# A TREATISE ON PRESUMPTIONS OF LAW AND FACT, WITH THE THEORY AND RULES OF PRESUMPTIVE OR CIRCUMTANTIAL PROOF IN CRIMINAL CASES

Published @ 2017 Trieste Publishing Pty Ltd

ISBN 9780649068043

A Treatise on Presumptions of Law and Fact, with the Theory and Rules of Presumptive Or Circumtantial Proof in Criminal Cases by W. M. Best

Except for use in any review, the reproduction or utilisation of this work in whole or in part in any form by any electronic, mechanical or other means, now known or hereafter invented, including xerography, photocopying and recording, or in any information storage or retrieval system, is forbidden without the permission of the publisher, Trieste Publishing Pty Ltd, PO Box 1576 Collingwood, Victoria 3066 Australia.

All rights reserved.

Edited by Trieste Publishing Pty Ltd. Cover @ 2017

This book is sold subject to the condition that it shall not, by way of trade or otherwise, be lent, re-sold, hired out, or otherwise circulated without the publisher's prior consent in any form or binding or cover other than that in which it is published and without a similar condition including this condition being imposed on the subsequent purchaser.

www.triestepublishing.com

# W. M. BEST

# A TREATISE ON PRESUMPTIONS OF LAW AND FACT, WITH THE THEORY AND RULES OF PRESUMPTIVE OR CIRCUMTANTIAL PROOF IN CRIMINAL CASES

Trieste

# A TREATISE

4

ON

# PRESUMPTIONS, OF LAW AND FACT,

WITH THE

#### THEORY AND RULES

#### 07

.

.

.

ï

.

### PRESUMPTIVE OR CIRCUMSTANTIAL PROOF

#### IN

#### CRIMINAL CASES.

#### BY W. M. BEST, A. M., LL. B., OF SAAT'S DIS, SOC., BASSISTER AT LAW.

\* Meteria quam aggressori sumus valde utilis est, et quacidiant in practick; sed confuss, inextricabilis fort ; communisque est et jurisconsultoribus et rhetoribus in genere judiciall."-dicietus Tractatus de Presumptionibus, Pers 1, § 1.

÷.)

## PHILADELPHIA:

## T. & J. W. JOHNSON, LAW BOOKSELLERS, No. 5 MINOR STREET.

#### W conserver

#### 1845.

日本人生 . 5.**7** 

# PREFACE.

**THE** importance of a right perception of the theory and limits of legal presumption, and of the principles of presumptive reasoning in criminal cases, will not, it is apprehended, be questioned. But, although treatises devoted exclusively to the latter have appeared from time to time, (a) and one class of presumptions has furnished matter for a volume, (b) no attempt has hitherto been made to treat, in a systematic manner, (c) the whole subject as understood and acted on in courts of law. To remedy this defect is the object of the present work.

It consists of three parts. The first contains the general principles and division of the subject. The second is devoted to a separate consideration (not an *enumeration*) of the presumptions of law and fact which are usually met with in practice. The third relates to presumptive proof in criminal cases.

In offering this Treatise to the profession, the author begs to express his obligations for valuable hints from several quarters, and especially to Mr. H. Macnamara, of the Inner Temple, to whom he is indebted for the Index to the work,

89, CHAROERY LANE, June, 1844.

(a) Wills on Circumstantial Esidence, London, 1838; Theory of Presumptive Proof, attributed to Mr. Phillips, London, 1815, &cc.

(b) A Treatise on the Doctrine of Presumption and Presumptive Evidence, affecting the Title to Real and Personal Property, by John H. Matthews, Eq., Barrister-at-Law, London, 1827.

(c) The civilians have been less remiss in this respect, and the author takes the opportutunity of acknowledging the assistance he has derived from their labours. Besides various treatises on proof in general, and the commentaries on the titles "De Probationibus," Presumptionibus," in the Digest, (ib. 92, tit. 3,) and "De Probationibus," in the Code, (ib. 4, tit. 19,) the work of Menochius on Presumptions alone extends to two volumes folio, and the treatise on the same subject by Alciatus is of a fair length.

