# SYNONYMS OF THE NEW TESTAMENT, SECOND PART

Published @ 2017 Trieste Publishing Pty Ltd

#### ISBN 9780649282098

Synonyms of the New Testament, second part by Richard Chenevix Trench

Except for use in any review, the reproduction or utilisation of this work in whole or in part in any form by any electronic, mechanical or other means, now known or hereafter invented, including xerography, photocopying and recording, or in any information storage or retrieval system, is forbidden without the permission of the publisher, Trieste Publishing Pty Ltd, PO Box 1576 Collingwood, Victoria 3066 Australia.

All rights reserved.

Edited by Trieste Publishing Pty Ltd. Cover @ 2017

This book is sold subject to the condition that it shall not, by way of trade or otherwise, be lent, re-sold, hired out, or otherwise circulated without the publisher's prior consent in any form or binding or cover other than that in which it is published and without a similar condition including this condition being imposed on the subsequent purchaser.

www.triestepublishing.com

## RICHARD CHENEVIX TRENCH

# SYNONYMS OF THE NEW TESTAMENT, SECOND PART



## SYNONYMS

OF

## THE NEW TESTAMENT.

RICHARD CHEVENIX TRENCH, D. D.

SECOND PART.

NEW YORK: CHARLES SORIBNER & CO., No. 654 BROADWAY.

[Published by arrangement with the Author.]



### PREFACE.

In publishing a preceding volume on Synonyms of the New Testament, I took occasion to observe, that the synonyms dealt with in it might easily have been doubled or trebled, and that many of the most interesting had been left altogether untouched. The subject proves so inexhaustible that, after another considerable number dealt with here, the assertion seems to me just as true now as it was then. That it is a subject of interest to the student of theology, and that the little volume did, however partially and imperfectly, supply a want, I feel assured by the several editions through which it has past,

and the requests which I have received to add a second part to that first. This I have at length done, and hope at some future day to fuse the two parts into a single volume. The book, though small in bulk, has been sufficiently laborious. It is my earnest prayer that, by God's blessing, the labour may not have been altogether in vain.

Westminster, July 27, 1868.

### SYNONYMS OF THE NEW TESTAMENT.

#### PART II.

§ 1.—εὐχή, προσευχή, δέησις, ἔντευξις, εὐχαριστία, αἴτημα, ἰκετηρία.

Four of these words occur together at 1 Tim. ii. 1; on which Flacius Illyricus (Clavis, s. v. Oratio) justly observes, 'Quem vocum acervum procul dubio Paulus non temere congessit.' It will be advisable to consider not these only, but the larger group of which they form a portion.

Eὐχή occurs only once in the N. T. in the sense of a prayer (Jam. v. 15). On the distinction between it and  $\pi \rho o \sigma \epsilon v \chi \dot{\eta}$ , between  $\epsilon \dot{v} \chi \epsilon \sigma \theta a \iota$  and  $\pi \rho o \sigma \epsilon \dot{v} \chi \epsilon \sigma \theta a \iota$ , there is a long discussion in Origen (De Orat. § 2, 3, 4), but not of any great value, nor bringing out more than the obvious fact that in  $\epsilon \dot{v} \chi \dot{\eta}$  and  $\epsilon \dot{v} \chi \epsilon \sigma \theta a \iota$  the notion of the vow, of the dedicated thing, is more commonly found than that of prayer. The two other occasions on which the

word is found in the N. T. (Acts xviii. 18; xxi. 23), bear out this remark.

Προσευχή and δέησις often in the N. T. occur together (Phil. iv. 6; Ephes. vi. 18; 1 Tim. ii. 1; v. 5), and not unfrequently in the Septuagint (Ps. vi. 10; Dan. ix. 21, 23; 1 Macc. vii. 37). There have been a great many, but for the most part not very successful, attempts to distinguish between them. Grotius, for instance, affirms that they are severally 'precatio' and 'deprecatio;' that the first seeks to obtain good, the second to avert evil. Augustine, I may observe by the way, in his treatment of the more important of this group of words (Ep. 149, § 12-16), which, though interesting, does not yield any definite results of value, observes that in his time this distinction between 'precatio' and 'deprecatio' had practically quite disappeared. Theodoret in like manner, who has anticipated Grotius here, explains  $\pi \rho o \sigma \epsilon v \chi \dot{\eta}$  as althous dyabav, and δέησις as ύπερ απαλλαγής τινών λυπηρών ίκετεία προφερομένη: cf. Gregory of Nazianzum:

δεήσιν οΐου, την αίτησιν ένδεων.

This distinction is arbitrary; neither lies in the words, nor is it borne out by usage. Better Calvin, who makes one  $(\pi\rho\sigma\sigma\epsilon\nu\chi\dot{\eta}=\text{`precatio'})$  prayer in general, the other  $(\delta\epsilon\dot{\eta}\sigma\iota\varsigma=\text{`rogatio'})$  prayer for particular benefits: ' $\pi\rho\sigma\sigma\epsilon\nu\chi\dot{\eta}$  omne genus orationis,

δέησις ubi certum aliquid petitur; genus et species.' Bengel's distinction amounts very nearly to the same thing: 'δέησις (a δεῖ) est imploratio gratiæ in necessitate quadam speciali; προσευχή, oratio, exercetur quâlibet oblatione voluntatum et desideriorum erga Deum.'

All these passages, however, while they have brought out one important point of distinction, have failed to bring out another—namely, that προσευχή is 'res sacra,' a word restricted to sacred uses; it is always prayer to God; δέησις has no such restriction. Fritzsche (on Rom. x. 1) has not failed to urge this: 'ή προσευχή et ή δέησις different ut precatio et rogatio. Προσεύχεσθαι et ή προσευχή verba sacra sunt; precamur enim Deum; δεῖσθαι, τὸ δέημα (Aristophanes, Acharn. 1059) et ή δέησις tum in sacrá tum in profanâre usurpantur. Nam et Deum rogare possumus et homines.' It is the same distinction as in our 'prayer' (though that has been too much brought down to mundane uses) and 'petition,' in the German 'Gebet' and 'Bitte.'

"Εντευξις occurs only at 1 Tim. ii. 1; iv. 5, in the N. T. (but ἐντυγχάνειν four or five times) and once in the Septuagint (2 Macc. iv. 8). 'Intercession,' by which the E. V. renders it, is not, as we now understand 'intercession,' a satisfactory rendering. For ἔντευξις does not necessarily mean what 'intercession' at present exclusively does