeal</i> right	76
History of the doctrine of <i>prescription</i>	<i>ib.</i>
Question for the jury in <i>prescription</i>	79
From the affirmative verdict, the law presumes the due creation of the right	<i>ib.</i>
Four suppositions are involved in this presumption	<i>ib.</i>
if either of them fails, the presumption cannot be made	80
illustrations of this	<i>ib.</i>
especially in reference to reasonableness	81
Plea of <i>Custom</i>	<i>ib.</i>
Question for jury in <i>Custom</i>	82
Presumption in this case rests on two considerations only	83
illustrations of them	<i>ib.</i>
<i>Statutable Prescription</i> under 2 & 3 W. 4, c. 71	84
gives certain <i>limited</i> enjoyment the force of <i>imme- morial</i> enjoyment	<i>ib.</i>
and other enjoyment still greater advantages	85

USER.

<i>User</i> sometimes guides to the proper construction of a grant	86
either in respect of the <i>intention</i> or of the <i>subject</i> of the grant	<i>ib.</i>
Common Law presumption that the user is <i>not unlawful</i> effects this	87