--

2 II 333.639 24 12 335.639 . . • . 8 37 . . \* 20 ¥( 

13

# CONTENTS.

# PART L

#### ON PRESUMPTIVE EVIDENCE AND PRESUMPTIONS IN GENERAL.

#### CHAPTER I.

#### On Proof. Evidence, and Presumptions in General, with the division of the latter into Presumptions of Law, Fact, and Mixed Law and Fact.

The pages referred to are those between brackets [ ].

truth and faischood .										
Knowledge .	020	8. <sub>N</sub>	1999		79	22	Ξ.	12	35	- 1
extent of human knowledg	79	8 <u>8</u> - 11	1000	-	- 33		2		331	1. 5
divided into intuitive, dem			d sensi	tive	20		÷.	12	1.0	79
Judgment .						•		÷.		3
founded on probability		1994 - A							- 3.5	- 3
original and primary signi	Antion	of the	in and	-		1		•		
Different meanings of the wo			s woru	- pres	numb	LIGH				5, 7
								•		η,
Modes by which truths of fac			84 10 U	ie mii	Da		•		٠	- 3
through the medium of the										
by reasoning from truths v									12	
Meaning of the expression "								<sup>8</sup> - 83		7, (
Modes of reasoning on matte	re of far	t not	coming	unde	r the	COGT	izance	) of	the	
atonce or tersorms of mitrie	to de lar									
senses	42 W Ja			822-3	240	122-01	•			
	•• • J.	•		855 8	(des	2250	•5		۲	1
Benses Decessary inference		•		955 - S	i dest		•2		۲	1
senses necessary inference probable or presumptive in	lference	antes Nortes	•	965 S	6. 	19920	•8 22		*	1
senses necessary inference probable or presumptive in	lference	antes Nortes	•	965 S	6. 	19920	•8 22			108
senses necessary inference probable or presumptive in n jurisprudence, the words of law	iference '' eviden	100 <sup>77</sup> 81	•	965 S	6. 	19920	•8 22		*	1
senses necessary inference probable or presumptive in in jurisprudence, the words of law Decision of questions of fact	iference " eviden by justi	ice" ai	ad "pro	»(" ı	aot aj	19920	•8 22			11
senses necessary inference probable or presumptive in in jurisprudence, the words of law Decision of questions of fact by things coming under th	iference "eviden by justi is cogni	ice" al	ad "pro	o(" 1 -	aot aj	oplied	•8 22			11
senses necessary inference probable or presumptive in in jurisprudence, the words of law Decision of questions of fact by things coming under th by media of testimony, su	ference " eviden by justi ie cogni ch as wi	ices ices inessi	of the	of" 1 senso	aot aj 8, &co	plied	•8 22			111111111111111111111111111111111111111
senses necessary inference probable or presumptive in in jurisprudence, the words of law Decision of questions of fact by things coming under th by media of testimony, sus division of judicial evidence	ference " eviden by justi ie cogni ch as wi ie into d	ice" al ices ices itnesse lirect o	of the	sense iment	not aj s, ĉec antia	plied	to qu	esti	10,	111111111111111111111111111111111111111
senses necessary inference probable or presumptive in in jurisprudence, the words of law Decision of questions of fact by things coming under th by media of testimony, sur division of judicial evidence technical meaning of the c	ference " eviden by justi e cogni ch as wi e into d	ice" al ices ices itnesse lirect o	of the	sense iment	not aj s, ĉec antia	plied	to qu	esti	10,	11 11 12 12
senses necessary inference probable or presumptive in in jurisprudence, the words ' of law Decision of questions of fact by things coming under th by media of testimony, sur division of judicial evidence technical meaning of the e signification of "presum	ference " eviden by justi ecogni ch as wi e into d expression"	ices ices inesse inesse irect on " p	of the	sense iment	not aj s, ĉec antia	plied	to qu	esti	10,	11 11 12 12
senses Decessary inference probable or presumptive in in jurisprudence, the words ' of law Decision of questions of fact by things coming under th by media of testimony, sus division of judicial evidence technical meaning of the e signification of " presum Popular signification of " presum	ference " eviden by justi ch as wi ch as wi e into d expression" sumption	ices ices itnesse lirect on " p m"	of the se, doct and cirr resump	sense iment	not aj s, ĉec antia	plied	to qu	esti	• 10, • •	11 11 12 12 12 14
senses necessary inference probable or presumptive in in jurisprudence, the words ' of law Decision of questions of fact by things coming under th by media of testimony, sus division of judicial evidence technical meaning of the of signification of "presum Popular signification of " pre- pivision of facts into physica	iference "eviden by justi ch as wi ch as wi e into d expression" sumption l and p	ices ices itnesse lirect on " p m" sychol	of the s, doct and cirry resump	sense iment cumst tive e	oot aj a, dzo antia vider	plied	to qu ad sec	esti - - ond	• 10, • • •	11 11 11 11 11 11 11 11 11 11 11 11 11
senses necessary inference probable or presumptive in in jurisprudence, the words of law Decision of questions of fact by things coming under th by media of testimony, sur division of judicial evidence technical meaning of the c	iference "eviden by justi ch as wi ch as wi e into d expression" sumption l and p	ices ices itnesse lirect on " p m" sychol	of the s, doct and cirry resump	sense iment cumst tive e	oot aj a, dzo antia vider	plied	to qu ad sec	esti - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - -	• 10, • • •	11 11 13 13 14 14

