AN ESSAY ON USES

Published @ 2017 Trieste Publishing Pty Ltd

ISBN 9780649442096

An Essay on Uses by William Floyer Cornish

Except for use in any review, the reproduction or utilisation of this work in whole or in part in any form by any electronic, mechanical or other means, now known or hereafter invented, including xerography, photocopying and recording, or in any information storage or retrieval system, is forbidden without the permission of the publisher, Trieste Publishing Pty Ltd, PO Box 1576 Collingwood, Victoria 3066 Australia.

All rights reserved.

Edited by Trieste Publishing Pty Ltd. Cover @ 2017

This book is sold subject to the condition that it shall not, by way of trade or otherwise, be lent, re-sold, hired out, or otherwise circulated without the publisher's prior consent in any form or binding or cover other than that in which it is published and without a similar condition including this condition being imposed on the subsequent purchaser.

www.triestepublishing.com

WILLIAM FLOYER CORNISH

AN ESSAY ON USES



\$ 17

ESSAY

T 0 T 0

By WILLIAM FLOYER CORNISH, Esq.

11

14

PROM THE LONDON EDITION

PUBLISHED BY

J. S. LITTELL, LAW-BOOKSELLER, No. 11, GEORGE STREET,

PHILADELPHIA.

1834.

LIBRARY OF THE LAW DEPARTMENT, LAW DEPARTMENT,

0. 50860.

M. PITHIAN, PRINTER.

PREFACE.

EXISTING productions have so materially disentangled the difficulties, and dispelled the obscurities, of the doctrine of Uses, that, in presenting the following Essay to the Profession, I feel the necessity of soliciting

indulgence.

Amidst the blaze of talent which has been thrown on this department of our law, additional light appeared to me impossible : but I was reassured by reflecting on its vital importance; and the absence of a work at once short and comprehensive, left the space which I have endeavoured to supply. But merely to compress would not sanction the multiplication of treatises, and therefore I have attempted, with a presumption which I hope is not unpardonable to impart to this Essay some degree of originality. Law is, indeed, austere and unbending : but her inflexibility, if too much indulged, would render her stationary, while the sister sciences are growing up around her; and as the principal source of improvement is derived from logical and lucid disposition, novelty of arrangement should be carefully distinguished from innovation upon principle. When the elements are admitted to retain their energy and operation, some scope for combination may be allowed to the theorist; and though definitions are proverbially dangerous, the attempt, if successful, is proportionally gratifying. To the student of English Law the greatest impediment arises, not from the number, but from the confusion of its principles. Adjudications and enactments have perfected it as much, perhaps, as human things admit of perfection : but, while its fundamentals are admirably congruous, its richness is displayed by its early professors with a careless magnificence; and it appears at once exuberant and indistinct, baffling the grasp of the most powerful understanding. Of late, however, individual industry, which alone can bring simultaneously to the unpractised eye the beauties of our juridical system, has been industriously employed in reconciling its seeming discrepancies, in exhibiting its elegant proportions, and in indeavouring, by a systematic distribution of its principles, to exalt its rank in the empire of reason: but, though much has been done, much may yet be done; and the achievements of some luminous minds naturally inspire subsequent adventurers with the hope of similar success.

In tracing and unfolding the springs and principles of this abstruse learning, I have, therefore, endeavoured to define its terms more accu-

rately, and symmetrize its parts more harmoniously.

I have passed rapidly over the doctrine of ancient Uses, partly because previous labours have rendered further discussion of that antiquated learning superfluous, and partly because it elucidates modern trusts rather than modern uses.

Brevity was unattainable without compression; and my observations are, in general, inferences rather than quotations: this avowal is due to myself, my readers, and my authorities.

The doctrines of devises and of trusts originated in, and are closely analogous to, Uses. Their striking points of community, similitude, and

difference, have, therefore, been incidentally noticed.

Powers are an important branch of Uses; and hence, notwithstanding the necessary imperfections in a partial and transient survey of an extensive and various doctrine, it was occasionally expedient to contemplate their peculiar properties.

Those whose opportunities of research are limited, and who are unable, therefore, to examine theoretical disquisitions, ought, nevertheless, to understand the cases which are continually rising up before them. To assist these, I have endeavoured, whenever an occasion has been presented, to reflect on practice the light of principle.

Desirous of avoiding repetition, I have frequently referred from one part of the Essay to another; this is mentioned, because, from the same wish, the connexion between those parts is often inferential only, and

therefore may not be immediately obvious.

