RELIGION: FOUNDATION OF THE FREE SOCIETY

Published @ 2017 Trieste Publishing Pty Ltd

ISBN 9780649146079

Religion: Foundation of the Free Society by Edmund A. Opitz

Except for use in any review, the reproduction or utilisation of this work in whole or in part in any form by any electronic, mechanical or other means, now known or hereafter invented, including xerography, photocopying and recording, or in any information storage or retrieval system, is forbidden without the permission of the publisher, Trieste Publishing Pty Ltd, PO Box 1576 Collingwood, Victoria 3066 Australia.

All rights reserved.

Edited by Trieste Publishing Pty Ltd. Cover @ 2017

This book is sold subject to the condition that it shall not, by way of trade or otherwise, be lent, re-sold, hired out, or otherwise circulated without the publisher's prior consent in any form or binding or cover other than that in which it is published and without a similar condition including this condition being imposed on the subsequent purchaser.

www.triestepublishing.com

EDMUND A. OPITZ

RELIGION: FOUNDATION OF THE FREE SOCIETY





Religion: Foundation of the Free Society

by Edmund A. Opitz

The Foundation for Economic Education, Inc. Irvington-on-Hudson, New York

Published January 1994
ISBN 0-910614-92-X
Copyright © 1994 by
The Foundation for Economic Education, Inc.
Irvington-on-Hudson, NY 10533

Table of Contents

Introduction by the Right Reverend Robert C. Harvey]
I. RELIGIOUS ROOTS	
Biblical Roots of American Liberty	11
Churches and the Social Order	25
Human Nature and the Free Society	36
Instinct and Ethics	48
II. THE INDIVIDUAL IN SOCIETY	
Battle for the Mind	61
No Continuing City: The Paradox of a Christian Society	69
The Person and His Society	82
Our Disordered Lives	93
Zero Population Growth versus the Free Society	102
Defending Freedom and the Free Society	111
Equal: But Not the Same	
Six Ideas to Keep Us Human	140
III. ECONOMIC FREEDOM	
Thinking About Economics	161
Religion and the Free Economy	175
Humane Values and the Free Economy	188
Perspectives on Religion and Capitalism	200
Liberalism and Religion	214
Socialism—Substance and Label	217

iii ¿CUIDE ESTE LIBRO COMO SI FUERA SUYO! La pierda o lo devuelve en mal estado, se le cobrará su costo de reposición.

iv / Contents

Socialism and Beyond	227
What You Don't Know Might Help You!	242
Index	261

Introduction

by the Right Reverend Robert C. Harvey

I am honored to introduce Religion: Foundation of the Free Society, and hope it will help to bridge gaps and shore up foundations for those who want their lives to count. Its author, Edmund Opitz, brings wit as well as understanding to the study of economics. The good teacher's passionate concern is evident in his writing as well as discourse.

A silent question, "Why me?" has been pressing from the moment of his request and my acceptance. A line from the 119th Psalm keeps coming to mind, "I am small and of no reputation." Why would Edmund Opitz, who has made valuable contributions to the study of economics and religion, and who is acquainted with leading figures in both fields, ask this introduction by one who is an amateur at best—as well as a late arrival on both scenes.

One answer could be that in an age of specialization the amateur may not get so tangled in the underbrush as to lose sight of the forest and the trees. He may understand the connectedness of things in a way denied those whose vision—like that under a magnifying glass—discloses much of very little.

Since there would be personal reasons as well, I may mention one or two. Mr. Opitz and I are old friends. We are enthusiasts for the free-market economy; I believe we would both opt for liberty wherever there is assurance of its wise and responsible use. Each of us has been ordained to the ministry of the Church—he in the reformed tradition and I in the Anglican. Would either of us go so far as to call the market economy a divine institution? No.