#### CHAPTER II.

# On Presumptions of Law, and Fictions.

Presumptions of law											17
grounds of	1.000	83		61 <sup>00</sup>		1.00	•				18
are divided into "	conclu	MIT8	. 80	d **	rebut	table"				•	20

22

#### CONTENTS.

Conclusive presumptions, or	" pr	esump	tioner	ı jan	10 0	t de	) jur	e"		•		•	20
formerly too numerous													21
useful when restrained w	ithin	proper	limi	ts								- •	23
Fictions		e generalis			0.220		22		120		<u>.</u>		24
use of			e 👘	120						-			24
rules respecting	578	<b>1</b>				1		ं		1		- 24	25
Tures respecting		·			•				•		•		
must not prejudice inno	cent	partie	•			٠		٠		٠		::••1	25
must have a possible su	bject	-matte	<b>r</b>									25,	26
different kinds of								100					26
affirmative or positiv	e ficti	iona			12				120		20		26
negative fictions			~~ ·				÷.						27
			S	•		•				•		<b>.</b>	
fictions by relation		•			٠		•				٠		27
relating to persons	ŧ,												
to things													
to place													
to time			10.10										98
Rebuttable presumptions of	law.	ar # n	-	ntie	-	int	in ta	nth			•	98	20

#### CHAPTER IIL

# Presumptions of Fact, and Mixed Presumptions.

Presumptions of fact										31
grounds and sources of-they relate to	thin	g4,	pers	000	, and	1		cts	or	
thoughts of intelligent agents .		•	•							31
legal admissibility of presumptive evidence					1.0		×		•	38
rules of legal evidence		•				ц.		1		33
presumptive evidence not inferior or see	cond	LTY	to d	irea	t evi	den	ce		•	35
probative force of presumptive evidence					*******			2		86
division of presumptions of fact into vio	lent.	DEC	bab	le,	and a	light	ht			87
Lord Coke's illustration of violent pro										37
objections to it										37
utility of threefold classification doul	btful		10205	1212	320	2	95	22	100	88
presumptions considered with reference			burd	en	of pr	oof	2		12	39
general rule respecting the onus prot			2.88		ಾತ್ಯಾ	222	54	128	56	39
divisions of presumptions of fact into			nd a	tro	10"		2		8	40
slight presumptions of fact .			-		÷.,	2	35	12	51	40
do not shift the burden of proof	2 B)		12		63		32		2	40
use and affect of alight presump	tions			-	3925	-		141		41
strong presumptions of fact			120		1993		12	·*•		42
shift the burden of proof .	•		•	1211	32					42
effect of, in civil and criminal en			2.0	•	23	·	18		55	43
distinguishable from presumption		1 141			322		1			44
Mixed presumptions, or " quasi presumption					58		33		122	45
different classes of	1.00 1.				80 L					45
artificial presumptions formerly carried to		. C.		•			20	200	45	48
true limits and use of .	v 141				÷.,		•		-	47
Discretion of juries in drawing presumptive :	in far				nllad	1.	+1-		-	
of law	miler	enc	C8 C	044	onec	, oy	u			48
	-	÷	-	-	- In-	•	-	-		48
direction to juries respecting presumption	de Of	Inct	alle		<b>100</b>	pres	eu m	puo	1.5	49
new trials when they are disregarded by	Jurn									20