I have sometimes entered into detail; and, as the complexities of the subject admit of fallibility in the most penetrating and profound, I have sometimes respectfully presumed to canvass and controvert the opinions of contemporary writers. But as I have no presumptuous idea of rivalry; as my ambition is circumscribed by the narrow wish, that my labours may prove a preparative to larger and more elaborate publications; as the object of each critical discussion has been only to assist those who are still on the threshold of juridical studies, in scrutinizing the groundwork of ambiguous doctrines; I trust that an occasional controversy of the respectable opinions of my predecessors will not be deemed illiberal or indelicate. Upon the whole, however, the undertaking is arduous; but to myself I have performed a pleasing task; and I shall not be wholly disappointed in the result, if I have succeeded in one of the many important ends I have aimed at; and added, in the minutest degree, to the beauty of the noble pile which is the peculiar care of British Jurists.

TABLE

OF

CONTENTS.

The pages referred to are those between brackets, [].

Introduction, x.—xii.

	V					Page
SECT. I. Origin of Uses	10-01	(*)		-	-0	9
SECT. II. The Nature of	an Ancien	t Use			0.00	13
SECT. III. The Evils of	Uses, and the	he Reme	dy which	h the L	egis-	
lature affor						21
SECT. IV. Some of the r		Changes	effected	in real	Pro-	
	he Statute 2			(J#3		26
	CHAPT	ER II.				100
SECT. I. The Definition,	Explanatio	n, and D	ivision	of a Mo	dern	
Use -		30 <u></u>		5 5	(-)	35
SECT. II. Present Uses a	rising by c	lear and	formal :	Declarati	ion	39
SECT. III. Such Present	Uses as ar	ise from	the Int	ention n	ani-	
	he Nature		amentar	y Limita	tion	54
SECT. IV. Uses arising b	y Consider	ation				62
SECT. V. Uses arising fro	om the Act	of Law		7.5	99.	68
SECT. VI. A future Use	defined and	l explain	ed	_		80
SECT. VII. Division of I	Future Uses	, -		-	35-5	91
SECT. VIII. Some of the	principal (Qualities	of Futu	re Uses	con-	
sidered -		0.07	70	78	956	96
	CHAPT	ER III.				
The Subject Matter, -			2	86	(12)	113
and busjeet kinder,				_	1.5	113
	CHAPT	ER IV.				
SECT. I. The Person wh	o may be s	eised to	Uses	2		124
SECT. II. Who may gran			Tollogi	2		141
SECT. III. Who may tak	e Uses	3	-	×.	-	148
	CHAPT	ER V.				
SECT. I. The Instrument			-	**		152
SECT. II. Bargain and Se		. 2				156
SECT. III. Covenant to s	tand seised					161
SECT. III. Covenant to a SECT. IV. Declarations of	of Use			-	-	162
SECT. V. Appointment	30 .	27		-	20-	169
SECT. VI. General Prop	erties of Co	nveyanc	es to U	ses -	-	176
Vol. III.—2 Y			53070000	345000		E (0.5)

NAMES OF

CASES CITED.

The pages referred to are those between brackets, [].

	Page	Page
Δ	111111111111111111111111111111111111111	Brigham, Goodhill v. 172
Adams v. Savage,	72, 73	Bromfield v. Crowder, 122, 123
Albany's case,		Bromhill, Cooke v. 99
Alsop, Bellingham v.		Brown, Pells v. 97
Amy Townshend's case,		Brooke, Beandley v. 117
Antrim v. Bucks,		Brooking, Loyd v. 134
Archer's case,		Broughton v. Langley, 56, 57
Argol v. Cheney,	75, 148	Buckler's case, 102
Ash v. Gallen,		Bucks, Antrim v. 145
Attorney-General v. Sands,		Buckworth v. Thirkell, 93
В.	1164111	Burchett v. Durdant, 57
Bagshaw v. Spenser,	59	Burgess v. Wheate, 30
Bailey, Goodtitle v.		Burthorp, Doe d. Woodcock v. 60
Baldwin, Flower v.		Bustard's case, 184
Baldwere, Roe v.	75	
Bancks, Doe v.	44	Calthorp's case, 63
Barker v. Keate,		Carew, Lloyd v. 97
Barker, Lade v.		Cartwright, Wright d. Plowden v. 66
Barker's (Sir Robrt.) case,	158	Castle v. Dod, 79
Bartle, Doe v.		Cator, Goodwright v. 174
Bayley v. Warburton,		Cavendish, Lord, Duke of Devonshire v. 112
Beard v. Westcott,		Cawsen, Brian v. 123
Beaudley v. Brooke,		Chamberlain, Cox v. 173
Beaumont, Rich v.		Chaplin v. Chaplin, 116
Beckwith's case,		Chapman v. Blisset, 82
Bellingham v. Alsop,		Cheney, Argol v. 75, 148
Benson v. Hodson,	98	Chibborne's case, 160
Bentley v. Delamor,	123	Cholmondelev v. Clifton. 73
Bere, Partridge v.	16	Chudleigh's case, 82, 132
Berrington v. Parkhurst,	101	Clarke, Machel v. 118
Bigg, Doe d. Leicester v.	57	Clarkson v. Hanway, 64
Billington, Goodtitle v.	81	Clere's case, 174
Bishop of Oxford v. Leighton,		Clinton, Cholmondeley v. 73
Blake v. Foster,		Cole v. Wade, 170
Blandford v. Blandford,		Conolly, Roe v. 122
Blissett, Chapman v.		Conway, Lord, Walpole v. 50
Bois, Darly v.		Cook, Boscawen v. 116
Bonis, Holland v.		Cook v. Fountain, 158
Boscawen v. Cook,		Cooke v. Bromhill, 99
Bowles's case,		Cooke v. Herle, 116
Branch, Milnes v.		Cosin, Tippin v. 42, 72
Brian v. Cawsen,	123	Cotton, Sir J. Garth v. 136