Yet the laws by which a free market functions are of more than human origin. Edmund Opitz is as convinced as I that economic law perceived, understood and given free rein—permits a providential care for man's needs that far exceeds anything that the world in its wisdom has yet devised. While man's understanding is still so limited and sin so all-pervasive—making "In God we trust" a needful and deeply felt refrain—a few things involving freedom are sufficiently proven for us

to breathe grateful prayers of thanks. One is the capacity of free markets to satisfy human needs when so many mechanisms of human invention have brought inequity and failure. The stunning success, over a few short years, of market economics in Japan, Taiwan, South Korea, Hong Kong, and Singapore demonstrate how life-giving a belief in freedom can be, and how widely it has taken hold.

Not long after Edmund Opitz and I become acquainted—it was thirty years ago—I remarked that while reading Adam Smith's Wealth of Nations an awareness of priestly calling began to surface in my mind. The connection could have been accidental, but I think not. Adam Smith's thought is deeply religious. He deals with the needs of individuals and societies in terms of divine purpose and provision. So I accepted his work as giving substance to my sense of vocation, if not to the vocation itself. Since this happened fifteen years before Mr. Opitz' book, Religion and Capitalism: Allies, Not Enemies was written, it was Adam Smith who convinced me of the connection.

For a decade after the second world war, I had covered the central states for a Wall Street firm engaged in the management of mutual funds. I enjoyed the work, for it seemed an ideal way for ordinary people to become shareholders in our economy and nation. Since my previous work (aside from five years as an officer in Pacific Fleet destroyers) had been in sales rather than finance, I now devoted my hours in trains and planes to studying the mechanics of the market. This is how I came to read Adam Smith.

Till then I had been unaware that, during the Middle Ages, wages and prices were set by the Church rather than by State or marketplace. In retrospect that arrangement was regrettable, for it helped to make the Ark of Salvation a power-component in need of reform. This was true for much of Europe; it reflected the Western Church's eagerness to be "involved." Its attention to the divine immanence was in marked contrast to what prevailed in the Eastern Church—namely, a centering on God's transcendent glory.

The medieval arrangement gave a hint of what would take place in the command economies of our day. That primitive economy was hampered by the notion that there was only so much money—and so many jobs—to go around. The result was a skewing of justice itself; the "just price" and the "just wage" seemed more important than allowing the economy to develop organically—with participants at every level prospering along the way.

When, in our century, the socialists and Marxists appropriated the functions of the marketplace, the result was devastating. Uncontrollable costs and loss of incentive cut the availability of goods and services from a flow to a trickle. Worse still, it took three generations for one fatal flaw to become clear to all. At no stage in its production and distribution was the command economy able, of its own resources, to know the value of items on its shelves. Not until Marxism's demise did men realize how dependent the system had been upon the free world for help. Espionage aside, much information was available for the asking—in government publications, in *The Wall Street Journal*, in auto and appliance manuals and even in regularly updated Sears, Roebuck catalogues! But it was critically needed. Without the data issued routinely by free markets, the command economy would have been unable to function.

As the Dark Ages drew on to Renaissance the concept of economy had been reborn. But growth came in halting steps. Trade and commerce needed to assume some importance before underlying patterns became apparent. The idea of oikonomia (stewardship, lit. house-rule) had been limited to small communities. Its development was delayed by the fact that the concept of credit, which was well understood in ancient times, had not yet been resurrected. Nor had natural law ever been known to apply to the marketplace. Jobs and money supply, as already pointed out, were assumed to be invariables. The charging of interest on loaned-out money was treated as mortal sin.

Adam Smith gave the *coup de grace* to that mentality. He not only showed the way in which private initiatives could add up to public good, he demonstrated the workings of natural law in a field where men had never been aware of its presence.

When I appreciated that such law could exist in the social as well as physical sciences—and in a universal and self-enforcing way—I was filled with delight. I could see that, unlike the Moral Law (which had been divinely mandated in one time and place), economic law had waited to be discovered. It remained for Adam Smith and other economists to conclude that what they were observing was natural law applied to the field of economics. If so, it might possess the same authority and require the same obedience as laws governing the universe of chemistry and physics.

What relief such a discovery must bring the human race! No longer need we be burdened by bureaucracy and red tape. No longer need