

**HISTORY OF ROMAN
PRIVATE LAW;
PART I. SOURCES**

Published @ 2017 Trieste Publishing Pty Ltd

ISBN 9780649605088

History of Roman Private Law; Part I. Sources by E. C. Clark

Except for use in any review, the reproduction or utilisation of this work in whole or in part in any form by any electronic, mechanical or other means, now known or hereafter invented, including xerography, photocopying and recording, or in any information storage or retrieval system, is forbidden without the permission of the publisher, Trieste Publishing Pty Ltd, PO Box 1576 Collingwood, Victoria 3066 Australia.

All rights reserved.

Edited by Trieste Publishing Pty Ltd.
Cover @ 2017

This book is sold subject to the condition that it shall not, by way of trade or otherwise, be lent, re-sold, hired out, or otherwise circulated without the publisher's prior consent in any form or binding or cover other than that in which it is published and without a similar condition including this condition being imposed on the subsequent purchaser.

www.triestepublishing.com

E. C. CLARK

**HISTORY OF ROMAN
PRIVATE LAW;
PART I. SOURCES**

CAMBRIDGE UNIVERSITY PRESS WAREHOUSE,

C. F. CLAY, MANAGER.

London: FETTER LANE, E.C.

Glasgow: 50, WELLINGTON STREET.



ALSO

London: STEVENS AND SONS, LTD., 119 AND 120, CHANCERY LANE.

Leipzig: F. A. BROCKHAUS.

New York: G. P. PUTNAM'S SONS.

Bombay and Calcutta: MACMILLAN AND CO., LTD.

[All rights reserved.]

HISTORY
OF
ROMAN PRIVATE LAW

PART I
SOURCES

BY

E. C. CLARK, LL.D.,

REGIUS PROFESSOR OF CIVIL LAW IN THE UNIVERSITY OF CAMBRIDGE;
ALSO OF LINCOLN'S INN, BARRISTER-AT-LAW.

STANFORD LIBRARY

Cambridge:
AT THE UNIVERSITY PRESS

1906

224375

Cambridge:

PRINTED BY JOHN CLAY, M.A.

AT THE UNIVERSITY PRESS.

STANFORD LIBRARY

CONTENTS.

INTRODUCTION	PAGES 1—10
------------------------	---------------

PART I.

SOURCES AND CHRONOLOGICAL SKETCH.

SECT.

1. PRIMARY SOURCES	11—27
2. SECONDARY SOURCES IN THE PRELITERARY PERIOD	28—66
3. SECONDARY SOURCES IN THE LITERARY PERIOD.	
1. Historians	67—81
4. SECONDARY SOURCES IN THE LITERARY PERIOD.	
2. General Literature	82—87
5. SECONDARY SOURCES IN THE LITERARY PERIOD.	
3. Antiquaries	88—96
6. SECONDARY SOURCES IN THE LITERARY PERIOD.	
4. Jurists	97—144
7. CHRONOLOGICAL SKETCH	145—154
TABLES OF JURISTIC WRITERS	156—163
INDEX	165—168

1. The first part of the document discusses the importance of maintaining accurate records of all transactions and activities. It emphasizes that this is crucial for ensuring transparency and accountability in the organization's operations.

2. The second part of the document outlines the various methods and tools used to collect and analyze data. It highlights the need for consistent data collection procedures and the use of advanced analytical techniques to derive meaningful insights from the data.

3. The third part of the document focuses on the role of technology in data management and analysis. It discusses how modern software solutions can streamline data collection, storage, and processing, thereby improving efficiency and accuracy.

4. The fourth part of the document addresses the challenges associated with data management, such as data quality, security, and privacy. It provides strategies to mitigate these risks and ensure that the data remains reliable and secure throughout its lifecycle.

5. The fifth part of the document concludes by summarizing the key findings and recommendations. It stresses the importance of a data-driven approach in decision-making and the need for continuous monitoring and improvement of the data management process.

HISTORY OF ROMAN PRIVATE LAW.

INTRODUCTION.

WHETHER I shall be doing what is worth while—to quote the opening words of *Livy*—in writing a new History of Roman Law at this time of day, I scarcely know. The following were the *desiderata*, as they seemed to me, which determined me to the task a good many years ago (see Clark's *Practical Jurisprudence*, p. 6).

The ultimate results of the so-called "Classical Jurisprudence," coupled with the Imperial Legislation which ends with Justinian, had been duly estimated and fairly well expounded, in one form or another, for some time past. But the treatment of Roman Private Law in its process of historical development, which surely is at least as remarkable and instructive as those results, did not appear to me to have been distinctly dealt with in an equally satisfactory manner.

This deficiency was not of course imputed by me to any failure in insight or power of treatment on the part of predecessors and contemporaries whom it would have been presumption to criticise, but to differences in object. I can best explain my meaning by reference to one of the most renowned of such predecessors. In the Introduction to his *Spirit of the Roman Law*, *Ihering* speaks of the inner relation and mutual belonging (*Zusammengehörigkeit*) of

facts, as more important for his purpose, than their outer connexion (*Verbindung*) through time (Geist, i. 59, 60 and Anm. 27). If this dictum merely indicates the selection or greater prominence of certain facts as compared with other contemporary facts, there can be no question of its truth and applicability for all historical purposes. In Ihering's actual treatment of his subject I think it means a good deal more. That subject is a generalisation—avowedly, it is true, “at different stages of development”—but still a generalisation of the Spirit of Roman Law, or of the Roman people in their law-making capacity, *as a whole*. It is a subject most interesting and suggestive for philosophical or ethnological study: in the course, moreover, of its treatment, it involves propositions and conclusions, on this or that individual question of Roman legal history, for which the gratitude of all modern writers on Roman Law is due to its author in no ordinary degree. On the other hand, such a treatment does frequently and unavoidably coordinate views and principles separated by lapses of time inconsistent with any traceable sequence. Yet this sequence, or in other words this relation of cause and effect, is of the essence of the enquiry—so at least it seems to me—where Roman Law is studied, either simply as a matter of History or, in connexion with Jurisprudence, as an example and a lesson of experience for practical politics and actual life. And this last is the point of view from which I am endeavouring to trace the development of that part of Roman Law which has more particularly survived to modern thoughts and times.

In the course of this enquiry I have found myself unavoidably obliged to go sometimes beyond the strict province of Private Law, at least in the opening stages—to enter, in fact, into the early Constitutional History of Rome and the authorities for that history—a much debated subject,