NAMES OF CASES CITED.

Cotton, Johnson v. Countess of Rutland's case, Cowper v. Franklin, Cox v. Chamberlain, Crawley's case, Crowder, Bromfield v. Cumming, Robinson v. Cunningham, Thrustout v. Darly v. Bois, Darrell, Marwood v. Davidson v. Foley, Dealmer's case, Delamer's case, Countess of Rutland's case, 164 Foley, Davidson v. 118 Forrester v. Goodright, Forrester v. Goodright, Forrester v. Goodright, Forrester v. Goodright, Fountain, Cook v. 122, 123 Fowler, Keily v. 124 Forrester v. Baldwin, Folley, Davidson v. Fountain, Cook v. 125 Fox's case, Fox's case	157 68 175 101 32 153 107 114 78 118 78
Countess of Rutland's case, Cowper v. Franklin, Cox v. Chamberlain, Crawley's case, Crossing v. Scudamore, Crowder, Bromfield v. Cumming, Robinson v. Cunningham v. Moody, Cunningham, Thrustout v. D. 164 Foley, Davidson v. 185 Forrester v. Goodright, Forrester v. Goodright, Forster, Blake v. Fowler, Kelly v. Fox's case, 50,51 Fox's case, Fox's case, Fox's rurgoyne v. Furgoyne v. Furgoyne v. Furgoyne v. Furgoyne v. Fox,	68 175 101 32 153 107 114 78 118 78
Cowper v. Franklin, Cox v. Chamberlain, Crawley's case, Crossing v. Scudamore, Crowder, Bromfield v. Cumming, Robinson v. Cunningham v. Moody, Cunningham, Thrustout v. D. Fonnereau, Doe d. Fonnereau v. Forester v. Goodright, Fortester v. Goodrig	175 101 32 153 107 114 78 118 78
Cox v. Chamberlain, Crawley's case, Crossing v. Scudamore, Crowder, Bromfield v. Cumming, Robinson v. Cunningham v. Moody, Cunningham, Thrustout v. D. 173 Forrester v. Goodright, 43 Foster, Blake v. Fountain, Cook v. Founder, Kelly v. Fox's case, 50, 51 Fox, Furgoyne v. Furgoyne v. Furgoyne v. Fox,	101 32 153 107 114 78 118 78
Cumming, Robinson v. Cunningham v. Moody, Cunningham, Thrustout v. D. 122, 123 Fowler, Keily v. 45 Fox's case, 50, 51 Fox, Furgoyne v. Furgoyne v. Furgoyne v. Fox,	32 153 107 114 78 118 78
Cumming, Robinson v. Cunningham v. Moody, Cunningham, Thrustout v. D. 122, 123 Fowler, Keily v. 45 Fox's case, 50, 51 Fox, Furgoyne v. Furgoyne v. Furgoyne v. Fox,	153 107 114 78 118 78
Cumming, Robinson v. Cunningham v. Moody, Cunningham, Thrustout v. D. 122, 123 Fowler, Keily v. 45 Fox's case, 50, 51 Fox, Furgoyne v. Furgoyne v. Furgoyne v. Fox,	107 114 78 118 78
Cumning, Robinson v. Cunningham v. Moody, Cunningham, Thrustout v. D. Fox's case, Fox, Furgoyne v. Fox, Furgoyne v. Furgoyne v. Furgoyne v. Fox,	114 78 118 78
Cunningham, Thrustout v. 79 Franklin, Cowper v. Furgoyne v. Fox,	78 118 78
Cunningham, Thrustout v. 79 Franklin, Cowper v. Furgoyne v. Fox,	118 78
D. Furgoyne v. Fox,	78
	57-705
Darly v. Bois.	100
Total and w	
Darrell, Marwood v. 35 Garth w Six I Cotton	136
Davidson v. Foley, 68 Gibson v. Borgers	60
Davies v. Speed, 69, 76 Classes, Took v.	118
Deardon, Keene v. 59 Godelehin Tord Duke of Man	lba IIO
