# LONDON GOVERNMENT. THE LOCAL GOVERNMENT ACT, 1888, IN ITS APPLICATION TO LONDON

Published @ 2017 Trieste Publishing Pty Ltd

#### ISBN 9780649226009

London Government. The Local Government Act, 1888, in Its Application to London by Henry Clarke

Except for use in any review, the reproduction or utilisation of this work in whole or in part in any form by any electronic, mechanical or other means, now known or hereafter invented, including xerography, photocopying and recording, or in any information storage or retrieval system, is forbidden without the permission of the publisher, Trieste Publishing Pty Ltd, PO Box 1576 Collingwood, Victoria 3066 Australia.

All rights reserved.

Edited by Trieste Publishing Pty Ltd. Cover @ 2017

This book is sold subject to the condition that it shall not, by way of trade or otherwise, be lent, re-sold, hired out, or otherwise circulated without the publisher's prior consent in any form or binding or cover other than that in which it is published and without a similar condition including this condition being imposed on the subsequent purchaser.

www.triestepublishing.com

#### HENRY CLARKE

# LONDON GOVERNMENT. THE LOCAL GOVERNMENT ACT, 1888, IN ITS APPLICATION TO LONDON



### LONDON GOVERNMENT.

#### THE LOCAL GOVERNMENT ACT, 1888, IN ITS APPLICATION TO LONDON.

BY

#### HENRY CLARKE.

AUTHOR OF

"MUNICIPAL GOVERNMENT OF LONDON, 1882."

"APPENDIX TO MUNICIPAL GOVERNMENT OF LONDON, 1884."

"24 REASONS AGAINST SIR WM. HARGOOKT'S LONDON
GOVERNMENT BILL, 1884."

"LONDON GOVERNMENT, 1887."

SECOND EDITION.

PRICE ONE SHILLING.

#### Condon :

Published by SIMPKINS, MARSHALL, & CO., 4, Stationers' Hall Court.

1888.

1000

#### LONDON :

PRINTED BY MILTON SMITH AND CO., 13-17, DEVONSHIRE STREET, BISHOPSGATE.

.

### INDEX.

		11				600										1,000	
9002	<u>.</u>			-	5	(23)				G							AGE
Aldermen-																1	2
46		i.				sctic											8
		6				difi											5
Aldermen-	Cit	y	i.f	38	38	13	•	1	٠	:	0			80	٠		25
Chairman o	f C	opi	ity	C	out	icil	٠	888	90	83	9	28	36				7, 9
Chairman-	De	put	y e	of (	Con	ant	y (	Cou	DC:	1.	M	lay	be	pa	dd	Š.,	9
City of Lone	don	C	ur	t-	Ju	dge			*::				÷	•		52	25
Coal Dues .				4				•								4	21
Coal Dues . Common Co	unc	:il-	-90	ee l	Con	por	rat	ion									
Common Se																	25
Commission																	24
Committees																	10
Coroner—Co	oun	ty		2.4	98	162							36	40			18
., C	ity			219	196	•00					1.00	201	**	*0		anne.	18
County Cour	ncil	1	Pro	vi	rior	nal	- 124 144	100		100			2000 Arti				8
County Cour County Cour	ncil	lor	9.	E	lec	tion	1.		į						ě.		5
				E	xpe	ense	×		8				8				8
34						ber											2
Corporation	2010																22
Deputy-Cha																	9
Deputy-Cha																	18
Deputy-Lieu	iten	an	cv	Ϊ.		****			oroes •		•	121		200	eet eest	300	24
Duties, Cou	ntv	Co	our	icil		2010	·	500		93	120	((3)	(6)	500			11
Duties, Cou Elections . Electoral Di		1	90%			- 33			ä	8			8	32			6
Electoral Di	visi	ion	8		8	- 54	ř	똤.	8	- 83	ř	뛶	Ř	Š		995	2, 5
First Election	net .	520			120	23	355	-332	133	90	÷.		ţ	Š			6
Gas Compar	ies			632	192	600		98	90		24	or.	70	8		32	21
Jurors																	- 15-53
Justices																	
I married	100									40.00	. 4			4			