### CHAPTER IV.

# **On Conflicting Presumptions.**

Of the maxim "stabit presu	mptio d	onec pro	betur in	contra	"mui	÷	- 30	52
Conflicting presumptions	÷.			•				52
rules respecting					300 C.	•		58
1 Spacial promotions	to ba m	non one	A A AN	0.000 00				53

÷

4

r.

1

vi

	 	-	
CON		<b>T</b> .	

2. Presumptions derived from the ordinary course of nature are	stronger	in an
than casual presumptions	•	56
<ol> <li>Presumptions are favoured which tend to give validity to actu</li> <li>The presumption of innocence is favoured in law</li> </ol>		57 58

# PART II.

#### ON PARTICULAR PRESUMPTIONS OF LAW AND FACT.

•

Design of the second part

#### . 62

#### CHAPTER L

#### Presumptions against Ignorance of the Law, Illegality, Neglect of Duty, Fraud, Covin, Immorality, etc.

Presumptions against ign	orance of	the !	law		×.				63
private individuals				-					. 63
courts of justice, &c.	Sec. and	252		- 53		S	88		68, 64
Presumptio juris against	illegality			-20	2010	a **	112		. 64
instances .				-			- 85		64
extent of the rule	N (1873)	1223	28	201	165		6.52	0.50	. 65
no presumption against	the plain	ntiff's	dem	and i	n civi	ections	- S -		. 66
construction of instrum							1.00		. 67
Presumption of the discha	enne of de			•		•			68
Freemphon of the users	ago or au					(4 ) ( <b>*</b> )		•	
Maxim "odiosa et inhone			ress in	nendi	· ·				. 69
presumptions against fr									69
presumptions against v	ice and in	mmor	ality			÷ 1			69,70
presumption of marri	iage from	coha	bitat	ion					70
presumption of the le	gitimacy	of cl	hildre	m		- <sup>10</sup>	332		. 70
Maxim " injuria non pres		8				n - 21			72
Presumption against irrel	igion		63		33	ar <sup>186</sup>	1	24	. 72

#### CHAPTER IL

#### On the Maxim, "Omnia præsumuntur rite esse acta."

Meaning of the rule "omnia pre	sumun	tur ri	tè es	se ac	ta"					74
General view of the subject priora presumuntur à posterior posteriora presumuntur à prior probatis extremis, presumunt	ribus	10 11			S	•				75
Division of the subject	geroooren V	- 12 <sup>-</sup>		12		140		23		75
official appointments .	2.0							-		76
official acts	3 0.50	121	20	124	- 65	152	0.2	0.25		78
judicial acts		•				· ·	50			78
			•							
awards	8									60
rule does not apply to give jur	isdiction				0.00		•		80,	
extra judicial acta,				12	1000	120		• 1		81
examples of application of t	he mle								100	81
execution of wills			- C		22				11245	82
				3 <b>4</b> .						
signing by testator										82
attestation by witnesses .										83
collateral facts presumed to	give ve	lidity	to i	nstru	ments					84
construction of instruments	- accession			- <u>S</u>				•		85
interlineations and erasures							•		85,	86