Delamor, Reene v. Delamor, Bentiey v. Digge's case, Dixwell, Roberts v. Dod, Castle v. Doe d. Fonnereau v. Fonnereau, Doe d. Maddock v. Lynes, Doe d. Maddock v. Lynes, Doe d. Player v. Nicholls, Doe d. Tomkins v. Willan, Doe d. White v. Simpson, Doe d. Wohite v. Simpson, Doe v. Bartle, Doe v. Bartle, Doe v. Martin, Doe v. Morgan, Doe v. Pott, Doe v. Roach, Doe v.	175
Delamor, Bentiey v. 128 Goodbill v. Brighten	170
Digge's case, 174 Goodsight w. Cator.	172
Dixwell, Roberts v. 58 Goodright v. Cator,	174
Dod, Castle v. 70 Goodright v. Forrester,	101
Doe d. Fonnereau v. Fonnereau, 175 Goodright v. Meade and Shilson,	118
Doe d. Leicester v. Bigg, 57 Goodfight v. Searle,	98, 100
Doe d. Meddock v. Lynes, 32 Goodtitle d. Jones v. Jones,	32
Doe d. Ordian v. Whitehead, 177 Goodtitle v. Bailey, Goodtitle v. Billington.	185
Doe d. Player v. Nicholls, 61 Goodtitle v. Billington,	81
Doe d. Tomkins v. Willan, 60 Goodtitle v. Morse,	123, 179
Doe d. White v. Simpson, 61 Goodtitle v. Pettoe,	90
Doe d. Woodcock v. Burtherp, 60 Goodtitle v. Stokes,	177
Doe v. Bancks, 44 Goodtitle v. Whitby,	60
Doe v. Bartle, 123 Goodtitle v. White,	98
Dec v. Darde, 103 Gray, Robinson v.	60
Doe v. Hull, 101 Greenbank, Hearle v.	58, 145
Doe v. Martin, 50, 51 Gregory v. Henderson,	56
Doe v. Morgan, 75 Griffiths, Roe v.	100
Doe v. Pott, 16 Griffiths v. Vere,	111
Doe v. Prestwidge, Doe v. Roach, Doe v. Simpson, Doe v. Tomkinson, Doe v. White, Dorrel, Routledge v. Downes, Trodd v. Duke of Devonshire v. Lord Cavendish, 112 Hawkins v. Kemp,	
Doe v. Roach, 85 Hall, Keech v.	16
Doe v. Simpson, 161 Hamilton, Hobbouse v.	159
Doe v. Tomkinson, 101 Hamond, Welcoke v.	123
Doe v. White, 75 Hammond, Edwards v.	122
Dorrel, Routledge v. 107 Handcock, —— v.	148
Downes, Trodd v. Duke of Dovonshire v. Lord Cavendish, 112 Hare, Heavergill v. Hawkins v. Kemp.	115
Duke of Devonshire v. Lord Cavendish, 112 Have, Heavergill v. Hawkins v. Kemp,	175
Duke of Mariborough v. Lord Godolphin, 175 Hawking, Moor v.	101
Durdant Burchett V. O/III	98
Duncombe v. Wingfield, 184 Hayward, Page V. Hearle v. Greenbank,	58, 145
E. Heavergill v. Hare,	115
Edwards v. Hammond, 122 Henderson, Gregory v.	56
Edwards, Vick v. 102 Herle, Cooke v.	116
Edwards v. Slater, 87 Hill, Stephenson v.	122
Edwards v. Slater, 87 Hill, Stephenson v. Eustace, Kildare v. 128 Hobbouse v. Hamilton,	159
Eyre v. Fitton, 72 Hodeon, Benson v.	98
F. Holland v. Bonis,	128, 145
Panagahana Pitanamid v. 174 Holland King v.	149
Fauconberg, Fitzgerald v. 174 Holland, King v. Fausset, Whitfield v. 182 Holland, Rawley v.	72, 73
	12, 10
Fenwick v. Mitford, 74 Holmes, Plunkett v.	55, 85
Ferrers, Nightingale v. 75 Hopkins v. Hopkins, Field v. Yea, 182 Hull, Doe v.	101
Field v. Yea, 182 Hull, Doe v.	
Fitton, Eyre v. 72 Hurst v. Earl of Winchester,	175
Fisher v. Wigg, 177 Hurrel, Penhay v. Fitzgerald v. Fauconberg, 174 Hussey's case,	70, 85
	78
Fletcher, Tregame v. 165 Hutchinson, Warter v.	60