#### INDEX.

						_	-								10	GE
Livery Con	nanie		000	-23	15	225	100	33	10	ne.	100	33	- 11	2012		24
Lord Lieute	_															1
Main Roads																24
Medical Off																18
Metropolita	n Ares				•		**	***	•		17	**		-03	30	1
Metropolita	n Boa	rd	of 3	N.	rk		c.	335	to	E	rist	8	•	875	:00 :00	11
.,																11
			ï.		•	1		tal	isr	ics	Ĩ		•			
Metropolita	n Poli	ce	10	568	۳,	659					*	į				
Middlesex I																20
Militia Act					~	222		1570	oce. Ge	10.0	20		90 <b>4</b> 935	68		20
Officers			6		37											19
Police Met	ropolit	20		7.0	63 <b>5</b> 	350	88		(6 <b>2</b> (1000)	:25. :::::::::::::::::::::::::::::::::::	5%	•	0.5	e de la	: :e:	18
Police, Met		3,733		700	•	/(E) () ()	Č.	•66	্	OŽ.	:	•	83	::5		28
Propers of C	ounty	c	יי מלדלוות	cil	97	÷			37		•		ð.		2	11
Powers of C Provisional	Courte	-11		•	į		Ġ		•			8	•			8
Quarter Ses	sions			٠.		938.A		•	į					02	17	. 24
Qualification															3	5
×	Cou															5
	Vote															1975
Oubrum				•		3.5	*	50	e.	(A)	20	*0	: ·	25	•	4
Quorum .			- 1	150		Į.		8	•		8		•		8	25
Recorder . Returning C	fficer	A	nno.	int	TRE	n+	ė	3	Ų.	3		•	įć.			4
"		7	int (		Vert	ers		-		ě	i			ě		
23																5
Sheriff, Lon																16
	dlesex															9.860
																16
	1000															
Vacancy .	850	8.	10		S	3	*	•	io.		•	•	Ċ.		*	10.54
Water Com	panics							4.0			100	400	100			30

#### PREPACE.

PERHAPS it is premature to assert that Mr. Ritchic has solved the difficult problem of Metropolitan Government, but it may be safely claimed for him that his Local Government Bill, which has now received the sanction of Parliament, has rescued the question from the position of doubt, uncertainty, and bewilderment which it has occupied for so long a period. Even if the scheme evolved by him should not prove perfect in all respects, it will certainly carry the matter a long way towards perfection; and it presents a good foundation whereon to raise a complete superstructure, as experience may suggest variations and improvements from time to time.

It may be in the remembrance of those who have followed this subject for many years, that Mr. J. F. B. Firth, then (1880) Member for Chelsea, published a scheme having for its object the extinction of every existing Local Governing body, and the erection of one sole municipality for the whole of London. A league, called the Metropolitan Government Reform League, was established to advocate this plan.

Others and myself have strenuously opposed this centralizing policy; and have advocated, as an alternative plan, local authorities for local purposes, and a central authority for the management and control of all things concerning the Metropolis as a whole. This principle is carried out in Mr. Ritchie's Bill. It is somewhat amusing to find that members of the League claim to participate with Mr. Ritchie in the credit of his success, and it must be a source of much satisfaction to the Secretary of the Local Government Board that he has been able to please all parties.

Sir Wm. Harcourt in his Bill of 1884, influenced by the League, proposed to abolish the Corporation of the City of London, the Metropolitan Board of Works, and the Vestries. To excite the opposition of all these bodies was to court failure, even had his scheme been otherwise unobjectionable. His successor, profiting by past failures, determined to supersede only that body which no one pretended to uphold as it is at present constituted—the Metropolitan Board of Works—and to incorporate the City Municipality and the Vestries in his scheme, reserving the right of introducing such modification in the Vestries and District Boards, as may be found necessary at a future time.

Mr. Ritchie thus secured the Corporation as an ally, and its powerful support, both overt and covert, has been given ungrudgingly; thus again refuting the oft-repeated accusation of opponents,

that the Corporation had ever opposed and always would oppose any measure of reform for the Government of London.

It is a noteworthy fact that not one petition was presented to Parliament from London in opposition to the Bill (so far as relates to Metropolitan Government).

It rests now with the inhabitants of the Metropolis to make the measure successful in practical operation. A heavy task faces all those whose duty it will be to set the huge machine in motion, and to give it such an impetus as may ensure final success.

All who recognize the importance of this experiment, will watch with keen interest the impending "first elections"; so much depending upon the composition and tone of this first Metropolitan Parliament. It is to be devoutly hoped that men of character, independent position, and administrative ability will offer themselves as candidates for the Council.

It is rumoured that the elections are to be made subservient to party politics. This would be deplorable! What have politics properly to do with Municipal Government?

In selecting a candidate for Parliament, your first care is to know whether he is a supporter of your side of politics or not; his own qualifications